Chemical signalling in lizards: an interspecific comparison of femoral pore numbers in Lacertidae

SIMON BAECKENS1*, SHELLEY EDWARDS2,3, KATLEEN HUYGHE1 and RAOUL VAN DAMME1

1Department of Biology, Laboratory of Functional Morphology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium
2Centre for Invasion Biology, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa
3Applied Biodiversity Research Division, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Private Bag X7, Claremont 7735, Cape Town, South Africa

Received 29 May 2014; revised 29 August 2014; accepted for publication 29 August 2014

Animals communicate via a variety of sensory channels and signals. Studies on acoustic and visual communication systems suggest that differences in the physical environment contribute to the variety of signalling behaviour, with species investing in those signals that are transmitted best under the local conditions. Whether or not environmental tuning also occurs in chemical communication systems has received much less attention. In the present study, we examined the effect of several aspects of the physical environment on the chemical communication system of lacertid lizards (family Lacertidae). The numbers of femoral pores are used as a proxy reflecting how much a particular species invests in and relies upon chemical signalling. Femoral pores are specialized epidermal structures that function as a secretion channel for the waxy substance produced by glands. In some lacertid species, the secretion carries infochemicals that play an important role in social communication. The number of femoral pores varies considerably among species. We have compiled data on femoral pore numbers for 162 species and tested for the effects of climate and substrate use. After correcting for body size and taking the phylogenetic relationships among the species into account, we found no effect of climate conditions or latitude on species pore numbers. Substrate use did affect pore numbers: shrub-climbing species tended to have fewer femoral pores than species inhabiting other substrates. © 2014 The Linnean Society of London, Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2014, ••, ••–••


INTRODUCTION

Animals communicate with conspecifics and other species via a wide variety of signals and displays. The selection pressures and developmental constraints that have driven the evolution of the diversity in sending and receiving systems are the subject of continued research (Bradbury & Vehrencamp, 1998; Espmark, Amundsen & Rosenqvist, 2000; Greenfield, 2002; Rogers & Kaplan, 2002; Smith & Harper, 2003; Searcy & Nowicki, 2005). The effects of the physical environment on the evolution of particular sensory channels and signals within sensory channels have been studied extensively for acoustic and visual communication systems (Morton, 1975; Kroodsma & Miller, 1982; Endler, 1990; Gerhardt & Huber, 2002; Barnard, 2004; Kekäläinen et al., 2010). Far less is known about how the physical environment affects the evolution of chemical communication, despite the fact that ‘infochemicals’ (Dicke & Sabelis, 1988) are used by a vast number of species in a variety of aquatic and terrestrial habitats (Müller-Schwarze & Silverstein, 1980; Müller-Schwarze, 2006; Starnberger et al., 2013). Theoretical work suggests that the efficacy of particular chemical signals will depend strongly on environmental conditions (i.e. temperature, humidity,
barometric pressure, air currents; Bossert & Wilson, 1963; Moore & Crimaldi, 2004) and therefore it can be expected that varying selection along an environmental gradient (Darwin, 1859), acting to maximize transmission efficiency, will contribute to chemical signalling diversity (Alberts, 1992). Surprisingly few studies have sought empirical support for this idea; but see Escobar et al. (2003) and Pincheira-Donoso, Hodgson & Tregenza (2008).

