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ABSTRACT

Climate change is considered to be one of the greatest threats to species and ecosystems globally and is likely to contribute to the rapidly increasing number of species extinction. In South Africa, these climatic changes have been noted and are thought to persist. Lizards, as ectotherms, are exceptionally vulnerable to changes in their thermal environments and could face even greater extinction risk if they lack the capacity to behaviourally mediate or rapidly adapt to changing thermal environments. Species distribution models have only recently started incorporating genetic and physiological mechanistic variables to more accurately predict species responses to climate change. This study investigates genetic and physiological traits in order to elucidate genetic patterns and thermal traits that could aid in more accurately predicting the climate change responses of a southern African lacertid lizard. In Chapter 2, I investigated the phylogeographic occurrence of *Meroles knoxii* along the west coastal margin of southern Africa, with special focus on the southern mesic extent of the distribution range. Phylogeographic and population genetic analyses consistently retrieved three distinct genetic clades (north, central and south) that occur allopatrically on a north-south axis along the coastline. Body size differences occur between the northern and southern clades with the northern xeric clade displaying significantly larger body sizes than the southern mesic clade, suggesting local adaptation to these environments in conjunction with the genetic differentiation observed. The southern clade exhibited no genetic differentiation at a small spatial scale and is thus considered a single population. In Chapter 3, the thermal sensitivity of several traits of *M. knoxii* was investigated comparing two sites within the southern clade to determine whether and which traits are variable or conserved at a small spatial scale. Selected body temperatures differed significantly between sites. However, these differences are not considered biologically relevant in this study. Critical thermal limits, resting metabolic rate and total evaporative water loss did not differ significantly between sites and is considered a conserved thermal trait at this small spatial scale. In addition, the potential trade-off of thermal performance traits (sprint speed and stamina) was investigated for this ambush predator at one site, Zandvlei Nature Reserve. As expected, *M. knoxii* displayed optimal sprint speed capacity at high test temperatures and optimal stamina at lower test temperatures. This study aims to illustrate the importance of incorporating ecologically relevant mechanistic variables into species distribution models to more accurately predict species potential responses to future climate change.
Klimaatsverandering word beskou as een van die grootste bedreigings tot spesies en ekosisteme wêreldwyd en sal moontlik bydra tot 'n toenemende aantal spesie-uitsterfes. Hierdie veranderinge is aangeteken in Suid-Afrika en word beskou as voortdurend. Akkedisse, as ektoterme diere, is besonders kwesbaar tot veranderinge in hulle termiese omgewings en kan selfs 'n groter uitsterwingsrisiko in die gesig staar as hulle die vermoë ontbreek om deur gedrag aan te pas by snel-veranderende termiese omgewings. Spesieverspreidingsmodelle het eers onlangs begin om genetiese en fisiologiese meganistiese veranderlikes in te sluit om spesieresponse tot klimaatsverandering meer akkuraat te voorspel. Hierdie studie ondersoek genetiese en fisiologiese kenmerke om genetiese patrone en termiese karaktereienskappe toe te lig, wat kan bydra tot meer akkurate voorspellings van die reaksies van 'n Suid-Afrikaanse sandakkedis ten opsigte van klimaatsverandering. Hoofstuk 2 ondersoek die filogeografiese verspreiding van *Meroles knoxii* aan die weskus van suidelike Afrika, met spesifieke fokus op die suidelike nat-omgewingsomvang van die verspreidingsgebied. Filogeografiese- en bevolkingsgenetika-analise toon konsekwent drie unieke genetiese klades (noord, sentraal en suid) wat allopatries voorkom op 'n noord-suid-as langs die kuslyn. Verskille in liggaamsgroote kom voor tussen die noordelike en suidelike klades, waar die noordelike droë-omgewingsklade beduidend groter liggame toon in vergelyking met die suidelike nat-omgewingsklade. Dit dui op plaaslike aanpassing tot hierdie omgewings in samewerking met die genetiese differensiasie opgemerk. Die suidelike klade toon geen genetiese differensiase op 'n klein ruimtelike skaal nie en word dus beskou as 'n enkele populasie. In Hoofstuk 3 word die termiese vatbaarheid van verskeie kenmerke van *M. knoxii* ondersoek. Twee studieterreine binne die suidelike klade word vergelyk om vas te stel of en watter kenmerke veranderlik of behoue is op 'n klein ruimtelike vlak. Gekose liggaamstemperature het beduidend verskille tussen studieterreine getoon, alhoewel hierdie verskille word nie as biologies relevant beskou vir hierdie studie nie. Kritiese termiese limiete, rustende metaboliëse tempo en algehele waterverdampingsverlies het nie beduidend verskil tussen studieterreine nie en word beskou as 'n behoue termiese kenmerke op hierdie klein ruimtelike skaal. Voorts, is die potensiële kompromië van die termiese werkverrigtings-vermoëns (naelloopspoed en stamina) ook ondersoek vir hierdie lokval roofdier op een van die studieterreine, Zandvlei Natuurreservaat. Soos verwag, toon *M. knoxii* optimale naelloopspoedkapasiteit by hoë toetstemperatuur en optimale stamina by laer toets temperature. Hierdie studie poog om te wys dat die insluiting van ekologies-relevante meganistiese veranderlikes in spesieverspreidingsmodelle belangrik is om meer akkurate voorspellings te kan maak met betrekking tot potensiële response tot toekomstige klimaatsverandering.
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CHAPTER 1

1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Over the last century, global anthropogenic factors have become one of the greatest threats on natural systems (Deutsch et al., 2008). Global amphibian declines have been identified as a serious problem and reptiles seem to be in even greater risk of extinction (Gibbons et al., 2000; Sinervo et al., 2010). A recent conservation assessment for the Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (SARCA; Branch, 2014) identified seven threat categories for reptiles; habitat loss and degradation, introduced invasive species, environmental pollution, disease and parasitism, unsustainable use, accidental mortality and climate change. Habitat loss and degradation was identified as the greatest anthropogenic threat to South African reptiles, affecting approximately 70% of surveyed taxa (SARCA; Branch, 2014). However, climate change is likely to exacerbate several of these threat categories, including habitat loss and degradation. Climate change has recently received much attention with regards to forecasting its possible effects on biodiversity (Thomas et al., 2004; Chown et al., 2010; Sinervo et al, 2010; Tolley et al., 2016). However, climate warming effects have not been adequately assessed by IUCN Red Lists (Hoffmann et al., 2010). The controversy surrounding climate change mainly stems from disagreements about the accuracy of the predicted rates and impacts of climate-induced habitat change. This is problematic, as any potential climate change threats to biodiversity will be viewed as guestimates rather than informed estimates, and this perspective could result in local and even global extinctions of biodiversity before actionable intelligence arise.

Climate change has been implicated in the decline of several vertebrate taxa (Laurance & Useche, 2009; Sinervo et al., 2010). Numerous studies have already revealed a substantial shift in species’ phenologies and the distribution ranges of various taxa (Walther et al., 2002; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Genner et al., 2004; Both et al., 2006; Botts et al., 2015). Other studies have also tried to predict the likely effects of ongoing global warming on species and ecosystems (Midgley et al., 2003; Kearney et al., 2008). Far fewer studies have investigated a species’ physiological capability to respond to climate change or genetic capacity to adapt (Kearney & Porter, 2004; Bell & Collins, 2008; Kearney et al., 2009,). When considering reptiles in a global context, by incorporating physiological characteristics into climate models, a recent study showed that seasonal air temperature changes affected the thermal habitat of Mexican Sceloporus lizards (Sinervo et al., 2010). The increased temperatures decreased activity periods by forcing lizards into retreats to avoid overheating, resulting in reduced foraging time especially during the spring breeding season. In addition, Sinervo and colleagues (2010) showed a plausible link between increasing temperatures and local lizard extinctions. Using the same method, they extrapolated their findings to lizards globally and estimated that rupiculous cordylid lizards from South Africa are likely more threatened by increasing air temperature than terrestrial or arboreal lizards.
The general threat of climate change is already a major concern in South Africa. Mean annual temperatures have increased 1.5 times the observed global average (0.65°C) over the past 50 years and the frequency of extreme rainfall events has increased (Rutherford *et al.*, 1999; Ziervogel *et al.*, 2014). It appears that these changes are likely to continue. The South African Long Term Adaptation Scenarios and the 5th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) suggest warming of 3-6°C by 2081-2100, relative to 1986-2005. Unfortunately, precipitation changes remain less certain with regards to the direction of change and the magnitude. In addition, rising sea levels could threaten habitat availability of terrestrial coastal species. Since the mid-19th century, the rate of mean sea level rise has been larger than the mean rate for the previous two millennia, with global mean sea levels rising by 0.19m from 1901-2010. Future sea level predictions include an increase of 0.26-0.55m and 0.45-0.88 by 2081-2100, relative to current sea levels.

Recent studies of climate change in South Africa have mainly focused on biomes and vegetation types (Rutherford *et al.*, 1999; Midgley *et al.* 2001, 2002, 2005). Their predictions, using bioclimatic models, shows warming and aridification trends which could shrink South Africa’s current biomes with 38-55% of their present occurrence, with the greatest losses in the northern, central and western parts of the country (Fig.1.1). The biome of greatest concern is the Succulent Karoo Biome, which could experience a complete displacement. In addition, the Fynbos, Grassland and Forest biomes could also be affected. Another study focused on 179 animal taxa (50 reptile species) and modelled a doubling of CO$_2$ emissions and 2°C increase in ambient temperature (Erasmus *et al.*, 2002). This study suggests an array of possible responses. Predictions show range contractions of up to 78%, range expansion of 17% and a 2% extinction risk. Furthermore, most range shifts (41%) are predicted to shift in an easterly direction, following the east-west aridity gradient of South Africa, with species losses highest in the west. Furthermore, a study on the genetic structure of lizard populations showed that population fragmentation due to loss of qualitative thermal habitat could potentially cause declines of *Bradypodion* lizards and *Pedioplanis burchelli* lacertids (Tolley *et al.*, 2009). The study expressed concern as conservation bodies generally focus on species abundance and endemicy, where landscape genetics could be a valuable tool to gauge evolutionary potential to cope with future climate changes. In addition, extrapolating species responses to climate change across taxa would be negligent, as geographic regions are unlikely to experience climate change at the same tempo and mode. Given climate change predictions, how would warming affect species, globally and locally?

In order to preserve our biodiversity with impending climate change, we need to understand how species currently react with their abiotic and biotic environments and how these interactions are likely to change with global warming (Schaefer *et al.*, 2008). Immediate impacts on whole organisms would include changes in life history, abundance, distribution, physiology, morphology and these changes may result in local extinction (Parmesan, 2006; Schaefer *et al.*, 2008) and
possibly global extinctions (Thomas et al., 2004; Sinervo et al., 2010). In order to survive, species of ectotherms could respond to climate change through phenotypic plasticity or evolutionary adaptation, but these changes might not be possible at the rate imposed by global warming (Gienapp et al., 2007; Visser, 2008) or these conditions could not have been present within some species’ evolutionary histories (Ghalambor et al., 2007). Alternatively, species could migrate to more favourable climatic envelopes; however, the success relies heavily on the species’ dispersal ability and the availability of favourable areas to relocate to (Thomas et al., 2004).

Dispersal ability is possibly one of the most important factors influencing future species distributions (Duckett et al., 2013). The significance of considering dispersal when predicting changes in species’ distribution has been acknowledged (Cain et al., 1998; Guisan & Thuiller, 2005; Araújo et al., 2006). Genetic techniques can be used to measure dispersal indirectly (Stow et al., 2001). However, in order to understand dispersal potential of species, the factors driving a species current distribution should be discerned. Likewise, species physiological capabilities are just as important to understand which variables possibly dictate the extent of their occurrence and persistence and how they utilize their available habitat. In the event of current habitats becoming unsuitable and if dispersal to favourable habitat is not possible due to factors such as habitat fragmentation or habitat loss (Thomas et al., 2004), adaptive or plastic responses are the alternative. Natural selection is thought to act directly on ecologically relevant factors where behavioural, morphological and physiological traits directly influence the fitness of an organism (Arnold, 1983). If the compensating behavioural changes lead to a diminished physiological

![Figure 1.1: Current and potential future distribution of South African biomes. These maps and predictions have been adopted from Rutherford and colleagues (1999). White areas indicate future unknown biomes and climates.](image-url)
capacity, selection should promote parallel changes in the organisms’ physiology and morphology, thus increasing capacity and re-establishing coadaptation (Huey, 1987).

Ectotherms are excellent model organisms to identify possible responses to climate change. Almost all aspects of an ectotherms behaviour and physiology are sensitive to their body temperature (Huey, 1974). These aspects include movement (Bauwens et al., 1995), foraging ability (Ayers & Shine, 1997) and growth and feeding rates (Kingsolver & Woods, 1997; Aubret & Shine, 2010). These organisms obtain most of their body heat from their environments, through solar radiation, convection and conduction and predominantly rely on behavioural thermoregulation (shuttling between sun and shade) to buffer the thermal heterogeneity of their environments, maintain preferred body temperatures and curb overheating (Huey 1974; Kührt et al., 2005). Therefore, reptiles provide an exceptional opportunity to investigate the aspects driving species physiological and behavioural responses to variable thermal landscapes. Unfortunately, as energy drives these major processes, they could be highly vulnerable to changes in their abiotic environments (Adolph & Porter, 1993; Angilletta et al., 2002; Martin & Huey, 2008; Angilletta, 2009). For instance, the ability of lacertid lizards to respond to the rapid climatic changes through evolutionary means might be limited (Bauwens et al., 1995). Therefore, the yoke could lie upon their physiological capability to compensate, either through behavioural thermoregulation or thermal plasticity (Aubret & Shine, 2010). If species are not able to respond rapidly to changing environments, they may face extinction.

