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Future Annual Meetings

2010 — Providence, Rhode Island, 7–12 July (with ASIH, HL)
2011 — Minneapolis, Minnesota, dates TBA (with ASIH, HL)
2010 — Providence, Rhode Island, 7–12 July (with ASIH, HL)
2012 — Vancouver, British Columbia, dates TBA (with ASIH, HL, WCH)
About Our Cover: *Crotalus lannomi*

In the summer of 1966, Joseph R. Lannom, Jr. traveled south from the U.S. to collect lizards along the west coast of Mexico for University of Arizona herpetologist Charles Lowe, but spent his evenings searching the roads for snakes. On the night of 26 June, he chanced upon a road-killed rattlesnake in the mountains of western Jalisco. That snake would turn out to be a new species, and the only one of its kind known over the next half century. Countless herpetologists—professionals and amateurs—ventured into the mountains of western Jalisco in the decades that followed, hoping to find another. But all who tried failed, and *Crotalus lannomi* seemed to take on near-mythic proportions—becoming a sort of “holy grail” of rattlesnakes.

In describing the new species, Wilmer Tanner (1966. Herpetologica 22[4]:298–302) noted the morphological similarity to the Sinaloan Long-tailed Rattlesnake, *C. stejnegeri*, another rare rattlesnake occurring farther north in the mountains of Sinaloa; both species were notably characterized by a long, slender tail, terminating in small rattles. Very recently, Jonathan Campbell and Oscar Flores-Villela described *C. ericsmithi*—the Guerreran Long-tailed Rattlesnake—from the Sierra Madre del Sur of Guerrero, roughly 500 km south of the type locality of *lannomi* (Campbell and Flores-Villela 2008. Herpetologica 64[2]:246–257). Campbell and Flores-Villela confirmed the alliance of *ericsmithi*, *lannomi*, and *stejnegeri* based on scapulation, color pattern, and morphology. These three species occur in lower montane ecotonal habitats—transitionary between lower-elevation tropical deciduous forest and upper-elevation oak or pine-oak forests. As a group, the long-tailed rattlesnakes also seem to be rare, with two of the three species (*ericsmithi* and *lannomi*) known only from single types, and the third (*stejnegeri*) known from only about a dozen specimens.

Over the last few years, field searches have intensified to locate populations of *Crotalus lannomi* in various mountain ranges in Nayarit, Jalisco, and Colima. In 2008, five specimens assignable to *C. lannomi* were found at two localities in the foothills of Colima, roughly 50 km from the type locality in adjacent Jalisco. This new material is reported in this issue of *HR* (Reyes-Velasco et al., pp. 19–25), adding significantly to our knowledge of the distribution and natural history of these elusive snakes.

The cover image depicts an adult female (61 cm TL) *Crotalus lannomi* recorded in the field in Colima. The photograph was a collaborative effort by Ginny Weatherman, Jacobo Reyes-Velasco, Jason Jones, and Chris Grünwald. The image was recorded with a Nikon D200 DSLR with a Tokina 10–17mm wide angle lens (17mm focal length, f/14, ISO 500), illuminated by a single Nikon SB800 flash with a Gary Fong dome diffuser. Reyes-Velasco is a student at the Universidad de Guadalajara; his herpetological field work in southwestern Mexico has resulted in a number of important finds (e.g., Reyes-Velasco et al. 2009. Herpetological Review 40:117–120; Reyes-Velasco and Mulcahy 2010. Herpetologica 66[1]:99–110). Weatherman, Jones, and Grünwald have spent considerable time in recent years traveling throughout Mexico; their efforts have yielded many significant herpetological finds.

SSAR is pleased to acknowledge the financial support of Ronald A. Javitch, Montreal, in making possible the publication of the cover image as well as the color figures in the Reyes-Velasco et al. article elsewhere in this issue.