Squamate reptiles (lizards and snakes) utilize a variety of sensory systems, including visual, acoustic, tactile, and gustatory systems (Vitt & Caldwell, 2014). They also rely strongly on their ability to perceive chemicals from the environment for a variety of daily activities including prey detection (Amo, López & Martín, 2004), predator avoidance (Van Damme et al., 1995; Aragon, López & Martín, 2008), mate recognition (Cooper & Pérez-Mellado; 2002; Moreira, López & Martín, 2006), and overall social behaviour (Mason & Parker, 2010). Squamates are equipped with a variety of glands (Quay, 1972) with which they produce and send out diverse chemical messages (Martín & López, 2011). The femoral glands situated in the epidermis of the inner thighs of many lizards are probably the best studied (Alberts, 1990; Aragon, López & Martín, 2001; Iraeta et al., 2001; Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2008). Those glands produce a holocrine secretion that finds its way to the external world through epidermal structures: the ‘femoral pores’ (Fig. 1) (Mason, 1992). Pores are often larger in males than in females (Arnold & Ovenden, 2004) and the amount of pores present on each thigh, as well as the general scale morphology of the pores, varies among species (Mason, 1992; Van Wyk, Mouton & Ie, 1992). Consequently, femoral pores have been used extensively in the past in identification keys and taxonomic descriptions in lizard species.

The lizard family Lacertidae constitutes an excellent model for studying the effects of the physical environment on the evolution of chemical communication, with more than 300 species inhabiting a wide variety of habitats distributed over much of the Old World (Branch, 1998; Arnold & Ovenden, 2004; Spawls, Howell & Drewes, 2006; Das, 2010). Although most lacertid lizards share the same general morphology and also many aspects of their ecology (i.e. most are diurnal, heliothermic species that actively forage for invertebrate prey: Castilla, Van Damme & Bauwens, 1999; Van Damme, 1999; Vitt & Pianka, 2007), they have successfully radiated into a wide array of habitats and climate regions, from subarctic tundra over temperate heath lands and forests, alpine meadows and Mediterranean maquis, steppe and gravel semi-deserts, and monsoonal rainforest to sandy dune systems in the desert (Arnold, 1989; Harris, Arnold & Thomas, 1998; Harris et al., 2002). Within these habitats, they utilize a great diversity of microhabitats and substrates, ranging from herby vegetation over stony undergrounds to shifting sands (Vitt & Caldwell, 2014). Other species climb extensively in shrubs or trees (Vanhooydonck & Van Damme, 1999; Vanhooydonck et al., 2009). Additionally, our preliminary inquiries suggested considerable among species variation in femoral pore number. In the present study, we explored whether variation in pore number co-varies with environmental traits. In addition, the results obtained will allow us to make predictions about potentially evolutionary processes imposed by the respective physical environments.

We investigated the among-species diversity of a component of the chemical communication apparatus (i.e. the number of femoral pores). We tested the hypothesis that the number of pores varies among species living on different substrates and in dissimilar climatic conditions. The durability of an infochemical is an important aspect in chemical communication. If the signals do not last for a sufficiently long time in their environment, lizards may need to increase their effectiveness to maintain their functionality (Iraeta et al., 2011). Enhancing the overall production of infochemicals by increasing the number (or size) of femoral pores is, besides adjusting the composition of the secretion per se, a way of coping with environmental challenges that decrease the chemical signal durability (Escobar, Labra & Niemeyer, 2001; Escobar et al., 2003; Iraeta et al., 2011). We therefore assume that femoral pore number reflects an investment in and the use of chemical communication (Escobar et al., 2001; Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2008). The idea of compensating for signalling inefficiency (as a result of external factors) by increasing the investment in other communication signals or signalling apparatus within the same sensory channel is referred to in the present
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Figure 1. A row of femoral pores on the ventral surface of an adult male Acanthodactylus schreiberi.
study as the ‘within-channel compensation hypothesis’. Chemical signalling is likely to be more challenging for species living in complex, dynamic, ephemeral habitats (e.g. flimsy, moist vegetation and shrubs), on adsorptive substrates (vegetation and sand versus rock), or in warm and humid climate conditions, as a result of the lower detectability and/or signal persistence (Alberts, 1992; Escobar et al., 2003; Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2008). We therefore predict (in line with the ‘within-channel compensation hypothesis’) that species living in such environments will tend to have more femoral pores, enhancing the overall production of secretion. The latter will consequently increase the longevity of the infochemical and thus ensuring the functionality of the signal.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

We extracted data on body size and the number of femoral pores of 162 species of the family Lacertidae (Squamata: Sauria) from the literature (see Supporting information, Table S1). We recorded the mean number of femoral pores of the right thigh because this is most often reported in the literature. Our data set covers all of the genera and approximately 52% of all the lacertid species. The largest snout–vent length (SVL) recorded per species was noted, as well as the mean SVL for adult males.