Typically, lizards behaviourally thermoregulate by seeking cooler refuges (burrows) on hot days or shuttling between warm and cold microsites to maintain optimal body temperatures (Huey, 1974). Temperate lizards, relative to tropical and inland species, have been identified as least concern with respect to climate change (Kearney et al., 2008). However, these assumptions mainly focus on the availability of optimal thermal habitat and lizards’ ability to buffer overheating through shuttling. For instance, certain tropical lizards already occur in shaded areas and the possibilities to retreat to cooler refuges are limited (Huey et al., 2009). Coastal temperate species could face either predicament: spending more time in their burrows to avoid overheating on hot days or spending more time basking to obtain optimal body temperatures on cold days. For the former, longer periods in refuges reduce foraging time possibly resulting in an insufficient net energy gain for reproduction (Huey et al., 2010). For the latter, lizards would likely not be able to acquire these optimal ‘core’ temperatures in heavily shaded areas (Kearney et al., 2009). In addition, increased basking periods could put individuals at greater risk of predation, which in turn could curb population abundance and persistence. Temperate west coast lizards could face a relatively greater challenge as increased temperatures coupled with decreases in annual winter rainfall in the Western Cape is thought to further exacerbate the severity of climate change in this region (Meadows, 2006).
There is clearly a need to investigate the capacity of ectotherms to cope with changing thermal environments as the inability to adapt to novel environments or disperse to favourable habitat could result in diminished fitness capacities. However, in order to do so, numerous traits need to be considered, such as the climatic drivers that shape a species current occurrence and contemporary thermal capacity. Identifying these variables could aid in understanding a species ability to respond to climate change.

In the present study, the southern African endemic lacertid lizard, Meroles knoxii was used to investigate the genetic and physiological potential of a temperate west coast lizard to adapt to climate change. The South African west coast comprises two of the threatened biomes mentioned before, the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biomes, both limited by the winter-rainfall zone with centres of high endemism (Rutherford et al., 1999). The entire coastal region is associated with steep thermal and rainfall gradients, with temperatures decreasing and rainfall increasing on north-south axes (Fig. 1.2). These gradients are likely affected by the cold north flowing Benguela Current and the winter rainfall zone (Lutjeharms, 1998; Schumann, 1998; Tyson & Preston-Whyte, 2000; Chase & Meadows, 2007). Changes in future climate could potentially affect these gradients as ocean circulation disruptions predict cascading effects for marine environments (IPCC, 2014). If these gradients affect M. knoxii, climate change could impact this temperate species. Climate warming of 3-6°C (IPCC, 2014), could potentially curb activity periods for this cold-adapted lizard. The west coastal region is associated with high incidence of fog and cloud cover (Janse van Rensburg et al., 2009). The direction and magnitude of future changes in rainfall in South Africa are uncertain (Ziervogel, 2014). However, it is conceivable that changes in fog and cloud cover could also occur. If, for instance, the incidence of fog and cloud cover increases, potential activity of lizards could be further restrained. As reptiles in the western region of South Africa are likely to face a challenging environment with thermal landscape changes and their habitats threatened with potential biome shifts and losses, M. knoxii could prove a model ectotherm to study a reptile’s possible response to climate change.

As Meroles knoxii has a distribution (Fig. 1.2; Branch, 1998), encompassing the climatically variable west coastal strip with partial dispersal inland, presumably via the Tankwa Karoo basin, this lizard is likely to show phylogeographic structure. In Chapter 2, phylogeographic inference is used to investigate the possibility of phylogeographic structure which could aid in understanding the species possible past and contemporary ecological drivers as well as identifying the extent of genetic groups and the genetic diversity of these groups. This information could be vital in determining whether climatic drivers could be responsible for M. knoxii contemporary occurrence and identifying potential dispersal barriers given future climate change. In Chapter 3, two populations from M. knoxii’s southern extent are used to investigate whether the thermal profile of
this species could differ at a small spatial scale by estimating several physiological traits. These profiles could identify which traits could be more variable and relevant for climate change predictions. In addition, identifying whether these populations have a wide or narrow thermal tolerance breadth could aid in understanding physiological capacity to cope with increased body temperatures and which body temperatures provide optimal performance capacities for foraging. It is equally important to examine how body temperature affects the resting metabolic rates and total evaporative water loss of these populations. In doing so, possible responses of southern populations of *M. knoxii* to climate change can be discerned if these traits are used in conjunction with climate change scenarios.
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CHAPTER 2

Phylogeographic occurrence of *Meroles knoxii* suggests influences of historic sea level fluctuations and contemporary climatic gradients
2.1 INTRODUCTION

Genetic differentiation is caused by an accumulation of differences in allelic frequencies between isolated or partially isolated populations. These differences accumulate due to evolutionary forces, such as natural selection or genetic drift. Although both forces promote genetic change, drift is a mechanism of chance, whereas selection can be imposed by environmental challenges, for example, by natural selection through adaptive radiation, a process in which organisms diversify rapidly into a multitude of new forms (Slatkin, 1987, Smith, 1989). These forms arise when ecological opportunity affords ancestral species novel environments in which resources are abundant and underutilized (Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). Ecological opportunities are utilized by colonizing under populated areas, extinction of previously ecologically dominant groups or key innovations (evolution of a trait that allows the lineage innovative interactions with novel environments). Conversely, divergence due to random genetic drift occurs when intraspecific populations become isolated through vicariance. Populations become isolated from each other, due to a barrier to gene flow, and this isolation ultimately leads to genotypic and/or phenotypic divergence. The effects of genetic drift can be substantial in small founder or peripheral populations (Mayr, 1963), resulting in allopatric speciation.

Several general patterns have emerged concerning the temporal, spatial and genetic or morphological properties of adaptive radiation (Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). When considering phylogenetic and population genetic evidence, the stages of vertebrate evolutionary radiation seem to follow similar trajectories (Streelman & Danley 2003; Ackerly et al., 2006; Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). Numerous specialists in the field of adaptive radiation agree upon the “habitat first” model of speciation, based on the parsimonious assumption that the evolutionary rates don’t change dramatically over time (Diamond, 1986; Schluter, 2000; Streelman & Danley 2003; Ackerly et al., 2006; Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). Therefore, if habitat divergence characterizes the first stage of speciation among close relatives then it would also represent the early speciation event that initiates adaptive radiation. However, “habitat” is an ambiguous term, where in some instances researchers refer to microhabitat (Streelman & Danley 2003) and others refer to macrohabitat (Ackerly et al., 2006; Gavrilets & Losos, 2009), leading to the conclusion that both possibly contribute to habitat divergence (Danley & Kocher, 2001). Although the pattern is not universal, simply put, taxa seem to diverge along the axes of habitat (macrohabitat followed by microhabitat), trophic morphology and communication, usually in that order (Danley & Kocher, 2001; Streelman & Danley 2003; Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). These stages have been proposed for Anolis lizards that first diverged in body size, then structural microhabitat and finally microclimate (Losos, 2009; Williams, 1972). Several selective pressures could occur during the stages of radiation, i.e. competition for food or space and sexual selection, which further promote divergence. While a divergent selective pressure may predominate during a certain episode it is unlikely that a single pressure operates to the exclusion of others (Danley & Kocher, 2001). However, many factors can
restrict the order in which niche axes are partitioned during divergence, i.e. strength of selection, available genetic variation, environmental heterogeneity and initial ancestral condition of a clade (Schluter, 2000; Gavrilets, 2004).

In addition to the stages of adaptive radiation, another pattern observed is that of the selection gradient effect (Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). Typically, speciation is characterized by long-term geographical isolation which in turn leads to allopatric speciation. When geographical isolation is absent, diversification is hindered by gene flow. However, numerous observations suggest species distributed across a geographic landscape could experience differing selection pressures. Even if gene flow is present, populations on different sides of an environmental gradient may diverge and adapt to local conditions (Schneider & Moritz, 1999, Smith et al., 2001). There seems to be a relationship between geographical patterns and ecological processes of speciation in spatially structured populations (Doebeli & Dieckmann, 2003; Kawata et al., 2007). Populations are usually spatially structured when movement only occurs over short distances and ecological interactions are between localized individuals. Evolutionary branching occurs in these spatially structured populations along an environmental gradient, especially if the gradient is of intermediate slope, i.e. the changes in temperature, humidity or soil nutrients are gradual. However, when gradients are too shallow, selection pressures are not strong enough to drive divergence and speciation. In contrast, if gradients are too steep, selection is strong and prevents populations from spreading to unoccupied areas (Gavrilets & Losos, 2009).

Three broad patterns of geographic modes of speciation have been identified to occur when populations are isolated from one another: allopatric, sympatric and parapatric speciation, with allopatric speciation considered the most common (Bush, 1975). In general, evolutionary biologists agree that speciation occurs when gene flow between diverging populations is reduced to the point where novel alleles entering a population due to hybridization will be eliminated by natural selection. However, uncertainty arises when considering whether the divergence is resultant of extrinsic factors such as geographic barriers or whether due to intrinsic factors such as unique genotypes arising from novel environments whilst still in contact with parental populations.

Historical global climate changes are considered to have had a major influence in causing diversification and radiation of species, including a number of species in Africa (deMenocal, 1995; Potts, 1996). Furthermore, historic climatic fluctuations are thought to be drivers of adaptive radiation of various species (Kimbel, 1995; Boe et al., 2002; Linder, 2003; Bowie et al., 2004; deMenocal, 2004). In southern Africa, numerous studies have shown the possibility that past climatic events could have influenced lineage diversification of flora (Linder et al., 1992; Midgley et al., 2001) and fauna (Gouws et al., 2004; Daniels et al., 2004, 2007; Botts et al., 2013, 2015), including reptiles (Matthee & Flemming, 2002; Tolley et al., 2008; Swart et al., 2009; Barlow et al., 2013). Numerous studies have also associated climate driven vegetation shifts as potential
processes to have contributed to this diversity (Tolley et al., 2006; Price et al., 2007; Swart et al. 2009), with sea level changes thought to indirectly influence clade diversification through climate change.

Ectotherms, such as reptiles, are directly influenced by their climatic environment (Angilletta et al., 2002). Temperature is considered one of the most important climatic variables. Almost all aspects of an ectotherm's behaviour and physiology are sensitive to body temperature (Huey, 1982). These aspects include movement (Bauwens et al., 1995), foraging ability (Ayers & Shine, 1997) and growth and feeding rates (Kingsolver & Woods, 1997; Aubret & Shine, 2010). In the event of climate change, species are either forced to disperse to favourable habitat, adapt locally to their novel environment or go extinct (Visser, 2008). Consequently, climate and habitat can directly influence a species occurrence, persistence and survival. For instance, South African chameleons’ rapid radiation is thought to coincide with the generation of novel habitats caused by historic climatic fluctuations (Tolley et al., 2008). However, when considering a single species, could the path to speciation be discernable?

The lacertid lizard, Meroles knoxii, occurs across a relatively wide distribution range with variable climatic environments and over two biomes; the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biomes (Fig. 2.1), denoted as the Greater Cape Floristic Region (GCFR; Born et al., 2007). The GCFR is characterized as an area of high endemism and exceptionally high plant biodiversity (Born et al., 2007; Linder et al., 2010). The incidence of such high endemism and diversity has been attributed to the relatively stable climate of this region since the Pliocene (Linder, 2003; Cowling et al., 2009). Studies have revealed higher genetic diversity, phylogeographic structure and intraspecific genetic lineage turnover in the western GCFR for several taxa (Tolley et al., 2006, 2009; Price et al., 2007; Linder et al., 2010; Barlow et al. 2013). Given that past climatic fluctuations and environmental gradients may be drivers of speciation, M. knoxii is potentially in the process of diversification.

This lacertid occupies a complex climatic distribution range, from a hyper-arid temperate climate in the north to a semi-arid temperate Mediterranean climate in the south. The gradient is heavily influenced by the cold north flowing Benguela Current and winter-rainfall resulting from temperate frontal systems embedded in the westerlies (Lutjeharms, 1998; Schumann, 1998; Tyson & Preston-Whyte, 2000; Chase & Meadows, 2007), curbing extreme temperatures with cooling marine air and increased fog cover in the north (Mucina et al., 2006). Additionally, a steep north-south decreasing rainfall gradient occurs along the coastline (Mucina et al., 2006), further contributing to the climatic complexity of the region. The majority of this species distribution occurs in the winter rainfall zone (Schulze, 2007; Stowe & Sealy, 2015, Fig. 2.1), suggesting a possible partial dependence on seasonal winter rainfall that could limit dispersal to the eastern summer rainfall region. Furthermore, the western GCFR is thought to have been relatively climatically stable since the Pliocene, whereas the Nama-Karoo region to the east of its distribution shows
relatively high levels of climatic fluctuation during that same time, with biomes expanding and contracting resulting in climatic instability (Tolley *et al*., 2014). The climatic instability of the eastern region could have been climatically unfavourable for *M. knoxii* and in effect, have curbed potential dispersal to the eastern part of southern Africa.

Apart from the climatic gradient, substrates differ across the region, with the northern Succulent Karoo biome consisting mainly of clay soils and the southern Fynbos biome consisting of sandy soils (Lechmere-Oertel & Cowling, 2001). Furthermore, the Benguela Current creates wind-blown sand movement corridors (Desmet, 1996; Driver *et al*., 2003), potentially advantageous for lizard movement across the landscape. As substrate influences lizard morphology (Kohlsdorf *et al*., 2001) and performance (Vanhooydonck *et al*., 2015) vital to survival traits, such as predator evasion, feeding and competition, the differing substrates could play a role in divergence for *M. knoxii*.

