Dispersal as a source of variation in age-specific reproductive strategies in a wild population of lizards
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Dispersal syndromes describe the patterns of covariation of morphological, behavioural, and life-history traits associated with dispersal. Studying dispersal syndromes is critical to understanding the demographic and genetic consequences of movements. Among studies describing the association of life-history traits with dispersal, there is anecdotal evidence suggesting that dispersal syndromes can vary with age. Recent theory also suggests that dispersive and philopatric individuals might have different age-specific reproductive efforts. In a wild population of the common lizard (Zootoca vivipara), we investigated whether dispersive and philopatric individuals have different age-specific reproductive effort, survival, offspring body condition, and offspring sex ratio. Consistent with theoretical predictions, we found that young dispersive females have a higher reproductive effort than young philopatric females. Our results also suggest that the early high investment in reproduction of dispersive females trades-off with an earlier onset of senescence than in philopatric females. We further found that young dispersive females produce smaller offspring in lower body condition than do young philopatric females. Overall, our results provide empirical evidence that dispersive and philopatric individuals have different age-specific life-history traits.

1. Introduction

Dispersal syndromes describe the patterns of covariation of morphological, behavioural, and life-history traits associated with dispersal. Dispersal syndromes impact the demographic and genetic consequences of dispersal and are thus of primary importance in the contexts of invasions and range shift in response to environmental variations such as climate warming [1]. Several proximate and ultimate factors have been identified to cause the association of dispersal with other traits and many studies have described differences in phenotypes between dispersive and philopatric individuals (see reviews in [2,3]). While there is evidence for a frequent association of dispersal with specific morphological or behavioural traits (e.g. [4] for offspring dispersal, [5] for aggressiveness, and less cooperation in dispersers), no clear evidence for a general consistent association of dispersal with life-history traits emerges ([3], but see [6]).

Interestingly, a few empirical studies suggest that life-history syndromes associated with dispersal can vary with age [7–10] which can be a source of discrepancies in the results from empirical studies investigating life-history dispersal syndromes. For example, Bouwhuis et al. [10] found that immigrant great tit (Parus major) females achieved a similar reproductive output to resident females but suffer higher rates of reproductive senescence. Hanski et al. [9] found that females of the butterfly Melitta cinxia born in recently colonized sites have higher fecundity when they are young and have a shorter lifespan than females born in old populations. However, these studies did not show a clear link between the dispersal status of individuals and their life history.
First, they had incomplete information about dispersal, either without information about the origin of immigrants [10] or because they infer dispersal status from the age of populations [9,11]. Second, they relied on measures of reproductive output and survival, which seldom provide information about the resource allocation between reproduction and survival [12,13]. There is, as yet, no study showing a direct link between the dispersal status of individuals and their age-specific life-history traits.

In this study, we conducted an investigation of the difference in age-specific life-history traits between dispersive and philopatric individuals in a population of the common lizard (Zootoca vivipara) with a particular focus on the energy expenditure for reproduction. The common lizard is a particularly appropriate species in which to investigate the relationship between dispersal and other life-history traits, because dispersal in this species occurs within a few dozen metres around the natal site, allowing it to be surveyed accurately. In contrast, in the survey of many other species such as birds, the natal population of immigrants is often unknown [8,14]. Moreover, both dispersal and age-specific traits are well documented in the studied population [1,15]. Massot et al. [15] in particular showed that in the common lizard, females investing a lot in their first reproduction suffer a decrease in survival with age compared with females investing little in their first reproduction. This suggests that, within the population studied, different life histories may coexist. Massot et al. [15], however, did not investigate what might be the origin of this heterogeneity in life-history traits. We hypothesize that this heterogeneity can be associated with the dispersal status of females.

We used data from the long-term monitoring of an area inhabited by the common lizard to investigate (i) age-specific variation in reproductive effort and survival in relation to dispersal status; (ii) whether the offspring body condition and morphology vary with the dispersal status and age of their mother; and (iii) whether the sex ratio of offspring varies with the dispersal status and age of their mother. Overall, our results show that dispersive and philopatric females have different age-specific life histories.