Table 1. Key to climate categories, adapted from the Köppen–Geiger Climate System (Kottek et al., 2006): description of the first two letters of the classification system (i.e. main climate category and precipitation levels)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Equatorial climates</td>
<td>$T_{\text{min}} \geq +18 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Af</td>
<td>Equatorial rainforest, fully humid</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} \geq 60 , \text{mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td>Equatorial monsoon</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} \geq 25 (100 - P_{\text{min}})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aw</td>
<td>Equatorial savannah with dry winter</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &lt; 60 , \text{mm in winter}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Arid climates</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &lt; 10 P_{\text{th}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Steppe climate</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &gt; 5 P_{\text{th}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>Desert climate</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} \leq 5 P_{\text{th}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Warm temperate climates</td>
<td>$-3 , ^\circ\text{C} &lt; T_{\text{min}} &lt; +18 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>Warm temperate climate with dry summer</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &lt; P_{\text{min}}$; $P_{\text{max}} &gt; 3 P_{\text{min}}$ and $P_{\text{min}} &lt; 40 , \text{mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cw</td>
<td>Warm temperate climate with dry winter</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &lt; P_{\text{min}}$ and $P_{\text{max}} &gt; 10 P_{\text{wmin}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cf</td>
<td>Warm temperate, fully humid</td>
<td>Neither Cs, nor Cw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Snow climates</td>
<td>$T_{\text{min}} \leq -3 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ds</td>
<td>Snow climate with dry summer</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &lt; P_{\text{min}}$; $P_{\text{max}} &gt; 3 P_{\text{min}}$ and $P_{\text{min}} &lt; 40 , \text{mm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dw</td>
<td>Snow climate with dry winter</td>
<td>$P_{\text{min}} &lt; P_{\text{min}}$ and $P_{\text{max}} &gt; 10 P_{\text{wmin}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df</td>
<td>Snow climate, fully humid</td>
<td>Neither Ds, nor Dw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T_{\text{max}}$, annual mean near-surface (2 m) temperature; $T_{\text{max}}$, monthly mean temperatures of the warmest month; $T_{\text{min}}$, monthly mean temperatures of the coldest month; $P_{\text{min}}$, accumulated annual precipitation; $P_{\text{min}}$, precipitation of the driest month; $P_{\text{min}}$, lowest monthly precipitation for the summer; $P_{\text{max}}$, highest monthly precipitation for the summer; $P_{\text{wmin}}$, lowest monthly precipitation for the winter; $P_{\text{wmax}}$, highest monthly precipitation for the winter. All temperatures are given in °C, monthly precipitations in mm month⁻¹, and $P_{\text{ann}}$ in mm year⁻¹.
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Bayesian inference was performed with uniform priors for all parameters using MrBayes, version 3.1.2; Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) at the CIPRES Science Gateway (http://www.phylo.org/sub_sections/portal/). Each gene region was partitioned separately, and the evolutionary model chosen for all gene regions was GTR + I + G (JMODELTEST, Guindon & Gascuel, 2003; Darriba et al., 2012). Two parallel runs for $20 \times 10^6$ generations each were performed for the Markov chain Monte Carlo runs, with trees sampled every 1000 generations. The number of generations to discard as burn-in ($4.0 \times 10^6$ generations) was determined by examining the number of generations: (1) at which the standard deviation of split frequencies stabilized (at less than 0.001); (2) at which the log-likelihood tree scores reached stationary; and (3) the effective sample sizes of all parameters were > 100 (TRACER, version 1.5; Rambaut & Drummond, 2007). A 50% majority rule tree was constructed with the burn-in excluded using the ‘sumt’ command in MrBayes, and nodes with $\geq 0.95$ posterior probability were considered supported.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