The climatic gradient and differences in vegetation/habitat across the species range may impact phenotypic divergence for functional traits but also body size. *M. knoxii* appears to display differences in body size consistent with the north-south gradient (Branch, 1998; S. Edwards, pers. comm.), and could be an indication of local adaptation to environmental temperatures. The northern region experiences mean annual temperatures of 20°C and the southern region experiences mean annual temperatures of 16.5°C. Whereas, the minimum and maximum temperatures for the northern region is 14.1°C and 23.8°C, respectively, and southern minimum and maximum temperatures are 9.2°C and 20.3°C, respectively (Shulze, 2007). Bergmann’s rule states that populations or species (mammals and birds) are larger in colder environments and smaller in warmer region (Bergmann, 1847). However, for ectotherms such as lizards, the converse of Bergmann’s rule could apply as several mechanisms could cause smaller body sizes to be advantageous in cooler climates (Ashton & Feldman, 2003). For instance, increased surface area to volume allows rapid heating and cooling (Cowles, 1945; Bogert, 1949; Stevenson, 1985), smaller individuals could more accurately control behavioural thermoregulation to assist in digestion and development. In contrast, efficient behavioural thermoregulation in warmer climates could be less important (Shine and Madsen, 1996), relaxing body size selective pressures and permitting lizards to attain larger sizes for other benefits, i.e. competition or predation (Peters, 1983). Although there is evidence to support the inverse of Bergmann’s rule for reptiles, the rule remains controversial for a variety of ectotherms (Partridge & Coyne, 1997; Angilletta & Dunham, 2003; Walters & Hassall, 2006) and reptiles (Ashton & Feldman, 2003; Sears & Angilletta, 2004; Pincheira-Donoso *et al*., 2008). Therefore, although Bergmann’s rule (or the inverse thereof), could be plausible for body size patterns observed, environmental temperatures does not necessarily solely encompass the mechanism driving body size differences.
Incidentally, *M. knoxii* is the only species of the genus *Meroles* to occur in both xeric and mesic environments (Fig. 2.2), suggesting this species has some ecological advantage to occupy the mesic Mediterranean areas as the other species of this genus appear to be restricted to warm temperate/arid regions. In addition the majority of these species occur along the arid western coastal plains of southern Africa, suggesting *M. knoxii* could have diverged in the northern regions of southern Africa and subsequently moved south to the west Cape coast. Furthermore, a recent study estimated the divergence of *M. suborbitalis*, which is *M. knoxii*'s sister taxon (Edwards et al., 2012), to have occurred around ~20mya, during the early Miocene (Hipsley et al, 2009). As sister taxa are thought to diverge historically at approximately the same time, *M. knoxii* likely also originated ~20my ago. Although no molecular dating was implemented for this study, the approximate date of divergence could shed some light on the historical evolutionary processes that could have contributed to the current phylogeographic distribution of genetic entities, if found, for *M. knoxii*.

The western GCFR is associated with high intraspecific genetic lineage turnover for reptiles (Tolley et al., 2009, Linder et al. 2010). Given the widespread distribution of *M. knoxii* across two biomes with strong climatic gradients, there is potential for genetic structure in this species. If these environmental factors have contributed to the evolution of genetic groups, there should be a correlation between genetic structure and either biomes or alternatively with the climatic (thermal) gradient. The correlation would not suggest causation, meaning that if the geographic genetic distribution does match biome extent or shows patterns consistent with the climatic gradient observed, it is not necessarily caused by these abiotic factors, other entities might be responsible.

The contemporary distribution of biomes have not been static, these biomes are thought to have historically shifted their distributions on a north-south axis due to climatic oscillations since the Pleistocene (Midgley & Roberts, 2001; Midgley et al., 2001). Therefore, the historic distributions of the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos Biomes could match the contemporary genetic distribution of *M. knoxii*. In addition, body size could be influenced by the temperature differential created by the climatic gradient, whereby individuals from the north would have larger body sizes relative to individuals in the south. In the event that both genetic and morphological differences coincide, diversification in this species might have been driven by local adaptation along a selection gradient. To test these hypotheses, I investigated the distribution of genetic differentiation first with a phylogenetic approach using maximum likelihood and Bayesian analysis of three molecular markers (two mtDNA and one nDNA) for *M. knoxii* from across its entire distribution range. Furthermore, population genetic structure was examined using spatial analysis of molecular variance (SAMOVA) across the range, as well as at a finer scale on the southern extent of the distribution range. To examine whether morphology (body size) changes along the gradient, an ANOVA was used to evaluate snout-vent-length differences among the identified genetic clades.
2.2 METHODS

2.2.1 Fieldwork/Sampling

Data from previous studies (9 sites) within the current distribution range of *Meroles knoxii* (Lamb & Bauer, 2003; Makokha et al., 2007, Edwards et al., 2012, Engleder et al., 2012) were used, in addition to eight sites acquired through the Reptile Tissue bank and five sites sampled for this study (Fig. 2.1, Table 2.3). Lizards were captured in the field using a noosing technique, a GPS waypoint was taken at the capture location. DNA samples, consisting of a tail clip of approximately 1cm, were taken from each individual and stored in 99% ethanol. Each individual’s snout vent length (SVL) and tail length (TL) was measured; using digital callipers to the nearest mm. Digital photographs were taken of lizards on 1cm² grid paper to create a visual database for post referencing purposes. After measurements were completed individuals were released at their respective points of capture.

![Figure 2.1: Point localities records (black) of *Meroles knoxii* (insert) along the west coastal margin of southern Africa (map insert). Sites sampled for previous studies (yellow) and this study (blue) are shown within the distribution (Table 2.3). Greater Cape Floristic Region (GCFR, diagonal stripes) comprises the Succulent Karoo (brown) and Fynbos (green) biomes (Mucina et al., 2006). The approximate winter-rainfall zone occurs west of dotted line (Schulze, 2007, Stowe & Sealy, 2015).](image-url)
2.2.2 DNA Extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

DNA was extracted from tail tips acquired in the field (n=32) and from SANBI Reptile tissue bank (n=36) using standard salt extraction method (©Vieites, Berkeley USA, Appendix 1.1). Standard PCR was performed to amplify three molecular markers, 2 mitochondrial markers (mtDNA) and one nuclear marker (nDNA). Mitochondrial markers; NADH sodium dehydrogenase subunit 4 (ND4) and 16S rRNA were used, as well as the nuclear marker, recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1) for a subset of the samples (n=33). Primer pairs listed in Table 2.1. Amplification of all genes were carried out using ~20-60ng/μl genomic DNA in a 25μl PCR reaction which contained a thermophilic buffer (50mM NaCl, 50Mm Tris-HCl pH 9.0), 5.0mM MgCl₂, 0.2μM of each primer, 200μM dNTPs, and 1.25 U/0.25μl Taq polymerase (GoTaq®DNA Polymerase, Promega). Cycling conditions differed for each gene region (Table 2.1). PCR products were visualised on an ethidium bromide stained 1% agarose gel using gel electrophoresis for 25 minutes. Sequencing was implemented by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) using the forward primer, and aligned using Geneious version 4.8.5 using the cost matrix Clustal W version 2 (Larkin et al, 2007).
Table 2.1: Primer pairs and PCR cycling conditions of the two mtDNA and one nuclear marker used to amplify the three gene regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene region</th>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Forward / Reverse</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>Primer source</th>
<th>Denaturing</th>
<th>Annealing</th>
<th>Final extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16S</td>
<td>16Sa</td>
<td>Fwd</td>
<td>5’ CGC CTG TTT ATC AAA AAC AT 3’</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Palumbi et al. 1996</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>48-52°C</td>
<td>72°C 72°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16Sb</td>
<td>Rev</td>
<td>5’ CCG GTC TGA ACT CAG ATC ACG T 3’</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>03:45</td>
<td>45s (25cycles)</td>
<td>1min 9min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>F3</td>
<td>Fwd</td>
<td>5’ TGA CTA CCA AAA GCT CAT GTA GAA GC 3’</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>Arévalo et al. 1994</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>48-54°C</td>
<td>72°C 72°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Rev</td>
<td>5’ CAT TAC TTT TAC TTG GAT TTG CAC C 3’</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>04:30</td>
<td>45s (35cycles)</td>
<td>45s 10min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAG1</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>Fwd</td>
<td>5’ GAA AAG GGC TAC ATC CTG G 3’</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>Mayer &amp; Pavlice v 2007</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>48-56°C</td>
<td>72°C 72°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Rev</td>
<td>5’ AAA ATC TGC CTT CCT GTT ATT G 3’</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>05:30</td>
<td>45s (40 cycles)</td>
<td>30s 10min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3 Phylogenetic Analysis

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted using all available sequences of *Meroles knoxii*, including 49 sequences from GenBank (Table 2.3). A partition homogeneity test (Farris *et al.*, 1994, 1995) was conducted in PAUP* v 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002) and showed no conflict between the two mitochondrial markers nor between the combined mitochondrial with the nuclear marker (nDNA: p=0.01; mtDNA: p = 0.004). Several taxa were used as outgroup taxa (see Edwards *et al.*, 2012), including all species of the *Meroles* genus (except *M. micropholidotus*), two species of *Ichnotropis* (*I. capensis, I. bivittata*); *Tropidosaura* (*T. gularis, T. montana*) and *Australolacerta* (*A. rupicola, A. australis*).

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using Bayesian Inference (MrBayes v2.3.3; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). Phylogenetic trees were first constructed by analysing gene regions separately (16S; 476bp; n=83, ND4; 834bp; n=93, RAG1, 996bp, n=26). Afterwards, gene fragments were concatenated providing a total of 1310bp (n=80) for mtDNA analysis and 2306bp (n=26) for combined mtDNA and nDNA analyses. Several phylogenetic analyses were conducted on the concatenated dataset; (1) all *M. knoxii* with only *M. suborbitalis* and *M. anchietae* as outgroup taxa, (2) all *M. knoxii* with the all *Meroles* species as outgroup taxa , (3) *Meroles knoxii* as in-group and several lacertid species as outgroup taxa (see Edwards *et al.*, 2012).

All Bayesian sequence alignment files were analysed using jModeltest v2.1 (Posada, 2008) to estimate the evolutionary best-fit models of nucleotide substitution. The following models were revealed as the AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) best fit for 16S (TrN+I+G) ND4 (TIM2+I+G) and RAG1 (TIM2+G). These models were then used to identify the number of rate categories for each partition (Nst = 6, all molecular markers). For Bayesian analysis twenty million generations were
performed for two parallel runs of four chains of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms, with trees sampled every 1000 generations.

Tracer v 1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2007) was used to ascertain the number of generations to discard as burn-in by examining the effective sample sizes (ESS) of all parameters which were \( \geq 200 \). A 50% majority rule tree was constructed with the burn-in excluded using the “sumt” command in MrBayes, and nodes with \( \geq 0.95 \) posterior probability were considered supported. A partitioned maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was run (RAxML v.7.2.8; Stamatakis, 2006) using the same partitions utilized for the Bayesian analysis using the GTR+I+G model of evolution with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Bootstrapping of \( \geq 75 \) was considered supported. The level of genetic diversity between and within the clades was investigated using sequence divergences estimated utilizing net uncorrected p-distances in MEGA v.5 (Tamura et al., 2011).

**2.2.4 Population genetics**

Population level analyses were carried out on the two mitochondrial markers of *M. knoxii* acquired from 22 sites (Table 2.3). A haplotype network was constructed for all data of both mtDNA markers using NETWORK v. 4.613 (www.fluxus-engineering.com; Bandelt et al., 1999; Forster et al., 2001; Polzin, 2003) to identify the number of haplotypes, whether there were haplotypes shared between sites, and provide a qualitative representation of haplotype distribution across the sampled populations. Estimations of haplotype diversity (\( h \)) and nucleotide diversity (\( \pi \)) for all individuals from each clade were estimated using Arlequin v3.11 (Excoffier et al., 2005). A 95% connection limit was identified using TCS v1.21 (Clement et al., 2000) to determine whether haplotype grouped together or separately.

To examine spatial genetic structure, a Spatial Analysis of Molecular Variance (SAMOVA) was applied to examine which groupings maximize the \( F_{CT} \) value -the variance among groups relative to the total variance (SAMOVA v.2.0, Dupanloup et al., 2002; Fitzpatrick, 2009). A SAMOVA incorporates a simulated annealing procedure to maximize the total genetic variance based on differences between groups of populations. SAMOVA’s were conducted on the two mtDNA markers separately, the mtDNA markers combined and the nDNA and mtDNA dataset combined. Independent runs with different values of \( K \) (\( K = 2-15 \)) were carried out for each dataset, with 100 random initial conditions and 1000 iterations. Furthermore, the southern clade (see Results) was analysed separately to examine whether fine scale population differentiation could be detected.

A Mantel test was performed using Alleles in Space (AIS; Miller, 2005) to analyse the “isolation by distance” of the southern clade individuals by plotting the genetic similarity (distance) among individual pairs as a function of geographic distance between those pairs (Slatkin 1993, Rousset 1997, Hutchinson & Templeton 1999). Both mtDNA markers were analysed separately and then together for the entire data set.
2.2.5 Morphology (body size)

Snout-vent-length (SVL) of *M. knoxii* individuals sampled during fieldwork and from previous studies (Edwards *et al.* 2012) was used to examine whether body size differed along the north-south axis of the distribution range. A one-way ANOVA with clade membership as fixed factor was used to examine whether body size differed significantly between the clades identified (see Results). First, SVL measurements of males and females were compared for the entire data set, to investigate whether body size differed between sexes, which could bias results. Then, SVL’s were compared between clade groups. As SVL data were not available for the relatively small central clade, data for the northern and southern clade were compared to examine whether the northern clade individuals are significantly larger than southern clade individuals.