2. Methods

(a) The species and studied population

The common lizard is a small live-bearing lacertid (adult snout–vent length (SVL) 50–70 mm) widely distributed across Europe. The average lifespan of this species is five years [16]. This species usually lives in open habitats such as peat bogs and heathland. The population studied is located on the Mont-Lozère (Southern France) and is divided into two continuous zones representing microhabitats with different levels of diversity: one zone with low structural diversity and low density, and one zone with low structural diversity and high density [17]. The structural diversity consists of rocks, trees, and grass [18]. Rocks and trees provide shelters and basking places, whereas grass is mostly used for foraging [18]. In this population, males first emerge from hibernation in mid-April followed by females and yearlings in early-May. Mating occurs as soon as females emerge and gestation lasts for approximately two months. Females start to reproduce at age two. Parturition starts in early–mid July and lasts for two to three weeks. Females lay an average litter of five offspring (range 1–12), which are immediately independent from their mother. Dispersal occurs within the first 10 days of life, and there is no sex difference in dispersal propensity [17]. Hibernation starts in late September.

(b) Population monitoring

We monitored the study population from 1989 to 2008 using capture–mark–recapture. This long-term survey was structured in two capture sessions each year. The first capture session occurred in June, the second occurred in September. During the first session, individuals were identified and, if new, were marked using toe-clipping. There is no effect of toe-clipping and handling on survival probabilities [19]. We recorded age, sex, SVL, and mass at each capture session. During the first capture session, all individuals, except pregnant females, were immediately released at the place where they were captured. Pregnant females were transferred and kept in standard laboratory conditions [20]. During captivity, each female was housed in an individual terrarium with a layer of soil and a shelter until parturition. Humidity was maintained by spraying water three times a day. Females were exposed to natural daylight and were allowed to thermoregulate for 6 h per day under a bulb (see [21] for more details). At parturition, offspring were sexed [22], weighed, and measured. Each female, together with her hatchlings, was then released at the female’s last capture point. Capture points were located with a precision of 1 m. In the studied population, dispersal occurs within a few days after parturition [20]. A disperser was defined as an offspring that moved farther than 30 m from the initial point of release. This limit represents the upper 95% confidence limit of the home range [17]. Offspring defined as dispersers were never observed to return to their natal ground [20,23]. Philopatric offspring were defined as those that stayed within a 20 m range (average of the home-range diameter) of their initial release point. Individuals that moved between 20 and 30 m from their natal patch were not included in the analysis [20,23]. Dispersal occurs mostly within the area monitored [17]. The survival analysis included only juvenile females for which the dispersal status was inferred during the September capture session following their birth to detect any difference in survival during the first winter. The other analyses also included juveniles (males and females) for which the dispersal status was inferred the following year (i.e. not recaptured during the September session following their birth) to maximize our sample size.

(c) Reproductive effort

We were interested in whether dispersive and philopatric individuals have different strategies of age-specific reproductive effort. In the common lizard, litter size correlates with the SVL of females (p < 0.0001, R² = 0.53) and the SVL of females increases as they age (p < 0.0001, R² = 0.23). To control these effects, we used a standardized value of female reproductive effort, calculated as the difference between her litter size and the expected litter size given her SVL, when linearly regressing litter size on SVL across all individuals (following [15]). Hence, a female with a litter size above the expected value has a high reproductive effort, whereas a female under the expected value has a low reproductive effort. We verified that, in our population, this standardized measure of reproductive effort had a Gaussian distribution (Shapiro test, p > 0.05). We used linear-mixed models to investigate our hypotheses about age-specific reproductive effort [24]. Mixed modelling allows the disentangling of individual heterogeneity and ageing processes [25]. The most complex model includes (see electronic supplementary material, appendix A) as fixed effects, the effect of age, dispersal status, year of capture, density zone (Z−, Z+), and the first-order interaction between age and dispersal status. We modelled age as a factor and distinguished four age-classes (i.e. 2, 3, 4 years old and older). In our dataset, there were not enough females above 4 years old to distinguish further age-classes. A normally
3. Results

(a) Reproductive effort

The best model included the effect of age, dispersal, and the interaction of both (electronic supplementary material, appendix A). We then investigated the effect of dispersal within each age-class. Dispersal was included in the best models explaining variation in reproductive effort in females of age two and older than four (electronic supplementary material, appendix A). Dispersive females of age two invested more in reproduction than philopatric females of the same age, but they invested less in reproduction when older than four (see figure 1 for the observed and predicted values).