A strong phylogenetic signal was detected in most of the variables considered in the present study and therefore analyzing the data in an explicit phylogenetic context appears to be indispensable (Harvey & Pagel, 1991; Schwenk, 1993; Nunn, 2011). We used both traditional and phylogenetic analyses to examine how the number of femoral pores varies with SVL and environmental conditions, although we only present the result of the phylogenetic tests. All statistical analyses were conducted in R STUDIO, version 0.97.248 (R Core Team, 2012; R Studio, 2012). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We estimated the phylogenetic signal in our data by calculating Pagel’s $\lambda$ for discrete variables and Blomberg’s K for continuous variables. Pagel’s $\lambda$ was estimated by maximum likelihood optimization using the ‘fitdiscrete’ function in the R-package ‘Geiger’ (Harmon et al., 2008). A $\lambda$ value close to 0 indicates no phylogenetic structure in the trait, whereas a $\lambda$ value close to 1 corresponds with the original, untransformed branch lengths (Pagel, 1999). Estimates of the K-statistic were obtained with the ‘Kcalc’ command in the R-package ‘Geiger’ (Kembel et al., 2008). $K < 1$ implies that relatives resemble each other less than expected under Brownian motion evolution along the hypothesized tree. $K > 1$ implies that close relatives are more similar than expected under Brownian motion evolution (stronger signal; Blomberg, Garland & Ives, 2003).

Absolute femoral pore number was significantly correlated with mean SVL ($r = 0.32$, d.f. = 160,
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**Table 2.** Key to third letter of the climate categories, adapted from the Köppen–Geiger climate system (Kottek et al., 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Hot steppe/desert</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}} \geq +18 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Cold steppe/desert</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}} &lt; +18 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Hot summer</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}} \geq +22 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Warm summer</td>
<td>Not (a) and at least 4 $T_{\text{min}} \geq +10 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cool summer and cold winter</td>
<td>Not (b) and $T_{\text{min}} &gt; -38 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Extremely continental</td>
<td>Like (c) but $T_{\text{min}} \leq -38 , ^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criterion abbreviations are as in Table 1.

According to precipitation levels (e.g. ‘Cf’ for warm temperate and fully humid) and air temperature (e.g. ‘Cfa’ for warm temperate, fully humid and hot summer) (Table 2). Species were assigned to the Köppen–Geiger climate region that contains the greater part of their distribution range.

The mean latitude of the distribution area of each lacertid species was estimated by taking the midpoint between the most northern and the most southern distribution point. By overlapping their distribution area with a world map (using Google Earth ©2013, version 7.1.1.1888; http://earth.google.com), we obtained an approximate estimate of the latitude of the centre of their geographical North–South distribution. We distinguish between ‘latitude’ and ‘absolute latitude’. Latitude varies from −90° to +90°, whereas absolute latitude varies from 0° to 90°. The former variable describes a north-south gradient, the latter describes seasonal day length.

**PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS**

We used sequences obtained from GenBank (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to estimate phylogenetic relationships among the species in our femoral pore dataset. We focused on five gene regions that were effective in elucidating among-species relationships in previous analyses (Edwards et al., 2012, 2013; Pyron, Burbrik & Wiens, 2013): three mitochondrial regions (12S, 16S, cytochrome b) and two nuclear gene regions (RAG-1 and C-MOS). Species were retained in the analysis if at least two of these five regions had been sequenced. We then constructed a tree with a total of 162 tip species, representing all the genera sampled. Details of the species used in the phylogenetic analyses and the associated GenBank accession numbers for each gene region are provided in the Supporting information (Table S2).
RESULTS

PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS

We found strong branch support for the subfamilies Gallotiinae and Lacertinae (Fig. 2), as reported in previous studies (Mayer & Pavlicev, 2007; Kapli et al., 2011; Pyron et al., 2013). The monophyly of most genera within the tribes Lacertini and Eremiadiini was also well supported, although the relationship between genera within the Lacertini remained unresolved, as in previous studies (Fu, 2000; Arnold, Arribas & Carranza, 2007; Mayer & Pavlicev, 2007; Pavlicev & Mayer, 2009; Kapli et al., 2011; Pyron et al., 2013). The reason why we were able to resolve the among-genera relationships in greater detail in the Eremiadiini than in the Lacertini is most likely because nuclear gene sequences are available for Eremiadiini species (Edwards et al., 2012, 2013; Engleder et al., 2012). We expect that additional information on nuclear genes in Lacertini species will allow better resolution of the relationships among genera in that clade.

Overall, our tree of the lacertid family corroborates many previously reported inter- and intrageneric relationships (Pyron et al., 2013), although a few details are noteworthy. The tribe Eremiadiini consists of Atlantolacerta andreanskyi and two derived clades that are geographically disparate: the almost strictly Ethiopian (i.e. African south of the Saharan desert) genera and the predominantly Saharo-Eurasian genera (Mayer & Pavlicev, 2007). By contrast to earlier studies, the genus Nucras is now monophyletic, probably as a result of improved sampling (in contrast to Pyron et al., 2013). Vhembelacerta is recovered again as a monotypic genus, rendering Australolacerta as monotypic, and Meroles squamulosus, previously in Ichnotropis, is also nested in Meroles (sensu Engleder et al., 2012; Edwards et al., 2013). Acanthodactylus consists of three well-supported lineages, and further sampling within this genus will likely clarify the taxonomic level status of the lineages.

CLIMATE REGIONS, LATITUDE, AND SUBSTRATE USE

Descriptive statistics for climate regions and substrate use of lacertid pore number are provided in Table 3. Estimates of the amount of phylogenetic signal in the variables under study are presented in Table 5. The signal is very strong for all environmental variables, as well as morphological traits, pore number, and SVL.

When accounting for phylogenetic relationships among species in our dataset, we found no effect of the first main climate region (phylANOVA, F = 1.39, d.f. = 3, P = 0.76), precipitation (phylANOVA, F = 5.93, d.f. = 4, P = 0.42) or temperature category (phylANOVA, F = 1.23, d.f. = 4, P = 0.74) on mean residual pore number. However, the overall effect of substrate class is significant (phylANOVA, F = 16.25, d.f. = 5, P = 0.012, Table 4). Species from ‘high-vegetation’ microhabitats on average had lower residual pore
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numbers than 'arboreal species (post-hoc, difference = 4.32, $P = 0.039$), species living on 'rocky' substrates (difference = 7.80, $P = 0.015$), species from 'sandy' areas (difference = 6.60, $P = 0.048$), and 'generalist' species (difference = 6.59, $P = 0.028$). Phylogenetic tests do not suggest a difference between high- and low-vegetation substrates (difference = 3.62, $P = 0.330$). No significant correlation was found between residual pore numbers and latitude (PGLS, $r = -0.26$, d.f. = 160, $t = 0.15$, $P = 0.88$) and absolute latitude (PGLS, $r = -0.34$, d.f. = 160, $t = -0.50$, $P = 0.62$) based on a Brownian motion model of evolution. Similarly, no noteworthy significant correlation was found based on an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck motion model of evolution.
Table 5. The degree to which the environmental factor variables (climate, temperature, precipitation, and substrate usage) and continuous variables (latitude, mean male SVL, and mean pore number) exhibits phylogenetic signals, indicated by a significant Pagel’s $\lambda$ value (for factor variables) and Blomberg’s $K$ values (for continuous variables)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$K$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate usage</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pore number</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SVL, snout–vent length.