2.3 RESULTS

2.3.1 Phylogenetic Analyses

Bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses consistently retrieved *M. knoxii* as a well-supported monophyletic group for the concatenated dataset of three markers (Fig. 2.3). Other analyses (see Methods) revealed the same topologies with similar support values (not shown). Within the species, three clades were identified that correspond to geographically separated groups. Firstly, the northern clade was the most widespread and occurs from the south of Namibia along the west coast of South Africa in the Northern Cape Province until Groenriviermond, with some specimens from the Lutville area and southern Tankwa. The northern clade occurs exclusively in the Succulent Karoo biome. The geographically restricted central clade occurs along the west coast to the north of the Western Cape Province in the fynbos biome. Lastly, the southern clade, relatively less widespread than the northern clade, occurs from the Elandsbay area along the West Cape Coast to the south of Cape Town (Fig. 2.3 & Fig.2.4). Sequence divergence (uncorrected p-distances) between identified clades was estimated for each mitochondrial molecular marker (Table 2.2b). Southern African generic lacertid divisions are typically 16S: 7.57±1.38%, ND4: 21.21±1.33%, RAG1: 4.07±0.54% (Edwards *et al.*, 2012). The same study indicated species level sequence divergence between *A. australis* and *A. rupicola* are 16S:9.55±2.08%; ND4:22.69±1.60%; RAG1:3.74±0.76. Therefore, this rough measure of species differentiation shows no species level differences between the three genetic clades identified in *M. knoxii*. 
2.3.2 Population genetics

For the two mitochondrial markers, 16S and ND4, haplotype (h) and nucleotide (π) diversities were estimated for the three clades separately; northern, central and southern (Table 2.2a). The 95% confidence intervals show that the northern and central clade haplotype diversities are not significantly different, whereas the southern clade haplotype diversity is significantly higher than the other clades. Nucleotide diversity values were not significantly different for any of the clades. The haplotype networks revealed a total of 14 haplotypes for 16S and 43 haplotypes for ND4. A 95% connection limit did not group clades separately for 16S, but did group clades separately for ND4 (Fig. 2.5).

The SAMOVA analysis revealed three distinct genetic groups for both the combined mtDNA and ND4 analysis as among group variation reached a plateau at K=3; showing that ~62-64% of the genetic variation could be explained by grouping the samples in these three groups (Fig. 2.6a). The three groups reflect the clades identified by the phylogenetic analysis. Furthermore, the separate analysis of the southern clade showed no genetic structure, suggesting that sample sites within this clade can be considered a single population (Fig. 2.6b).

The Mantel test indicated that the geographical and genetic distance matrices for sampled sites of the southern clade were significantly positively correlated for both combined molecular markers and separately analysed 16S and ND4 (Fig. 2.7, mtDNA: p<0.01, r= 0.51; 16S: p<0.01, r= 0.09; ND4: p<0.01, r= 0.33). This trend denotes that isolation by distance does occur within the southern genetic population of *M. knoxii*. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>16S</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55±0.110</td>
<td>0.004±0.0030</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>0.004±0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33±0.22</td>
<td>0.0067±0.0049</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.007±0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77±0.03</td>
<td>0.0035±0.0025</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.004±0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>ND4</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95±0.03</td>
<td>0.01239±0.0065</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>0.013±0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96±0.08</td>
<td>0.0058±0.0036</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.005±0.002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.83±0.04</td>
<td>0.0058±0.0032</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.006±0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAG1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.007±0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.007±0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003±0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: a) Haplotype (h) and nucleotide (π) diversities of 16S (top) and ND4 (centre) for northern, central and southern clades (left of matrix). b) Net sequence divergences (p-distances) between clades of *Meroles knoxii* for 16S (top), ND4 (centre) and RAG1 (bottom) markers below the diagonal and standard errors above the diagonal and within clade sequence divergence on the diagonal (shaded grey).
2.3.3 Morphology (body size)

Body size means (Male SVL: 46.63±6.23SD, Female SVL: 47.42±5.89SD) did not differ significantly between males (n= 81) and females (n=63) (F(1,103) = 0.595, p = 0.442). Therefore, no body size differences were detected given these data. However, body size did differ significantly between the northern (SVL: 52.96±5.16; n=30) and southern clades (SVL: 45.09±5.2; n=105) for the combined sexes, with SVL being larger for the northern group (F(1,135)=52.739, p<0.01; Fig. 2.8).
Figure 2.3: Phylogenetic relationship of *Meroles knoxii* compared to several southern Africa outgroup lizards (Lacertidae: Eremiadinii) estimated using two mitochondrial (16S, ND4) and one nuclear (RAG1) marker. Bayesian topology used to show relationships with Bayesian inference (posterior probability ≥0.95) and maximum likelihood (bootstrap values ≥0.75%). Support indicated as follows: both analyses (black circles), Bayesian inference (grey circles), Maximum likelihood (white circles), unsupported nodes (no circles). Map inset: Phylogenetic analysis revealed three clades that correspond to geographically distinct groups; a northern clade (blue squares), central clade (pink dots) and southern clade (yellow triangles). Black dots indicate the point localities of *M. knoxii*. See Fig. 2.4 for details.
### Table 2.3: The localities used for phylogenetic and population genetic (only *Meroles knoxii*) analyses. The sample size (N), the province in which the locality is situated, GPS coordinates and the number of individuals sequenced for each gene. Data acquired from previous studies, i.e. Lamb & Bauer (a, 2003), Edwards et al. (b, 2012), Engleder et al. (c, 2012), Makokha et al. (d, 2007) and samples from South African National Biodiversity Institute (e, SANBI) Reptile Tissue Bank are included. Unmarked locality tissue was taken in the field. Abbreviations: WC=Western Cape, NC=Northern Cape, EC=Eastern Cape, KZN=KwaZulu-Natal, PNR=Private Nature Reserve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample site</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Province/Region</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>16S rRNA</th>
<th>ND4</th>
<th>RAG1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Luderitz b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>29°46'16&quot;S 17°04'18&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Port Nolloth a,b</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>29°15'27&quot;S 16°54'38&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Draaihoek a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°28'56&quot;S 18°20'09&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Twoeuilen b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°35'17&quot;S 18°43'44&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Tankwa Karoo e</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°47'27&quot;S 19°42'55&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Koiingaas e</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>30°03'49&quot;S 17°13'49&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Knolfontein PNR b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°50'59&quot;S 19°38'32&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Groot-Graafwater a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>31°18'06&quot;S 18°29'24&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Groenriviermond a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>30°51'30&quot;S 17°34'33&quot;E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Driftsands NR a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>33°59'30&quot;S 18°39'11&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Zandvlei NR b,a</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>34°05'34&quot;S 18°28'09&quot;E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Garsfontein a</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°42'54&quot;S 19°41'17&quot;E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Phillig a</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>34°00'06&quot;S 18°31'54&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Rietvlei NR b,a</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>33°50'20&quot;S 18°29'29&quot;E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Noup a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>30°03'47&quot;S 17°13'44&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Bosduiflip Farm b,a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°05'40&quot;S 18°21'00&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Saldanha b</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>33°00'43&quot;S 17°54'10&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Jakobsbay b</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°56'48&quot;S 17°53'13&quot;E</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Paternoster b</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°48'28&quot;S 17°53'33&quot;E</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Atlantis b</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>33°40'05&quot;S 18°26'03&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Langebaan b</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>33°06'51&quot;S 18°30'11&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><em>Meroles knoxii</em></td>
<td>Lambertsbay b,a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°04'59&quot;S 18°18'00&quot;E</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td><em>Meroles suborbitalis</em></td>
<td>Kareeburg b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°52'12&quot;S 18°31'48&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td><em>Meroles anchietae</em></td>
<td>Kunene b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>18°54'36&quot;S 11°55'12&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td><em>Meroles anchietae</em></td>
<td>Gobabe b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>24°26'24&quot;S 15°11'12&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td><em>Meroles cuneirostris</em></td>
<td>Gobabe b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>24°26'24&quot;S 15°11'12&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td><em>Meroles reticulatus</em></td>
<td>Swakopmund b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>23°40'48&quot;S 14°30&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td><em>Meroles ctenodactylus</em></td>
<td>Hondeklipbaai b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>31°56'24&quot;S 17°13'48&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td><em>Meroles squamosus</em></td>
<td>Venetia b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>23°44'24&quot;S 29°19'48&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td><em>Meroles squamosus</em></td>
<td>Rooipoort b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>28°38'02&quot;S 16°54'38&quot;E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td><em>Ichnotropis bivittata</em></td>
<td>Humpata b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>15°11'10&quot;S 13°25'48&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td><em>Ichnotropis capensis</em></td>
<td>Tsumkwe b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>23°32'44&quot;S 19°43'12&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td><em>Ichnotropis capensis</em></td>
<td>Kosi Bay b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>27°33'36&quot;S 32°49'12&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td><em>Tropidosaura montana rangeri</em></td>
<td>Sterkstroom b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>32°23'24&quot;S 26°18'36&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td><em>Tropidosaura montana montana</em></td>
<td>Upper Kromme River b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>23°21'9&quot;S 29°25'49&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td><em>Tropidosaura gularis</em></td>
<td>Kammanassie b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>34°22'48&quot;S 22°53'24&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td><em>Vhembalacerta rupicola</em></td>
<td>Bergplaat b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>34°58'30&quot;S 24°48'41&quot;E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td><em>Australolacerta australis</em></td>
<td>Grootwinterhoek b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>34°55'48&quot;S 19°6'36&quot;E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.4: Geographic distribution of the three clades of *Meroles knoxii* (inset) identified by phylogenetic inference (Fig. 2.3). Relevant sites are indicated on the map, with the northern clade (blue squares) occurring predominantly in the Succulent Karoo Biome (brown) and the central (pink dots) and southern (yellow triangles) clades occurring in the Fynbos Biome (green). Chevrons indicate the Knersvlakte. Black dots indicate occurrence records of *M. knoxii*.

Figure 2.5: Haplotype networks of 16S (left) and ND4 (right) revealed 14 (n=82) and 43 (n=95) haplotypes for each marker, respectively. Circles show each haplotype, with the size of the circle indicating the frequency, and these are colour coded to show which haplotypes occur in the northern clade (blue), central clade (pink) and southern clade (yellow). A 95% connection limit did not group clades separately for 16S, but did group clades separately for ND4, including ND4 haplotypes from Port Nolloth (northern clade) and Draaihoek (southern clade). The black dots on the haplotype connections indicate number of mutations between those haplotypes.
Figure 2.6: a) SAMOVA results indicate three genetic groups which reflect the clades identified by the phylogenetic analyses: northern, central and southern. Among group variation plateaus for combined mtDNA and separately analysed 16S and ND4 analyses at K=3. b) SAMOVA analyses show no among group variation in southern clade for combined mtDNA data, or separately analysed 16S and ND4 analyses, indicating a lack of genetic structure within this group of sampled data.

Figure 2.7: Mantel test indicates a significant positive correlation between geographic distance (x-axis; kilometers) and genetic distance (y-axis; F<sub>ST</sub>; p<0.01) for the combined mtDNA data (diamonds) and separately analysed 16S (squares) and ND4 (triangles) data.
2.4 DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic and population genetic analyses show at least three well supported, distinct clades for *M. knoxii* that occur allopatrically along the west coast of southern Africa (Fig. 2.3 & Fig. 2.4), consistent with the pattern of high intraspecific lineage turnover in the western GCFR (Tolley et al., 2006, 2009; Price et al., 2007; Linder et al., 2010; Barlow et al. 2013). Phylogeographic patterns of mammals and reptiles in the GCFR suggest environmental fluctuations during the Plio-Pleistocene could be responsible for fragmenting previously continuous distribution ranges (Matthee and Flemming, 2002; Swart et al. 2009; Linder et al, 2010; Daniels et al., 2010). Considering the approximate origination of *M. knoxii* (~20mya), this patterns could have arisen due to historic environmental changes.

Aridity of the west coastal margins of southern Africa originated in the late Cenozoic (Partridge, 1993; Tankard et al., 1978). The advent of the Benguela Current (ca. 10 Ma) is considered a major contributing factor to aridification of the west coast and this current is still intimately associated with hyper-arid climates today (Siesser, 1978, 1980). Several phylogenetic studies have identified Miocene desertification as a possible driver in Australian lizard diversification (Rabosky et al., 2007; Couper et al., 2008; Shoo et al., 2008; Powney et al., 2010). As southern Africa and Australia underwent similar aridification cycles during past climatic fluctuations, aridification as a
diversification driver in southern African lizards is possible. In fact, the west coast aridification has been implicated in the historic range expansion of several South African lizards due to availability of favourable open habitat (Swart et al., 2009; Engelbrecht et al., 2013). Given the occurrence of the majority of Meroles species on the west coastal margin of Namibia (Fig. 2.2), M. knoxii could have dispersed southwards due to the availability of novel open habitat caused by the aridification associated with the origination of the Benguala Current ~10Mya. Climatic amelioration during the Pliocene caused sea level transgressions (Partridge, 1990). The Langebaan region, situated between the southern and central clades, experienced rising sea-levels from the Late Miocene (~11.2mya; 30m above mean sea level, MSL) to the Late Pliocene (~1.8mya, 90m, 50m, and 20m above MSL). These sea level changes could have disrupted the contemporary continuous distribution range of M. knoxii, causing vicariance of these clades sometime between the late Miocene to late Pliocene north and south of the Berg River region (Fig. 2.9). The sea level transgression of the Berg River has been implicated as a possible historic dispersal barrier of semi fossorial skinks (Heideman et al., 2011; Engelbrecht et al., 2013), suggesting that a psammophilic lizard such as M. knoxii's could also experience this drainage system as a dispersal barrier, historically, currently or both. However, fine scale sampling of this area is required as the phylogeny and haplotype network suggest the possible occurrence of a fourth clade in the Draaihoek area (Fig. 2.3, Fig. 2.5 & Fig. 2.9). The Olifants River occurs between the northern and central clades (Fig. 2.9). Although sea level transgressions do not appear to have affected the region of this drainage system to the same extent as the Berg River region, it could potentially pose as a barrier of dispersal for this lizard. However, considering the Orange River does not appear to cause vicariance within the under sampled northern clade (Fig. 2.9), it is problematic to suggest the Olifants River could be responsible for historic vicariance between the northern and central clades. The Knersvlakte, which appears to occur between the northern and central clades (Fig. 2.4) is thought to present a barrier to rupiculous lizards and hinder dispersal due to substrate affinity (Matthee & Flemming, 2002; Swart et al., 2009; Tolley et al., 2014). Although, this supposed geographic barrier is more likely to act as a dispersal corridor for a psammophilic lizard. As the extent of the northern and central clade is not known, any factors potentially contributing to their phylogeographic occurrence is uncertain. Yet, as the Knersvlakte has been implicated as a barrier for other reptile species, perhaps substrate is not the only factor contributing to the potential vicariance observed. Other factors could include the climatic niche availability or lack of appropriate shelter in the Knersvlakte, especially for a sit-and-wait forager such as M. knoxii.