(b) Offspring morphology

For offspring body condition, we found strong support for an interaction between the dispersal status of mothers and their age. The best model always included this interaction independently of whether we included litter size as an explanatory factor in the model (electronic supplementary material, appendix A) suggesting that the difference in offspring body condition was not only the result of a shift along the trade-off between litter size and offspring body condition. Litter size had a negative effect on offspring body condition (regression coefficient: $-3.55$; s.e.: 0.88). Furthermore, maternal dispersal was included in the best model within each age-class (see electronic supplementary material, appendix A). Dispersive females tended to improve the body condition of their offspring when they aged, whereas philopatric females did not change the body condition of their offspring throughout their life (figure 2a for observed and predicted values). The best models explaining the variation in offspring SVL included an effect of dispersal, but not the interaction between the dispersal status of mothers and their age (electronic supplementary material, appendix A). Dispersive mothers produced, on average, shorter offspring than philopatric mothers (figure 2b for observed values, values predicted by the best model with 95% CI averaged over offspring sexes: 21.1 mm [20.52, 21.75] for dispersive mothers versus 21.8 mm [21.24, 22.37] for philopatric mothers). The litter size did not improve model support for the SVL (electronic supplementary material, appendix A).

(c) Sex ratio

The sex ratio in the population was slightly male-biased (figure 3, mean 0.53; s.e. = 0.006). We did not find strong
support for any of our explanatory factors (see electronic supplementary material, appendix A). The dispersal status of mothers and the density zone were the most (but weakly) supported ones. Dispersive females had a slightly less male-biased sex ratio in their progeny than philopatric females (figure 3 for observed values by age-classes, predicted value with 95% CI for the model, including dispersal alone: 0.54 [0.47, 0.62] for dispersive mothers versus 0.56 [0.51, 0.61] for philopatric mothers). In addition, females from the low-density zone tended to have a less male-biased sex ratio than females from the high-density zone (predicted value with 95% CI for the model, including the density zone alone: females living in $Z^-$: 0.50 [0.42, 0.58], females living in $Z^+$: 0.57 [0.43, 0.62]).

(d) Survival
The best models describing the variation in survival with age in females included the dispersal status as a covariate and were dependent on year for recapture probabilities (see electronic supplementary material, appendix B). No difference of support was found between models with and without a global interaction between age and dispersal ($\Delta$AICc < 2, see electronic supplementary material, appendix B). Survival slightly improved after the first winter, and then decreased with age (figure 4). During the first three years of life, dispersive and philopatric females had similar age-specific survival rates. The survival of dispersive females dropped at age three, whereas the survival of philopatric females dropped at age four (figure 4). To investigate if dispersal had an age-dependent effect on survival, we performed models with the effect of dispersal on survival restricted to a single age-class. We found that the best model included an interaction between dispersal and survival only at age three (electronic supplementary material, appendix B), in accordance with the previous observation.

4. Discussion
The causes of intraspecific variation in life-history traits with age are still poorly understood [29]. Such variation has been
shown to be influenced by natal conditions (maternal age, birth date, environmental quality, or natal density, see [10,30]) and early investment in reproduction [15,29,31]. In our study, we show that age-specific life-history traits can also vary depending on the dispersal status of individuals. Our study contrasts with previous investigations by assessing the dispersal status of individuals directly and measuring several life-history traits. In particular, we measured the reproductive effort that is indicative of a strategy of resource allocation.

We found that young dispersive females invested more in reproduction than young philopatric individuals. Conversely, old philopatric females invested more in reproduction than old dispersive females. In addition, we found that survival declines sooner in life in dispersers than in philopatric females, suggesting a cost of early reproduction on survival. This last result is in agreement with previous findings in the same species, where the decline in survival probabilities with age was found to be specific to females investing more in their first reproduction [15]. We found that the cost of early reproductive effort on dispersive female survival occurs one year after their first reproduction (figure 4). Massot et al. [15] found that the cost of early reproduction on survival, regardless of the dispersal status, occurs two years after reproduction. This suggests a greater cost of early reproduction in dispersive females. Moreover, our results are consistent with findings in other species, which indirectly showed an association of dispersal with early high reproductive effort and low survival ([9] in the butterfly Melitea cinxia, [32] for early reproduction in the invasive ladybird Harmonia axyridis).