DISCUSSION

In many lizards, femoral pores function as an independent component of the lizards’ chemical signalling system (Imparato, 2007). Our analysis of the literature revealed substantial among-species variation in the number of femoral pores in the family Lacertidae. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the hypothesis that selection underlying interspecific variation in pore numbers emerge from environmental factors affecting chemical signalling. Subsequently, our findings allow us to make inferences regarding the environmental factors that act as selective force driving this among-species variation in pore number. Differential investment in particular signalling systems can arise for two reasons. First, the relevance of sending out the message may vary among species. For example, we expect territorial species to invest more in scent marking than nonterritorial species (Becker, Petruno & Marler, 2012). Second, local environmental circumstances may hamper the transmission of certain signals, forcing some species to switch to a different communication channel (Endler, 1993; Hews & Benard, 2001; Stevens, 2013).

We find little support for the hypothesis that climate conditions co-vary with the diversification of femoral pore number across lacertid lizards. However, differences in substrate use may explain part of the variation in femoral pore numbers in lacertids.

Generally, climate conditions could affect species’ investment in chemical communication (or in this specific case; femoral pore number) in different directions. First, and in line with the ‘within-channel compensation hypothesis’, warm, windy and humid conditions (which are assumed to reduce signal transmittance, pervasiveness, and persistence) may select for stronger signalling devices and more copious excretion. This would allow the lizards to bring across their message despite the difficult conditions. In accordance with this idea, Escober et al. (2001) found that Liolaemus species living in warm, windy, and low-pressure habitats tend to have more precloacal pores than species that live in less harsh habitats. However this paper ignored the effects of shared ancestry. A subsequent study by Pincheira-Donoso et al. (2008) on the same genus (but with additional phylogenetic input) failed to identify any effect of environmental conditions on pore number. Pincheira-Donoso et al. (2008) explain the assessed variation in pore numbers in Liolaemus rather as a result of shared ancestry than a result of phylogenetic independent adaptive events. These findings emphasize the major importance of the incorporation of phylogenetic information in interspecific comparative studies. Second, if environmental conditions become too hostile, or the costs of producing chemicals too costly, lizards may trade in chemical communication for other communication channels (the ‘between-channel compensation hypothesis’). This would result in an increase of investment in alternative signalling channels together with (1) equal or (2) decreasing investment in pore number, which would either (1) invalidate or (2) reverse the relationship between environmental conditions and femoral pore number. An example of a possible case of ‘between-channel compensation’ can be found in Atlantic mollies (Poecilia mexicana). In cave-dwelling populations of Atlantic mollies, male size cannot easily be determined visually, and so females have evolved the ability to recognize large males on the basis of chemical cues (Plath et al., 2004). Also the star-nosed mole (Condylura cristata) and the blind mole rat (Spalax ehrenbergi), both of which are species living underground, have reduced thalmocortical visual system and an expanded somatosensory representation (Cooper, Herbin & Nevo, 1993; Catania, 2005). Environmentally-induced switches between communication channels may also occur on different time scales. For example, individual male newts Mesotriton alpestris will use comparatively more olfactory than visual cues when courting in the dark than when courting in daytime (Danoël & Doellen, 2010). Guppies (Poecilia reticulata) exhibit developmental sensory plasticity: individuals reared in the dark forage more efficiently when chemical cues are available, whereas individuals reared in full light find food faster on visual stimuli (Chapman et al., 2010).

By contrast to our predictions, phylogenetic analyses failed to identify a significant relationship between temperature, humidity, latitude, and femoral pore number in lacertids. Similar to that the findings reported by Pincheira-Donoso et al. (2008), each record...
of co-variation between climatologic factors and pore number vanishes when accounting for shared ancestry. Based on the strong phylogenetic signal of the climatic variables used in the present study, variation in pore number could be most parsimoniously explained by phylogenetic relatedness rather than the results of adaption to climatic conditions. Therefore, solely based on pore number diversity, we cannot argue that climatic conditions induce 'within-channel compensation' or 'between-channel compensation' in this lizard family. An alternative possibility is that other features of the chemical signalling system do differ among closely-related species, although this occurs without significant differentiation in the morphological expression of the femoral gland system (e.g. pore number) (Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2008). Numerous studies have shown that the chemical composition of femoral secretion can differ significantly between lizards of different age, sex, population, and species (Cole, 1966; Martín & López, 2006; Martins et al., 2006; Lópezm & Martín, 2009; Gabirot et al., 2010; Gabirot, Lópezm & Martín, 2011; Martín et al., 2013). Information on the chemical structure of secreted compounds could potentially unravel differences between lacertids living in regions with dissimilar climate conditions, which could strengthen the 'within-channel compensation' hypothesis.