The northern clade occurs in the arid temperate Succulent Karoo biome and the central and southern clades occur in the Mediterranean Fynbos biome. The phylogeny suggests that the first cladogenic event occurred between the southern clade and central/northern clades, with the central and northern clades diverging some time afterwards (Fig. 2.3 & Fig. 2.4). The phylogenetic structure does not correspond well with the current geographic distribution of these biomes as the
first divergence occurred between the southern and central clade situated in the Fynbos Biome and the second divergence between the northern and central clade occurring in the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biomes, respectively. However, past climatic fluctuations, especially since the Pleistocene, has been implicated in shifting the boundaries of these two biomes on a north-south axis (Midgley & Roberts, 2001; Midgley et al., 2001), more recently with the Succulent Karoo biome displacing the Fynbos biome from north to the present south for the past 18kyr (Midgley et al., 2005).

As the geographic placement of the three clades follow a north, central and southern pattern on a north-south axis, historic biome shifts could have contributed to the current geographic placement of clades observed for M. knoxii. In addition, as contemporary biome distributions do not correlate with the observed phylogeographic occurrence, the biome classification might be too broad a pattern. For instance, vegetation types, whether their density or occurrence, or even thermal microhabitats occurring within these biomes might be a more suitable pattern of comparison as microhabitat specialization has been implicated in the rapid radiation of southern African lacertids (Edwards et al., 2012).

Given the northern, central and southern allopatric placement of clades, the climatic gradient could well have influenced and perhaps maintained the phylogeographic occurrence of these clades.
Evolutionary branching occurs in these spatially structured populations along an environmental gradient, especially if the gradient is of intermediate slope, i.e. the changes in temperature, humidity or soil nutrients are gradual. However, when gradients are too shallow, selection pressures are not strong enough to drive divergence and speciation. In contrast, if gradients are too steep, selection is strong and prevents populations from spreading to unoccupied areas (Gavrilets & Losos, 2009). Although climatic gradients, both temperature and rainfall, does occur on the west coast, the slope is unknown. However, given the north-south phylogeographic occurrence of these three clades, it is conceivable that the climatic gradients could contribute to this pattern.

As hypothesized, if the temperature differential observed along the coast affects these lizards, body sizes should differ accordingly and it does appear to be the case. Individuals from the xeric northern clade are larger than mesic southern clade individuals (Fig. 2.8). Phenotypic diversification in this species might have been driven by local adaptation to temperature or rainfall differences along a selection gradient. Smaller body sizes could be advantageous in cooler climates as increased surface area to volume allows rapid heating and cooling and larger body sizes in warmer climates result due to relaxed body size selective pressure, giving rise to other benefits such as effective competition and predation (Shine & Madsen, 1996; Peters, 1983). However, Bergmann’s rule is controversial for reptiles (Ashton & Feldman, 2003), even when considering species from the same genus (Anigilletta & Dunham, 2003). Therefore, the body size trend observed could be due to the climatic gradients hypothesized or another environmental mechanism/s unknown. For *M. knoxii*, this possible local adaptation could be advantageous and increase fitness in arid and mesic environments for northern and southern populations, respectively. However, these results should be interpreted with caution, as the central clade was not sampled for body size.

Given the widespread distribution range of this species across a thermal gradient cryptic speciation was initially expected as thermal gradients have been associated with speciation (Doebeli & Dieckmann, 2003; Kawata *et al.*, 2007). However, sequence divergence values reflect intraspecific genetic differentiation between the three genetic clades (Table 2.2b), with values lower than what is commonly accepted as interspecific divergence for southern African lacertids (Edwards *et al.*, 2012). Yet, the strong population level structure and possible local adaptation of body size observed could suggest incipient speciation. In fact, *Anolis* lizards are thought to have diverged in body size first then structural microhabitat and finally microclimate (Williams, 1972; Losos, 2009).

 Typically, species distribution models do not consider the potential of different populations within a species experiencing local adaptation to differing local environments. However, this is critical to estimating species responses to climate change as these responses might differ at small spatial scales and identifying these differences could be used to refine climate change response
predictions. Due to the possibility of local adaptation within each clade, fine-scale structure was investigated for the southern clade. No genetic structure was found for the southern clade, suggesting that it could be considered a single population when modelling climate change responses.

Isolation by distance was however, significantly positively correlated within the southern clade. Given the relatively small body size of this species in general (max SVL ~60mm), dispersal potential within the southern clade could be low, causing limited gene flow across the wide landscape and resulting in the isolation by distance pattern observed. Small scale gene flow would promote spatially structured populations which arise when individual movement occurs over short distances and ecological interactions are between localized individuals (Doebeli & Dieckmann, 2003; Kawata et al., 2007). These local interactions could result in small differences that are not detectable on the scale sampled for this study. For instance, thermal traits might differ within this population as several thermal traits could be variable or conserved and identifying which traits are variable and which are conserved would serve to more accurately predict M. knoxii’s potential responses to climate change.

Given the diverse processes that likely influence the phylogeographic pattern of M. knoxii, climate change could strongly impact this system directly or indirectly through diverse mechanisms such as increasing temperatures and disruption of rainfall regimes. Furthermore, if these lizards are adapted to a winter rainfall system, dispersal to the eastern summer-rainfall area of southern Africa could be problematic; resulting in limited dispersal potential in response to climate change. With the Atlantic Ocean at the west of this species distribution range and the Cape Fold Mountains to the east and at the southern extent of the interior distribution, avenues of dispersal are limited. In addition, if these lizards are locally adapted to their xeric and mesic climates, adaptation to novel habitats might not match the rate of climate change proposed for the next century (Chapter 1).

Future studies should attempt to thoroughly sample the northern and central clades, not only increasing the number of sites sampled for this species, but also increased sample sizes per site to better gauge clade extent and identify historic and contemporary drivers associated with this pattern. In addition, the interior region should be thoroughly sampled which would aid in understanding the potential dispersal east in response to climate change. In addition, molecular dating of the clades could further aid our understanding of environmental factors that could’ve influenced the phylogeographic pattern observed in this study. To understand M. knoxii’s future responses to climate change it is imperative to understand the historic climates that could have influenced the phylogeographic patterns perceived today.

2.5 REFERENCES:


Mayer, W., Pavlicev, M. 2007. The phylogeny of the family Lacertidae (Reptilia) based on nuclear


Schumann, E.H. 1998. The coastal ocean off southeast Africa, including Madagascar coastal


CHAPTER 3

Thermal traits of two sites identify potential mechanistic traits for species distribution modelling of *Meroles knoxii*’s southern distribution range
3.1 INTRODUCTION

Thermal sensitivity refers to the degree to which an organism’s physiology depends on temperature (Angilletta, 2009), because temperature influences the rates of chemical and biochemical reactions (Hochachka & Somero, 2002). These influences lead to functional thermal sensitivities at the cellular, systemic and organismal levels (Rome et al., 1992) and places limitations on the occurrence and persistence of life. Ectotherms obtain most of their body heat from their environments through convection, conduction and radiation (Cowles, 1965). Energy exchanged from the environment, through these various avenues, affects the ectotherm’s body temperature ($T_b$). These effects on $T_b$, in turn, affect the performance of individuals (Angilletta, 2002). Physiological and behavioural traits are sensitive to body temperature such as movement (Bauwens et al., 1995), foraging ability (Ayers & Shine, 1997), growth and feeding rates (Autumn & De Nardo, 1995; Kingsolver & Woods, 1997; Aubret & Shine, 2010) and locomotion (Herrel et al., 2007).

Behavioural thermoregulation is one of the primary mechanisms used by ectotherms to maintain body temperature within an optimal range (Angilletta, 2002). However, not all ectotherms thermoregulate to the same extent. Certain species are predominantly thermoconformers (Hertz et al., 1993; Rummery et al., 1994), whereas others thermoregulate precisely (Bauwens et al. 1995; Sartorius et al., 2002). In addition, variation exists among thermoregulators, where certain species appear to maintain the constancy of $T_b$ over time with great precision and others to a lesser extent (Hertz et al., 1993). The cost-benefit model of thermoregulation (Huey & Slatkin, 1976) aims to describe this variation and suggests that ectotherms become thermoconformers when the costs of thermoregulation are greater than the potential benefits.

Heliothermic lizards rely greatly on solar radiation to regulate their body temperatures. Studies suggest that lizards thermoregulate behaviourally by shuttling between sun and shade microsites to maintain their body temperatures within a relatively narrow range (Cowles & Bogert, 1944; Bogert, 1959; Templeton, 1970; Castilla et al., 1999). In addition, they alter their heat flux by modifying body postures to adjust the surface area exposed to energy sources of heat and cold (Heath, 1965; Bartholomew, 1966; Barlett & Gates, 1967; DeWitt, 1971; McConnachie et al., 2009) and by adjusting activity times (Porter et al., 1973; Huey, 1974). The thermal ranges obtained through these behaviours are thought to optimize fitness (Blouin-Demers & Nadeau, 2005). Whilst thermoregulation is considered a complex phenomenon, it can be seen as a continuum of strategies: from thermal specialists who actively maintain a narrow range of body temperatures to thermal generalists or thermoconformers who passively experience the variation in ambient thermal conditions (Angilletta, 2002). Yet, if thermal specialists are unable to behaviourally maintain their body temperatures within this optimal range, how would they survive?
Temperate species typically display bimodal activity patterns during hot summer seasons (activity peaking late morning and late afternoon) and unimodal activity during autumn and winter seasons (Cloudsley-Thompson, 1991; Foa & Bertolucci, 2001). These shifts in activity patterns are considered to depend on thermal constraints and which activity strategy is more energetically advantageous. As operative temperatures increase and surpass preferred or tolerable body temperatures, lizards will experience activity constraints such as having to spend longer periods in refuges, decreasing net energy gain, which could affect survival and reproduction (Huey et al., 2010). The thermal optimum and breadth of performance are characteristics directly related to a lizards’ activity patterns and are considered ecologically relevant (Hertz et al., 1983; van Berkum, 1988). Activity restriction due to climate change has already been noted in several lizard populations and hypothesized to cause local population extinctions (Sinervo et al., 2010).

Climate change is considered a threat to reptile fauna (Chapter 1), with abiotic environmental variables used to identify which species are more likely to be affected by the threat of climate change. Reptiles are likely to respond by physiological adaptation, dispersal to more favourable habitats or passively becoming isolated in refugial areas and possibly going extinct (Visser, 2008; Grigg & Buckley, 2013). Typically rapid evolutionary changes are less likely to occur in reptiles due to relatively longer lifespan when compared to, for example, insects. However, phenotypic plasticity has been earmarked as a potential response to climate change, as these short term or long term changes in behaviour and physiology could maximize fitness (Angilletta, 2009; but see Clusella-Trullas & Chown 2014; Gunderson & Stillman, 2015).

Biomechanical and physiological theory suggests that certain design characteristics required to maximize the performance of different traits could be challenging to reconcile in a single phenotype (Vanhooydonck et al., 2001) and so theoretical models are based on the “jack of all trades is a master of none” (Huey & Slatkin, 1976; Pianka, 1978; Huey & Hertz, 1984) possibly resulting in trade-offs between the performance breadth and the maximal level of performance specialization (Angilletta et al., 2003). For instance, lacertid lizards display different foraging behaviours with some species being relatively sedentary, sit-and-wait (ambush) foragers and other species being active, wide foraging predators (Huey et al., 1984; Bauwens et al., 1995). Research suggests that these differences in foraging behaviour could be related to locomotor capacity, with ambush foragers lacking the stamina of wide-foraging lizards and wide-foraging lizards lacking the sprint speed required for ambush predation (Vanhooydonck et al., 2014).

The relationship between climate and thermal physiology requires the identification of a species thermal performance breadths and maximum performance as it depends on an individual’s optimum temperature (Angilletta et al., 2009). Performance is evaluated using thermal reaction norms, or precisely fitted thermal performance curves. It is a measure of an organism’s capacity to function (Angilletta, 2002). The critical thermal minimum ($CT_{min}$) and critical thermal maximum
(CT$_{\text{max}}$) are the lower and upper thermal limits of this performance, respectively, and thus provide the limits at which ecologically relevant functions cease. Critical thermal limits (CTLs) are reversible and non-fatal. However, given that ecological relevant functions cease, i.e. predator evasion and foraging ability, these temperatures severely impact the survival ability of an individual.

Unfortunately the baseline data of preferred temperatures and performance breadths are lacking for most southern African reptiles. Given the increases in temperature and variable precipitation predicted under several climate change models for South Africa (Chapter 1), the potential activity periods available to lizards could be influenced drastically, therefore the thermal sensitivity of these ectotherms need to be known.