Life-history differences between dispersing and philopatric individuals can be explained because dispersal is a costly behaviour (reviewed in [33]) and/or because dispersers experience different selective pressures than philopatric individuals. For example, dispersive females can express different life-history traits than philopatric females because they are in lower body condition. The fact that life-history differences are reversed with increasing age is, however, difficult to reconcile with a simple cost argument, or with initial heterogeneity in the quality of dispersers and non-dispersers, as the sole explanation for the observed syndromes. Furthermore, we estimated post-dispersal fitness for each type of female without finding a difference (electronic supplementary material, appendix C), suggesting that dispersive and philopatric females instead have different life-history strategies. Interestingly, theory provides hypotheses about how divergent selection on dispersive and philopatric individuals may shape their age-specific life-history traits.

The associations between the age-specific reproductive effort, age-specific survival, and dispersal that we found is in agreement with previous investigations by assessing the dispersal status of individuals directly and measuring several life-history traits. In particular, young dispersive individuals are predicted to invest more in reproduction than young philopatric individuals [34]. The energy allocated to reproduction early in life should further trade-off with a shorter lifespan in dispersive individuals. In the common lizard, dispersal occurs mainly during the first 10 days of life [17], and yearlings suffer competition from adults [18,19], as assumed in the model of Cotto et al. [34]. This model thus provides an interesting hypothesis to explain the pattern that we have described in the common lizard. Exploring this hypothesis further would entail investigating the intraspecific competition encountered by dispersive and philopatric individuals along their lifetime.

We found that the above difference in age-specific reproductive effort between dispersal statuses was associated with differences in offspring body condition. The body condition of offspring from dispersive mothers tended to increase as the mother aged. Similarly, Massot et al. [15] found that offspring body condition increased with age in females displaying a high first reproductive effort. Moreover, we found that the body condition of offspring was negatively correlated with the litter size. This is suggestive of a trade-off between reproductive effort and offspring body condition and that, depending on their age, dispersive and philopatric females have different strategies along this trade-off. Increasing offspring body condition can be a strategy of mothers to face intraspecific competition [35]. Our results further suggest that other factors related to maternal dispersal and age might affect offspring body condition, regardless of the strategy of mothers along this trade-off.

In the studied population, the heritability of dispersal is not yet known, but juvenile dispersal has been shown to be influenced by the post-natal environment and by maternal effects [20,23]. Moreover, several previous studies have found that offspring with a better body condition in the common lizard were better dispersers or dispersed under different motivations (e.g. patch density, kin competition) than did thinner offspring [20,36]. It would therefore be interesting to test whether the variation of offspring dispersal depends on maternal age (as reported by [37]) and dispersal history. This would allow the investigation of whether mothers, depending on their dispersal status and age, adjust the dispersal rate of offspring via their body condition. Such a test would require information about the age and dispersal status of both mothers and offspring, which we unfortunately did not have for enough individuals in the present dataset.

We did not find that the interaction between maternal age and dispersal status explained variations in offspring sex ratio. However, we found some, albeit ambiguous, evidence that dispersive females had a less male-biased sex ratio than philopatric females. Conversely, Taylor & Crespi [38] predicted that philopatric females should produce less male-biased sex ratios than dispersive females, because local competition for mates among related males is more intense in offspring from philopatric females than in offspring from dispersive females. Such predictions might not hold in our study area where relatedness could be strong among offspring from dispersive females. Our data also suggest that offspring sex ratio is less male-biased in the area with low density. Further theoretical and empirical investigation of variation in relatedness of locally competing males in relation with density and maternal dispersal status would be necessary to make conclusions about the potential adaptive value of sex ratio variation in our study.

Whatever the causes of the life-history syndromes that we described, the association of dispersal with high early reproductive rates has both demographic and evolutionary consequences. The described syndrome will affect in particular the age structure and demographic dynamics in the
context of colonization of new habitats and range shifts. The high fecundity of young immigrants could increase the effective gene flow through space [39]. Life-history differences between dispersers and non-dispersers may also impact the evolutionary forces that mould ageing patterns in populations [34]. Our results emphasize the need to take into account the age of individuals when investigating variation in life-history traits associated with dispersal. We thus hope that our study will stimulate further research in age-specific dispersal syndromes in a wider range of species.
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