The results of the present study suggest that substrate may be an environmental factor affecting the evolution of the chemosensory system of lacertids. Femoral pore numbers differed among species inhabiting different substrate classes, even after controlling for phylogenetic relationships. Several other studies have shown that characteristics of the substrate may affect the efficiency of signal transmission. For example, the seismic signals produced by male jumping spiders (Habronattus dos senus) to attract females are far less effective on sandy or rocky substrates than on leaf litter (Elias, Mason & Hoy, 2004). Similar results have been found in a wolf spider (Schizocosa ocreata), although males finding themselves on substrates that attenuated seismic signals used more visual signals (Gordon & Uetz, 2011), which is a possible case of 'between-channel compensation'.

Substrate type may also affect the efficiency of chemical signals themselves, especially for marks that are deposited directly on the surface and must communicate the identity of characteristics of a territory holder for as long as possible (Alberts, 1992). Regnier & Goodwin (1977) have demonstrated experimentally that the fade-out time of a chemical signal depends on its affinity for the substrate. For example, in their experiments, secretions applied to clay surfaces evaporated more slowly than those applied to wood surfaces. The fade-out-times of chemical signals on sand, stones or vegetation (applied in the present study) have not been compared yet, although it is plausible that differences in affinity will prevail because of their distinctive physical nature. Additionally, the dynamics of the substrate could affect the volatility and fade-out time of the secretion as well. A highly dynamic substrate, such as sand dunes or shrubby vegetation, has a higher level of disturbance and a complex airflow character, both of which influence signal fade-out time (Müller-Schwarze, 2006). Scent marks deposited in dunes may be covered easily with sand, and infochemicals deposited on shrubby vegetation are subjected to a high level of disturbance because of their three-dimensional complexity, acting to decrease signal life.

The results of the present study also suggest that shrub-climbing lacertid species invest less in femoral pores than species living on any other substrate type. Studies have indicated that vegetation affects airflow patterns and may adsorb and re-emit molecules (Perry & Wall, 1984), and thus the scale (density, height, and species-dependent characteristics) of vegetation will affect communication patterns (Müller-Schwarze, 2006). Accordingly, when depositing chemical secretions onto a substrate at some height above the ground (e.g. shrubby vegetation), the active space of the infochemical increases by the addition of the vertical dimension (Alberts, 1992). Because of the developed three-dimensional spherical shape of the active space of the chemical signal, the longevity of the infochemical will decrease. Subsequently, an individual could respond to such environmental conditions by downsizing its investment in chemical communication and investing in more suitable communication systems. Natural selection could therefore act in favour of species with a low number of femoral pores, supporting the 'between communication channel' hypothesis. Data on investments in alternative signalling channels would enable us to draw more accurate conclusions.

Alternatively, a trade-off might exist between proficiency in chemical advertising (i.e. number of femoral pores) and climbing capacity (Iraeta et al., 2011). In species that climb extensively in vegetation, the need for shorter limbs would tip that balance towards shorter limbs, less space for glands, and fewer femoral pores. Biomechanical considerations suggest that climbing species should benefit from having shorter upper limbs because this would bring their centre of body mass closer to the substrate (Clemente et al., 2013), which would prevent them from 'toppling over'. In accordance, comparative studies have reported smaller limb lengths in climbing taxa (Sinervo & Losos, 1991; Vanhooydonck & Van Damme, 1999; Herrel, Meyers & Vanhooydonck, 2002; Herrel et al., 2008). With less space available on the inner thighs, selection for shorter hind limbs would
result in fewer femoral pores as a by-product. We had no information available on limb length for many of the species in our dataset, and so we are unable to assess this alternative hypothesis here. However, Iraeta et al. (2011) found no significant correlation between pore number and hind limb length in Psammodromus algirus lizards. Interestingly, male hind limb length did correlate with mean pore size (another potentially important variable for which we currently have no information). As an argument against the idea that variation in pore numbers is a by-product of differential selection on hind limb length, rock-climbing species tend to have relatively large numbers of pores, whereas biomechanics and comparative studies would predict them to have short limbs for the same reasons as vegetation climbers.