As the ability of lacertid lizards to respond to the rapid environmental changes through evolutionary means might be limited (Bauwens et al., 1995), the yoke could lie upon their physiological capability to compensate, either through behavioural thermoregulation or thermal variability (Aubret & Shine, 2010). Given that research suggests the biotic impacts of climate change could be mediated by physiology (Huey et al., 2010; Dillon et al., 2010), identifying conserved and variable traits could serve to elucidate future climate change responses for species and populations. For instance, evaporative water loss (EWL) is considered a variable trait, where EWL of different reptile species are highly correlated with the aridity of their habitats (Shoemaer & Nagy, 1977; Mautz, 1982; Nagy, 1982). In fact, EWL has been shown to differ intraspecifically, with lizard populations in humid areas displaying higher water loss rates relative to their congeners inhabiting drier habitats (Cloudsley-Thompson, 1991). Likewise, metabolic rate has been shown to differ significantly between two populations of *Sceloporus undulatus* lizards (Angilletta, 2001); however, these differences were season specific. Furthermore, apparent variability of preferred body temperatures suggests a greater adaptive capacity of lizards to climate change (Van Damme et al., 1986; Gvozdik, 2012). In contrast, thermal tolerance breadths and CT$_{\text{max}}$ in particular, have been suggested to be more constrained than CT$_{\text{min}}$ in several lizard species (Bauwens et al., 1995; Grigg & Buckley, 2013).

Therefore, various temperature dependent traits should be measured to establish these baseline data and identify which traits are variable and which are not. These data could then be used on large or small spatial scales and account for the extent of variability within traits. Incorporating these traits as mechanistic variables in species distribution modelling (SDM) could then progress the accuracy of predicting species future responses to climate change and ultimately improve estimates of extinction risk (Kearney et al., 2009). SDM’s use spatial environmental data to infer species range limits and habitat suitability. In effect, these models endeavour to determine the components encompassing a species ecological niche. Most SDM’s are correlative and link these spatial data with species distribution records (Kearney et al., 2004). Recently, SDM’s have
endeavoured a novel approach by incorporating mechanistic variables or functional traits and linking these traits with environmental variables in an effort to improve estimates of species responses to climate change (Kearney & Porter, 2004; Kearney et al., 2009; Duckett et al., 2013). For instance, physiological responses and constraints of lizard species can be associated to those species’ distribution ranges, identifying the fundamental niche, which could in turn be mapped to infer range constraints. As such, traits and their variation (e.g. degree of variability, inter-population variation) should be considered when applying SDM’s as populations and even individuals are unlikely to respond in similar ways. The absence of such consideration could lead to erroneous species response estimates.

The distribution ranges of species are considered a fundamental unit of macroecology (Brown et al., 1996; Gaston, 2003; Whitton et al., 2012; Bonino et al., 2015). Distribution can likely vary due to numerous factors including (but not limited to): climate, competition and resource availability (Bonino et al., 2015). Ectotherm distributions are presumably sensitive to climate and its variation. For the genetic mechanistic variables of SDM’s, Chapter 2 identified three clades for M. knoxii, which should be used, in conjunction with environmental variables, to model each separate evolutionary significant unit/clade’s potential response to climate change. In addition, the southern clade could be considered one genetic population as several sites (n=9) within the southern clade showed no significant genetic population structure (Chapter 2). The southern clade appears to consist of mesic adapted individuals with smaller body sizes. Given that smaller body sizes are associated with relatively higher rates of heating and cooling (Ashton & Feldman, 2003) this population might be at greater risk of climate change relative to the other clades identified. However, variability of thermal traits might occur at small spatial scales. Therefore, identifying whether and which thermal traits are more likely to differ at small spatial scales within the southern clade, is paramount to determining whether this population’s thermal traits can be modelled as a single unit or whether thermal trait variability should be taken into account.

To determine whether certain thermal traits of M. knoxii differ at a small spatial scale within the southern clade, several thermal traits of individuals at two sites were investigated. The sites, Zandvlei Nature Reserve (ZNR) and Rietvlei Nature Reserve (RNR), are situated in close proximity (30km; Fig. 3.1) with no noticeable climatic differences. If presumably conserved thermal traits differ at this small spatial scale, inter-population variation could be advantageous for M. knoxii as certain sites within this genetic population might be able to tolerate impending future climate changes. However, if these thermal traits are conserved between sites, the absence of variability could impose additional constrains in face of climatic changes. Fortunately, conservative traits could serve to extrapolate SDM findings to other sites within the southern clade and hopefully improve estimates of M. knoxii’s potential responses to future climate change.
Firstly, as ectotherms are dependent on their environmental temperatures, thermal traits measured for *M. knoxii* should reflect this thermal sensitivity, i.e. total evaporative water loss and resting metabolic rate should increase with an increase in temperature. *Meroles knoxii* is a temperate-adapted lacertid lizard. Such species typically display a broad thermal tolerance breadth (Bonino et al., 2015) and thermal tolerance breadths are considered conserved for Lacertidea (Grigg & Buckley, 2013). I hypothesize both sites of *M. knoxii* would display similar, wide thermal tolerance breadths. In addition, critical thermal maxima (CT$_{max}$) should be highly conserved between these sites as critical thermal limits are typically conserved throughout evolutionary history (Grigg & Buckley, 2013), with both sites displaying CT$_{max}$ characteristic of lacertid lizards (Bauwens et al., 1995). Likewise, preferred body temperatures (T$_{sel}$) are expected to not differ between sites as T$_{sel}$ is thought to be conserved within clades for certain lizards (Grigg & Buckley, 2013) and both sites occur within the southern clade. Also, range of T$_{sel}$ should correspond to the optimal performance temperatures as these temperatures are thought to correspond to temperatures that maximize their physiological needs.

Furthermore, both resting metabolic rate and total evaporative water loss should differ significantly between sites, as these traits have been identified as variable thermal traits for lizards intraspecifically (Angilletta, 2001). As an ambush predator (Cooper & Whiting, 1999), there is likely to be a trade-off between stamina and sprint speed in *M. knoxii* (Vanhooydonck et al., 2014). Ambush foragers also generally display higher sprint speeds at high temperatures than active foragers. Conversely, if a trade-off is found, stamina should be optimal at test temperatures lower than maximal sprint speed temperatures with an early onset of exhaustion at high temperatures.

To test these hypotheses, T$_{sel}$ and CTLs of *M. knoxii* were determined in the laboratory for the two sites mentioned to assess the thermal preferences and thermal tolerance breadths. Furthermore, the sprint speed and stamina were determined at several temperatures to assess whether trade-offs occur in this temperate ambush predator at ZNR. Metabolic rate and total evaporative water loss was estimated at three temperatures to establish the fundamental energetic physiological cost and desiccation resistance at differing temperatures, respectively. Where possible, thermal traits were compared to determine whether and which traits displayed variability or are conserved between these two sites.

### 3.2 METHODS

**Fieldwork, lizard maintenance and laboratory set-up**

#### 3.2.1 Selected body temperature (T$_{sel}$)

Lizards were captured from two sites; Zandvlei Nature Reserve (ZNR; n=15) and Rietvlei Nature Reserve (RNR; n=20) in the Western Cape Province during December 2013/January 2014 (Fig.
3.1). Climates do not differ considerably as the sites are only approximately 30km apart, however microclimate could be considerably different as vegetation densities differ at these sites (Edwards 2012).

Animals were captured using a collapsible graphite fishing pole with a nylon noose. Upon capture, each individual’s body temperature ($T_b$) was recorded by probing the cloaca of each lizard using a K-type thermocouple connected to a quick reading electronic thermometer (°C; Digital Thermometer Nicety DT804A; Shenzen AOEOM Technology Co., Shenzen, Guangdong, China). Each individual’s snout vent length (SVL) and tail length (TL) was measured using digital calipers (millimetre (mm); Absolute MyCal E-Z Mitutoyo). Mass (g) was measured using a digital balance.

Immediately after collection, lizards were transported to the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) at the Kirstenbosch Research Centre. Lizards were kept in terraria (415x170x290mm) with a sand substrate, vegetation and an elevated tile (for shelter) to mimic the natural environment. The sand substrate was obtained from the site and sifted to remove large particles and small invertebrates. Animals were grouped according to sex with no more than five individuals per terrarium. Terraria were placed inside climate controlled rooms where temperatures were set at 28:20°C cycles (12h:12h). Temperature loggers (iButtons; Maxim Thermochron ibutton, model DS1921G, SD ±1°C) were placed inside the climate rooms to monitor temperatures. Lizards were provided with mealworms (Tenebrio molitor larvae) and grey crickets (Acheta domesticus) daily as well as water ad libitum. Terraria were sprayed daily with water before animals were fed. Animals were acclimated for seven days at 28:20°C cycles (12h:12h). These temperatures are used to standardize tests between populations and individuals.

To measure $T_{sel}$, a stage consisting of pressed wood (1.26x0.73x0.38m) was constructed, divided into six separate parallel lanes along its length. Five infrared lights (Eurolux G230 M-infrared 275W) were suspended at one end and room temperature set to 15°C to create a temperature gradient ranging from 20°C at the unlit end and 60°C where the lights were suspended (following Bauwens et al., 1995). Each lane’s floor was covered with sand approximately 1cm deep. Each day, five lizards were placed in separate lanes at 08:00 and allowed to move freely within their respective lanes. After one hour of habituation, $T_b$ was measured by inserting the tip of a K-type thermocouple into the cloacae. $T_b$ measurements were performed hourly, from 09:00 to 17:00. Measurements where lizard handling time exceeded 10 seconds were discarded for analyses. Animals were fasted 24 hours before $T_{sel}$ trials as feeding affects the temperature preference of lizards (Li et al, 2010). The procedure was repeated until all lizards (n=35) had been trialled.
3.2.2 Critical thermal limits (CTL’s)

Critical thermal maximum (CT\textsubscript{max}) and minimum (CT\textsubscript{min}) were measured for individuals from both sites (RNR: CT\textsubscript{max}: n=17, CT\textsubscript{min}: n=15; ZNR: CT\textsubscript{max} n= 8, CT\textsubscript{min}: n=8). After acclimation, individual lizards were placed in a metal container submerged in a waterbath containing either glycol: water (50:50) for CT\textsubscript{min} trials or water for CT\textsubscript{max} trials. The container was held down by weights with approximately 5cm of the upper half not submerged. Inside the container, two ibuttons and two K-type thermocouples were placed on the highest and lowest part of container (Appendix 1.2). Thermocouples were connected to a logger (TC-08 Thermocouple Pico Data Logger) to record temperatures at each second interval. The container was insulated from the ambient environment with a Perspex lid. Pilot trials were performed to ensure a 1°C/min rate of change (Lutterschmidt & Hutchison, 1997) within the container before initial trials introducing the lizards occurred.
Grant *Labwise™ software* was used to program the waterbath (Grant LTC series waterbath; 21L) to run as follows: five minutes at 25°C allowing the animal to habituate and then, temperature decreases at a rate of 1°C per minute to reach -8°C. An individual was placed in the container and monitored visually, prior to the habituation period and during the experiment. Movements and behaviour were noted during trials. When individuals showed signs of reaching the CT$_{\text{min}}$; i.e. by moving sluggishly or ceasing movement, the Perspex lid was lifted and righting response checked. The ambient temperature registered by the closest thermocouple to the lizard was noted upon loss of righting response as the CT$_{\text{min}}$.

CT$_{\text{max}}$ trials were conducted using a Huber CC410 waterbath (22L). Internal software was programmed to run as described for the CT$_{\text{min}}$ for the habituation period and then temperature increases at a rate of 1°C per minute to reach 60°C. As with CT$_{\text{min}}$, lizards were monitored the entire trial, and when individuals showed signs of reaching the CT$_{\text{max}}$; i.e. onset of muscle spasms (Lutterschmidt & Hutchison, 1997), the individual was removed. The temperature registered by the lower thermocouple was noted as the CT$_{\text{max}}$.

Upon completion of the trial, lizards were probed to determine body temperature and then placed in a recovery container, set within a larger container filled with mildly warm or cool water for CT$_{\text{min}}$ and CT$_{\text{max}}$ trials, respectively. Lizard condition and responsiveness was checked and added to records of condition monitoring. Individuals were weighed before and after each trial with a Mettler Toledo (model AX204, ±0.07mg) balance. Animals were fasted 24 hours prior to trials. Where possible, lizards were probed with a K-type thermocouple connected to a quick reading electronic thermometer (Digital Thermometer Nicety DT804A; Shenzen AOEOM Technology Co., Shenzen, Guangdong, China) to estimate T$_b$ of CTL’s. The lizards acquired for the resting metabolic rate and total evaporative water loss trials were used to determine critical thermal limits of *M. knoxii*. All lizards recuperated from CTL trials unharmed.

### 3.2.3 Performance traits

Lizards included in performance traits were captured from ZNR during December 2012 (n=14). Methods for acquiring field operative temperatures, measurements of body mass and body size, equipment used and lizard laboratory maintenance are consistent throughout all fieldwork performed (See selected body temperatures).

Sprint speed was measured at five different temperatures; 20°C, 25°C, 30°C, 35°C and 40°C using a 2.5m cork substrate racetrack. The order of test temperatures were randomised as follows: 20°C, 40°C, 35°C, 30°C and 25°C. After the climate chamber reached the desired temperature, lizards were removed from their terraria, placed in cloth bags and moved to the climate chamber, where sprint speed trials were to be conducted, for one hour to acclimate. Lizards were probed with a
thermocouple to ensure body temperature was within range of the desired test temperature just before the trial.

The racetrack consisted of eight pairs of photocells, placed at 25cm intervals on each side of the track (Appendix 1.3), which register when a lizard passes and the time elapsed between passing two subsequent cells were automatically recorded. Each individual was encouraged to race down the track by lightly tapping near or on the tail. Sprint speed was calculated over each interval. Each animal was trialled three times with a resting period of one hour between trials. Upon completion, lizards were placed back in their terraria, sprayed with water and left to rest, feeding them about an hour later.

Stamina was calculated at the five experimental temperatures in the same order as sprint speed. Stamina was quantified on a pressed wood substrate circular track with one lap equivalent to 2.4m, and marked in 12 intervals of 20cm each (Appendix 1.3). Acclimation followed the same procedure as sprint speed. Lizards were encouraged to run around the track from a set starting point, recording both the duration and distance travelled until the animal refused to run further. The trial ended when the lizard showed a loss of righting response after being turned on its back (Huey et al. 1990). Each individual was tested twice within one day, with a minimum of one hour resting between trials. Upon completion of all trials, lizards were returned to terraria, sprayed with water and left to rest, feeding them about an hour later. Individuals that did not run continuously were excluded from analysis. As an estimate of maximal stamina, the longest running time of the two trials were used.

3.2.4 Resting metabolic rate (RMR) and total evaporative water loss (TEWL)

Lizards were captured from both study sites (ZNR: n=15; RNR; n=20) during December-February 2014 (see critical thermal limits). RMR and TEWL were measured simultaneously using a flow-through respirometry method. Atmospheric air was pumped through three different scrubbers (Soda Lime, Ascarite and Silica crystals) to remove CO$_2$ and H$_2$O before entering a glass cuvette (200ml) where the lizard was housed (Appendix 1.4). Flow rate was set at 40% (200ml/min) and controlled with a Sierra mass flow controller. A Licor gas analyser (LI 7000 CO$_2$/H$_2$O Analyser) was used to measure CO$_2$ production from airflow through the cuvette by measuring the differential CO$_2$ between incurrent and excurrent air. Baseline was determined for five minutes before and after each trial, to be able to correct for analyser drift if any. The cuvette was placed inside an activity detector (AD2, Sable systems) placed inside an incubator (Labcon FSIM 70; SD ± 0.2°C @ 37°C). The activity detector was connected to a universal interface (UI2, Sable systems) and visualised using Expedata (v1.7.25) to ensure that respiration readings were obtained, at least in part, during a resting phase. The incubator would be set to the desired test temperature before the lizard was placed inside. Two ibuttons (Maxim Thermochron ibutton, model DS1921G, SD ±1°C) were placed inside the activity detector to monitor temperatures experienced inside the incubator. A K-type
thermocouple was fixed to the cuvette and connected to a quick reading electronic thermometer (Digital Thermometer Nicety DT804A; Shenzhen AOEOM Technology Co., Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) to monitor test temperature in real-time. Respiration rate was measured for a minimum of 2.5 hours per test temperature for each individual. Test temperatures were 15°C, 25°C and 35°C, chosen at random. If individuals were not co-operative, i.e. moving around in cuvette, the experiment length would be increased until a minimum of 20 minutes of resting phase was achieved or they would be removed and rerun on another day, depending on time available until photophase ended. Lizards were measured during photophase, from 6am until 6pm. All individuals were weighed (Mettler Toledo balance, model AX204, ±0.07mg) before and after each trial. Lizards were probed directly upon removal from the cuvette to determine $T_b$. Animals were fasted 48 hours before experimental procedure.

### 3.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

All analyses of thermal data were conducted using SPSS (v 20.0). Data were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk’s normality tests and investigated for outliers, skewness and kurtosis to establish whether parametric or non-parametric tests should be used for the respective analyses.

#### 3.3.1 Selected body temperature ($T_{sel}$)

All individuals were included in the analyses, as none lost more than 20% of their initial body mass during acclimation and trial period (Bauwens et al., 1995). As extreme $T_{sel}$ values are considered exploratory behaviour for lizards (Hertz et al., 1993), the central 50% of $T_{sel}$ data were used to calculate the mean, minimum (25th quartile) and maximum (75th quartile) as well as the range of $T_{sel}$ for RNR and ZNR sites separately. Data were generally not normally distributed and therefore, generalized linear models (GZLM) were used to determine whether mean, minimum, maximum and range of $T_{sel}$ differed between RNR and ZNR whereby mean, minimum, maximum and range of $T_{sel}$ were treated as dependent variables and site, mass and site*mass as categorical variables.

#### 3.3.2 Critical thermal limits (CTL’s)

$CT_{min}$ and $CT_{max}$ were analysed separately for both sites. As most climate change modelling requires the use of mean annual temperature or air temperature, ambient temperature experienced by the lower ibutton at the critical thermal limit was used as a proxy for core body temperature. Data consisted of the ambient temperature experienced at the critical thermal limit (CTL) and the mass before and after the experiment. Data were not normally distributed ($CT_{max}$, log$CT_{min}$ and log$CT_{max}$), even after log$_{10}$ transformation, and several outliers were found. Therefore, generalized linear models (GZLM) (with normal family and identity link function) were used to examine all data for the effects of body mass, sex and site (main effects) as well as the interaction of site and body mass on critical thermal limits of *M. knoxii*. $CT_{min}$ and $CT_{max}$ were treated as dependent variables and body mass, sex and site were treated as categorical variables with mass as a covariate.
3.3.3 Performance

The relative performance values for sprint speed and stamina were plotted against body temperature using TableCurve 2D® automated curve fitting analysis (v5.01). Critical thermal minimum and maximum means (see CTL’s) were considered the lower and upper thermal limits of performance, where movement ceases. Individual CTL’s were not used as test subjects differed between performance and CTL trials. Sprint speed and stamina (distance and time) were fit to “curve peak functions” using asymmetric equations for each individual separately. Curve fits were chosen based on goodness of fit (AIC) and evaluated to determine maximal performance ($U_{\text{max}}$) and optimal temperature ($T_{\text{opt}}$) at maximal performance. Mean, minimum, maximum and range of $U_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{\text{opt}}$ were calculated. After identifying the maximal performance at optimal temperatures, temperature performance breadths at 80% and 95% were calculated for each performance trait. Temperature performance breadths reflect temperature ranges at which animals are able to achieve 80% ($T_{\text{pb}80}$) and 95% ($T_{\text{pb}95}$) of their maximal performance. The $T_{\text{pb}80}$ is identified as the temperature range beyond which an animal can no longer fulfil vital survival activities, i.e. predator evasion, foraging, and $T_{\text{pb}95}$ is considered the ideal temperature range for vital activities.

A GZLM was used with mass as a predictor of stamina which includes maximal distance travelled until exhaustion and time travelled until exhaustion as dependent variables. As all individuals used for stamina trials were female (n=5) from the same site (ZNR), sex was not included as predictor for stamina analyses. For sprint speed; mass, sex (M=6, F=7) and the interaction of mass*sex were used as predictors of maximal sprint speed performance.

3.3.4 Resting metabolic rate (RMR) and total evaporative water loss (TEWL)

Data from the Licor CO$_2$ and H$_2$O analyzer were converted from micromol/mol (µm/m) to volumes of VCO$_2$ (ml h$^{-1}$) and from mmol/mol to VH$_2$O (mg h$^{-1}$), for RMR and TEWL, respectively, using Expedata (v1.7.25). Resting periods were identified as stable periods of measurement that overlapped with no activity from the activity detector. Extracted data ranged from 20-40 minutes and were extracted from the same resting interval for both VCO$_2$ and VH$_2$O. Data were excluded if urination or defecation occurred during experimental trials (Spotila & Berman, 1976). The mean values of the resting phase were used to calculate RMR and TEWL for each individual at the specific test temperature (15°C, 25°C and 35°C). Measurements of VCO$_2$ and VH$_2$O production were performed on the same individuals across three different test temperatures (repeated measures). Data were within the prediction of scaling relationships for small lacertid lizards (RMR calculator: 0.288 ml O$_2$ h$^{-1}$ @ 20°C, mean mass=2.9g; Withers, 1992; TEWL: Duvdevani & Borut, 1974).

VCO$_2$ and VH$_2$O production means of the two sites (ZNR and RNR) were first analysed separately. Data of both measurements were not normal; therefore GZLM’s were used to investigate RMR and...
TEWL. Mean, minimum and maximum VCO₂ and VH₂O were allocated as dependent variables and temperature, site, group, mass and the interactions thereof (site*mass, site*sex and sex*mass) as fixed effects.

3.4 RESULTS

3.4.1 Selected body temperature (Tsel)

Mean, minimum, maximum and range of central 50% values were used for analyses (Table 3.1). Mean and maximum Tsel differed significantly between sites (Table 3.2), whereas minimum and range of Tsel did not. Furthermore, mass significantly influenced minimum, maximum and Tsel range, but not mean Tsel. The interaction of site and mass significantly influenced minimum and maximum Tsel, but not mean Tsel or Tsel range. As sites differed significantly for some dependent variables (mean & max Tsel), but not others (min & range Tsel) a post hoc analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to further investigate differences between sites. ANCOVA results show no significant difference of mean, minimum, maximum and range of Tsel between sites (Table 3.2). However, mass influenced mean Tsel significantly and the interaction of site*mass significantly influenced minimum Tsel, as was also shown by the GZLM (Table 3.2).

Table 3.1: Summary of the central 50% for Tsel of ZNR and RNR lizards. All values (minimum, maximum, range and mean) are in °C ± s.e.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zandvlei</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33.5±0.55</td>
<td>32.3±0.06</td>
<td>34.6±0.03</td>
<td>3.3±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rietvlei</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.1±0.03</td>
<td>32.3±0.02</td>
<td>33.9±0.02</td>
<td>2.2±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.2: Generalized linear model for the effects of site, mass and interaction of site*mass on mean, minimum, maximum and T\textsubscript{sel} range of M. knoxii individuals from RNR and ZNR sites combined (left). ANCOVA with body mass as covariate and with site, mass and mass*site as factors (right). Significance is indicated with *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generalized linear model</th>
<th>ANCOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coefficient</strong></td>
<td>x\textsuperscript{2} estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean T\textsubscript{sel}</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1608137.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>12.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>78.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum T\textsubscript{sel}</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>6021024.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>74.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>126.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum T\textsubscript{sel}</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3208659.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>150.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass</td>
<td>17.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range T\textsubscript{sel}</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>770170.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>100.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As GZLM indicated sites differ significantly for certain T\textsubscript{sel} variables, sites were further analysed separately to investigate the effect of sex, time of measurement and number of replicate by treating mean, minimum, maximum and range of T\textsubscript{sel} as dependent variables and sex, time and replicate as categorical variables, with body mass as a continuous variable. All categories had no significant influence on mean, minimum, maximum or range of T\textsubscript{sel}, except the time of measurement which significantly influenced the mean T\textsubscript{sel} of ZNR individuals (Table 3.3).
Table 3.3: Generalized linear model for the effects of replicate, time and sex on mean, minimum, maximum and range of T\textsubscript{sel} of ZNR and RNR individuals. Significant variables are indicated with *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zandvlei NR</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rietvlei NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>x\textsuperscript{2} estimate</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean T\textsubscript{sel}</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>87474.519</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>21.084</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum T\textsubscript{sel}</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>272564.935</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>2.381</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>2.798</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>9.826</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum T\textsubscript{sel}</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>77688.841</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>2.585</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>7.564</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range T\textsubscript{sel}</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>39701.825</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>6.531</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2 Critical thermal limits (CTL’s)

GZLM showed that CTL’s did not differ significantly between sites, sexes or mass recorded for individuals or the interaction of site*mass (Fig. 3.2; Table 3.4). The wide ranges of CTL of these sites (Table 3.5) indicate that M. knoxii has a wide thermal tolerance breadth.

![Figure 3.2: CT\textsubscript{min} of RNR (n=16) and ZNR (n=9; left) and CT\textsubscript{max} of RNR (n=16) and ZNR (n=9; right). Error bars °C ± s.e.m.](https://scholar.sun.ac.za)
3.4.3 Performance

Stamina measurements, distance and time travelled until exhaustion, and sprint speed were not affected by mass (Table 3.6). However, sex and the interaction of sex*mass influenced maximal sprint speed performance. The significantly larger males (mass: 2.78±0.22g; n=6) displayed a significantly higher maximal sprint speed ($U_{\text{max}}$: M: 280.02±5.98 m s$^{-1}$; F: 252.45±7.65 m s$^{-1}$) than females (mass: 2.5±0.18g; n=7) from ZNR. See Table 3.7 for values. A trade-off between sprint speed and stamina appear to occur with stamina optimized at lower test temperatures and sprint speed optimized at higher test temperatures (Fig. 3.3).