**SEXUAL SELECTION AS A DRIVING FORCE?**

There is strong evidence that the holocrine excretions of the femoral glands of lacertids function primarily in territory demarcation and/or in mate choice (Martín & López, 2000; López, Aragon & Martín, 2003; Aragon et al., 2006; López, Amo & Martin, 2006; Font et al., 2012) and therefore it is likely that their production is under sexual selection. This is further supported by the fact that males tend to have larger and more femoral glands than females and that their secretional activity increases during the breeding season in response to rising levels of circulating androgens (Diaz, Alonso-Gómez & Delgado, 1994; Iraeta et al., 2011). Changes in the chemical composition of the secretion during the mating season have also been observed (Alberts et al., 1992). We therefore hypothesize that differences in femoral pore number among species could reflect variation in the intensity of sexual selection. Unfortunately, for most species in our dataset, detailed information on territoriality, male–male combat, and female choice is simply lacking. For this reason, we are reluctant to discard sexual selection as a factor influencing the evolution of femoral pore numbers.

**SYNTHESIS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS**

In summary, we find large among-species variation in femoral pore numbers in Lacertidae. Using phylogenetic comparative methods, the present study succeeds in finding a co-variation between the number of pores and species’ substrate usage, whereas shrub-climbing species tend to have fewer femoral pores than species inhabiting other substrates. By contrast, no effect of climatic conditions (temperature and precipitation) or latitude on species’ pore numbers was found. These results allow us to speculate about the potential role of the physical environment on the evolution of the chemical communication system in lacertid lizards.

We consider that the vast among-species variation in pore numbers invites further investigation. To address these shortcomings, more research needs to be undertaken to acquire comparative data on the size of femoral pores and their distribution on the limb, their rate of secretion, the physical characteristics of the chemicals produced, the chemical composition of the secretions, and their biological meaning. Studies on variation at the receptor side of the chemical communication channel (e.g. characteristics of the lingual delivery system, Jacobson’s organ, and receptive areas in the brain) should also be encouraged.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Shelley Edwards was supported by NRF (National Research Foundation) of South Africa Free-standing and SABI Grant-holder linked bursaries, and the Erasmus Mundus (EuroSA) scholarship. Kateleen Huysge is a postdoctoral fellow of the FWO-Flanders. We thank the three anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

**REFERENCES**


Martins EP, Ord TJ, Slaven J, Wright JL, Housworth EA. 2006. Individual, sexual, seasonal, and temporal vari-


**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web-site:

**Table S1.** Morphologic, ecological, and geographical data for 162 lacertid lizards, assembled from available literature. SVL, snout–vent length; ♀♂, male; FP, mean femoral pore number; Subs., substrate class; Cli., Köppen–Geiger main climate class; Pre., Köppen–Geiger precipitation class; Temp., Köppen–Geiger temperature class; Lat., mean latitude of distribution; Trad. res., traditional residual values calculated from the linear regression of mean femoral pore number on male SVL; Phyl. res., phylogenetic residual values calculated from the linear regression of mean femoral pore number on male SVL; GEN., generalist class; LOW VEG., low vegetation class; HIGH VEG., high vegetation class.

**Table S2.** List of species used in the phylogenetic analyses for the four gene regions, including the accession numbers of sequences obtained from GenBank. NS, no sequence.