Table 3.6: GZLM of maximal stamina (distance and time) and maximal sprint speed performance. Significant values are indicated with *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance_Umax</td>
<td>RNR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.7±0.52</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.5±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZNR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.4±0.33</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.2±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time_Umax</td>
<td>RNR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45.1±0.31</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>4.9±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZNR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.3±0.27</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>2.3±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint Speed_Umax</td>
<td>RNR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45.1±0.31</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>4.9±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZNR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.3±0.27</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>2.3±0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4: Generalized linear model for the effects of site, sex, mass and the interaction of site*mass on CT$_{\text{min}}$ (left) and CT$_{\text{max}}$ (right). Significant variables are indicated with *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>x$^2$ estimate</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>14.847</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>6.102</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass</td>
<td>4.404</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>x$^2$ estimate</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1224.442</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>6.022</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>8.332</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>1.684</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Mean, minimum, maximum and range of CTL's for both sites of *Meroles knoxii*. ±s.e.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT$_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>RNR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.7±0.52</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.5±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT$_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>ZNR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.4±0.33</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.2±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT$_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>RNR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45.1±0.31</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>4.9±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT$_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>ZNR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.3±0.27</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>2.3±0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.7: Summary of $U_{\text{max}}$ (m s$^{-1}$) and $T_{\text{opt}}$ (°C) for sprint speed, distance and time travelled to exhaustion. All values (minimum, maximum, range and mean) ± s.e.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Sprint Speed</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$U_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$T_{\text{opt}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>265.2±62</td>
<td>34.6±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>225.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>298.9</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 3.3: Optimal temperatures ($T_{\text{opt}}$) and performance breadths ($T_{\text{p80}}$ and $T_{\text{p95}}$) of sprint speed and stamina traits of ZNR individuals.](image)

### 3.4.4 Resting metabolic rate (RMR) and total evaporative water loss (TEWL)

Temperature significantly affected mean, minimum and maximum $VCO_2$ and $VH_2O$ production (Fig. 3.4; Table 3.8), with $VCO_2$ and $VH_2O$ production increasing as temperature increased. In addition, mean $VCO_2$ was influenced by mass, minimum and maximum $VCO_2$ differed significantly between sexes and the interaction of sex*mass significantly influenced minimum $VCO_2$ production. For $VH_2O$ production, no other fixed factors influenced $VH_2O$ production, except temperature. Interestingly, there was no significant difference of mean $VCO_2$ and $VH_2O$ production between sites, even when mass and sex was included in the model interaction. In addition, variance of $VCO_2$ and $VH_2O$ appear to increase with an increase in test temperatures (Fig. 3.5 & 3.6, respectively).
Figure 3.4: Minimum, mean and maximum VCO₂ and VH₂O production at the three test temperature (15°C, 25°C and 35°C). RMR and TEWL increase as temperature increases, with RMR and TEWL increasing more rapidly between 25-35°C than between 15-25°C for mean and minimum VCO₂ and VH₂O production and maximum VH₂O production.
Table 3.8: Results of GZLM for the effects of temperature, site, group, mass and the interactions thereof (site*mass, site*sex and sex*mass) on mean, minimum and maximum \( \text{VCO}_2 \) and \( \text{VH}_2\text{O} \). Significance is indicated with a *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Mean ( \text{VCO}_2 )</th>
<th>Mean ( \text{VH}_2\text{O} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x^2</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept 0.086</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex 2.012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature 385.871</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 2.086</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass 5.263</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass 1.942</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Sex 1.215</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex*Mass 1.881</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Minimum ( \text{VCO}_2 )</th>
<th>Minimum ( \text{VH}_2\text{O} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x^2</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept 6.161</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex 7.892</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature 166.958</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 1.069</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass 0.284</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass 1.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Sex 0.415</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex*Mass 8.238</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Maximum ( \text{VCO}_2 )</th>
<th>Maximum ( \text{VH}_2\text{O} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x^2</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept 11.074</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex 10.961</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature 155.591</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 0.975</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass 1.244</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Mass 0.708</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*Sex 0.614</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex*Mass 7.909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.5: Temperature significantly influenced $\text{VCO}_2$ production, minimum, mean and maximum RMR increasing with an increase in temperature.

Figure 3.6: Temperature significantly influenced the rate of $\text{VH}_2\text{O}$ production. TEWL increased significantly with an increase in temperature.
3.5 DISCUSSION

Individuals of *Meroles knoxii* tested from two sites sampled within the one genetic population of the southern clade clearly exhibited sensitivity of several thermal traits. The “preferred” or selected body temperature of a species is thought to reflect the approximate optimum range for fitness (Hertz *et al.*; 1993; Martin & Huey, 2008). Selected body temperatures (T_{sel}) of both sites revealed a narrow thermal range (RNR: T_{sel} range 3.3±0.08°C; ZNR T_{sel} range 2.2±0.04°C; Table 3.1), suggesting that *M. knoxii* could be a thermal specialist, maintaining its T_b within these relatively narrow thermal breadths. T_{sel} range and minimum T_{sel} did not differ significantly between sites. However, mean (RNR: mean 33.1±0.03°C, ZNR: mean 33.5±0.55°C) and maximum T_{sel} (RNR: max T_{sel} 33.9±0.02°C, ZNR: max T_{sel} 34.6±0.03°C) differed significantly (Table 3.2), suggesting mean and maximum T_{sel} could be more informative when considering small scale differences and mean T_{sel} relevant when extrapolating large scale estimates.

Selected body temperatures were similar to T_{sel} previously identified for other lacertid lizards (*Lacerta monticola*: 33.7°C; *Lacerta vivipara*: 32.5°C; Bauwens *et al.*, 1995). Sex and replicate did not differ significantly between sites (Table 3.3). However, measurements taken at different times during the day affected mean T_{sel} for ZNR individuals. Basking behaviour correlates with activity periods associated with seasons, for instance switching between bimodal and unimodal activity for summer and winter, respectively (Cloudsley-Thompson, 1991; Foa & Bertolucci, 2001). However, ZNR appears to prefer bimodal basking in the laboratory experiments, with most measurements within the central 50% of data captured occurring between 09:00-12:00 and 15:00-17:00. This finding should be correlated with field activity times and the availability of quality thermal microhabitats for summer and winter to verify whether this pattern is consistent with field observations and identify the underlying mechanisms (e.g. endogenous rhythm).

Critical thermal limits did not differ significantly between sites (Table 3.4) suggesting critical thermal limits are conserved between these sites, as expected. This could be due to ecological niche conservatism where species retain ancestral ecological characteristics (Wiens & Graham, 2005). In fact, upper critical thermal limits are thought to be highly conserved for lacertids (Bauwens *et al.*, 1995). These finding are consistent with CT_{max} recorded for other lacertid species (*Lacerta vivipara*: 40.5±0.31°C; *Acanthodactylus erythrurus*: 46.3±0.65°C; Bauwens *et al.*, 1995). In addition, this species does appear to show a broad thermal tolerance breadth as CT_{min} are quite low (RNR mean: 5.7±0.52°C; ZNR mean: 6.4±0.33°C) and CT_{max} relatively high (RNR mean: 45.1±0.31°C; ZNR mean: 45.3±0.27°C; Table 3.5). Also, as the CTL’s appear to be conserved between sites and are considered the temperatures where ecologically relevant functions cease, these traits could be very informative when used in conjunction with SDM’s. Considering that the margin between T_{sel} and CT_{max} is large (>10°C; RNR mean T_{sel}: 33.1±0.03°C, ZNR mean T_{sel}: 33.5±0.55°C), overheating should not occur accidentally and lizards would probably retreat to
burrows before such possibilities arise, especially given the indication of their astute behavioural thermoregulatory capacity.

Temperature significantly affected resting metabolic rate (RMR) and total evaporative water loss (TEWL), with both traits increasing linearly with an increase in temperature (Fig. 3.4, Table 3.8). However, both RMR and TEWL did not differ significantly between sites, thereby rejecting the hypothesis that these thermal traits are variable at small spatial scales. Evaporative water loss (EWL) is known to be highly correlated with environment (arid/mesic; Shoemaer & Nagy, 1977; Mautz, 1982; Nagy, 1982). Given the climatic similarities of these mesic sites (both approximately 15-25°C in summer), the lack of differences of TEWL between these two sites suggests that TEWL could likely be more influenced by climatic environment than physiology, an interesting trait to consider for climate change effects of the central and northern clades (Chapter 2). For RMR, in addition to the effects of temperature, mean RMR was significantly affected by mass (Table 3.8). Not surprising, as metabolic rates are thought to depend on two interacting processes: the Boltzmann factor (describes temperature dependence of biochemical processes) and the quarter-power allometric relation (biological rates scaled with body size; Gillooly et al., 2001). Interestingly, slope appears more gradual at lower temperatures (15-25°C), with steeper slopes occurring at the higher test temperatures (25-35°C, Fig. 3.4). Likewise, variance around the mean appears to increase at higher test temperatures relative to lower test temperatures for RMR and TEWL (Fig. 3.5 & Fig. 3.6, respectively), suggesting inter-individual variation is more likely to occur at higher temperatures and this variance could possibly buffer the adverse effects of increased temperatures.

As performance traits (sprint speed and stamina) were measured for ZNR only, comparisons of these traits with RNR was not possible. However, as hypothesized, a marked trade-off was observed with optimal sprint speed occurring at higher test temperatures and optimal stamina (both distance and time until exhaustion) occurred at relatively lower test temperatures (Fig 3.3). These findings correspond to what is considered the trade-off for ambush predation (Vanhooydonck et al., 2014). In addition, it supports the implication that certain design traits required to maximize performance of different traits are unlikely to be merged in one phenotype (Vanhooydonck et al., 2001). Optimal sprint speed temperatures (34.6±0.27°C) corresponded with \( T_{sel} \) range (32.3±0.055°C -34.6±0.03°C) for ZNR individuals, suggesting \( T_{sel} \) correspond to temperatures that maximize \( M. knoxii \)'s physiological requirements (Castilla et al., 1999), such as ambush predation. In addition, larger males displayed a significantly higher maximal sprint speed than females (Table 3.6). The larger body sizes could result in longer hind-limb lengths, which has been associated with greater sprint speed capacity (Garland, 1985; Losos, 1990; Bauwens et al., 1995).

Although these experiments cannot indiscriminately reflect the complex interactions experienced by \( M. knoxii \) in the field, it does show several trends worth recognizing as factors that could
contribute to the survival probability of the species. The $T_{set}$ range which reflected narrow optimal breadth, is likely to affect activity periods and in turn potentially affecting foraging, reproduction and increased probabilities of predation. Wide thermal tolerances displayed by CTL’s suggest that this genetic population of *M. knoxii* could buffer climate warming effects through behavioural means. These findings emphasize the need to incorporate physiological and behavioural traits to estimate ectotherm responses to climate change. Other factors that could greatly contribute to our understanding of this species physiological capacity would be seasonal variation of these thermal traits, assimilation/digestion potential under differing thermal regimes and whether thermal profiles shift due to different life stages. More importantly, these thermal traits should be incorporated into SDM’s in an effort to more accurately predict *M. knoxii*’s possible response to climate change.

### 3.6 REFERENCES


Copeia 2: 241-250.


GENERAL CONCLUSION

A species ability to adapt to rapidly changing thermal landscapes is paramount to species survival and persistence. Mechanistic variables, such as genetic diversity and thermal performance parameters, could shed light on these abilities when used in conjunction with species distribution modelling. Recent studies have realized the benefit of this approach. Unfortunately, these studies are still few and far between. Identifying potential historic and contemporary environmental influences and thermal profiles of species would provide better estimate to predict extinction risks and potential future distributions of lizards globally.

This thesis demonstrates the potential phylogeographic occurrence of *Meroles knoxii*, suggesting the three clades identified could be considered distinct evolutionary significant units when conducting species distribution modelling. Given the complex climatic environment potentially contributing to the phylogeographic pattern, modelling this species as such could simplify and possibly improve response predictions of this species. As historic sea level transgressions have been implicated as a process potentially affecting this pattern, future sea level changes should be taken into account. In addition, the possible influence of the winter rainfall zone should be noted for dispersal potential east. Body size differences suggest local adaptation, proposing species responses could differ between clades and thermal profiles are lacking for northern and central clade populations. Additional research should identify the full extent of the northern and central clades with fine scale sampling conducted in the Draaihoek area. In addition, micro-satellite data could elucidate gene flow at a much finer scale.

Thermal sensitivity of *M. knoxii* is apparent, with certain traits considered variable and other conserved between two sites within the southern population. Critical thermal limits, resting metabolic rate and total evaporative water loss were conserved traits between these two sites and should be considered for species distribution modelling. The variance of selected body temperatures between sites tell a cautionary tale, where field behavioural measurements and microhabitat selection should be used in conjunction with laboratory experiments to find this species’ optimal thermal niche. As selected body temperatures followed optimal sprinting capacity of ZNR individuals, results indicate basking temperatures that optimize performance needs. In addition, the trade-off observed between sprint speed and stamina suggests sprint speed temperatures to be more informative for climate change predictions of this ambush predator. Future research should incorporate thermal niche availability in a heterogeneous environment and aim to determine whether the thermal trait variability/conservatism is reflected in the northern and central clade.
APPENDICES

Appendix 1.1: DNA salt extraction protocol (©Vieites, Berkeley USA)

1. Tissue+410μl extraction buffer + 2% SDS (80μl 10% SDS) + 10μl Proteinase K (10mg/ml)
2. Incubate 55 °C or 37 °C overnight
3. 5 minutes 13000rpm centrifuge, pipette transfer supernatant in a new vessel +180 μl NaCl.
   Mix it by turning Eppi 50 times.
4. 5 minutes 13000rpm centrifuge, pipette transfer supernatant quickly in a new vessel + 420μl cooled Isopropanol (mix it gently).
5. Leave samples in freezer for 24hours at -40 °C.
6. 5 minutes 13000rpm centrifuge, discard supernatant. Add 250 μl 80% Ethanol for washing.
   Mix it by turning Eppi 50 times.
7. 5 minutes 13000rpm centrifuge, discard supernatant. Add 250 μl 80% Ethanol for washing.
   Mix it by turning Eppi 50 times.
8. Remove alcohol completely, dry pellet with open lids on heat block until the alcohol has evaporated.
9. Dilute DNA in 100-200 μl ddH₂O and keep at room temperature overnight.
10. Freeze -20 °C or use it.
Appendix 1.2: Experimental set-up of the critical thermal limits trials conducted on *Meroles knoxii.*

**Diagram:**
- **Waterbath**
  - $C_{T_{min}}: \text{50:50 glycol:H}_2\text{O}$
  - $C_{T_{max}}: \text{H}_2\text{O}$
- **Recuperation chamber**
  - Primary container
  - Warm/Cold water
  - Rock substrate
- **Picologger**
- **Labwise™**
Appendix 1.3: Sprint speed racing track (left) and stamina racing track (right) used to conduct performance trials for *Meroles knoxii*. 
Appendix 1.4: Experimental set-up of flow through respirometry measurements to determine RMR and TEWL of *Meroles knoxii*. 