---

### SSAR BUSINESS

#### SSAR Henri Seibert Awards for 2010

The Henri Seibert Awards were initiated in 1992 to provide recognition for the best student papers presented at the annual meeting of the SSAR. To be eligible, the presented paper must be the result of research by the individual making the presentation. The research must have been conducted while the student was enrolled in either an undergraduate or graduate degree program. Please refer to *Herpetological Review* 28(4):175 and the SSAR website (http://www.ssarherps.org/pages/seibert.php) for recommendations to students entering the Henri Seibert Competition. Students entering the competition must be members of SSAR. The presentations will be judged by the SSAR student prize committee. One Henri Seibert Award of US $200 may be given in each of the following four categories: Systematics/Evolution, Ecology, Physiology/Morphology, and Conservation. Students may win a Henri Seibert award only one time. Please indicate the appropriate category for which you are applying on the abstract submission form. Announcement of winners will be made at the SSAR Business Meeting. All participants should be present at the business meeting. Contact Patrick Owen for further information, patrick.owen@uc.edu.

#### SSAR Silent Auction Donations

The SSAR announces the Fourteenth Annual Silent Auction to be held at the 2010 Joint Meeting of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists (JMIH) in Providence, Rhode Island, USA, 7–12 July. In previous years, items for the Silent Auction have been limited to frameable art. However, this year, we are glad to accept any herp-related donations, including but not limited to, frameable art (photographs, paintings, and line illustrations), books, music, glassware, jewelry, clothing and gift certificates for Providence area services/events during the meeting week. The SSAR Student Travel Committee organizes the Annual Silent Auction to raise money to fund the student travel awards to the JMIH. Your donations help provide opportunities for students to attend and present their research. If you are interested in donating an item or two (tax deductible for U.S. residents), please contact Matthew D. Venesky (mvenesky@memphis.edu) for more information.

---

### NEWSNOTES

#### The J. Larry Landers Student Research Grant

The J. Larry Landers Student Research Grant is a Gopher Tortoise Council competitive grant program for undergraduate and graduate college students. Proposals can address research concerning Gopher Tortoise biology or any other relevant aspect of upland habitat conservation and management. The amount of the award is variable, but projects up to $2,000.00 have been awarded.
The proposal should be limited to four pages in length and should include a description of the project, a concise budget, and a brief resume of the student. Email submissions in word are preferred.

This is an excellent opportunity for undergraduate and graduate students to access funding for their projects. The deadline for grant proposals each year is the 15th of September. Please send submissions to: Bob Herrington, Chairperson, Research Advisory Committee, Department of Biology, Georgia Southwestern State University, Americus, Georgia, 31709, USA; e-mail: bherring@canes.gsw.edu.

---

Announcing a New Panamanian Field Station

La MICA Biological Station is located in El Copé, Coclé Province, Republic of Panama, near Omar Torrijos Herrera National Park. This area has been important for amphibian and reptile research and offers opportunities for any discipline of terrestrial biology. Much of the area is mid-elevation cloud forest with sites between 200 and 1440 m (station at 400 m). La MICA is situated on the Continental Divide, offering opportunities for studies in the Atlantic and Pacific versants.

La MICA Biological Station welcomes students, researchers, courses, and general tourists from around the world. Our facilities are under development, but currently offer a cabin, bathroom, and soon a dormitory for 26 people with classroom. Logistics, including local transportation, food, guides/field assistants, and access to remote sites are all in place.

Nearly every position for employment is filled by local residents, thus providing them sustainable, conservation-oriented employment.

Visit the project website at http://www.lamica.org for more information.

---

Kansas Herpetological Society Annual Meeting

The Kansas Herpetological Society held its 36th Annual Meeting at MidAmerica Nazarene University in Olathe, Kansas, on November 7–8, 2009. Over 135 participants attended scientific paper sessions presented by scientists and students from across the nation. Featured speaker was Alexander Pyron (SUNY Stony Brook).

J. Kent Daniel, student at Pittsburg State University, received the 2009 Howard K. Gloyd/Edward H. Taylor Scholarship, honoring the memory of two great biologists with strong ties to Kansas. The 2009 Alan H. Kamb Grant for Research on Kansas Snakes was made to Mindy Walker, Rockhurst University. Paul Rodriguez, University of Nebraska, Omaha, was chosen as the twelfth recipient of “The Suzanne L. & Joseph T. Collins Award for Excellence in Kansas Herpetology.” Emilie Blevins, Kansas State University, was presented with the George Toland Award for the best student paper given at the meeting. In 2010, the Society will meet at the Topeka Zoo, Topeka, Kansas.

---

MEETINGS

Meetings Calendar

Meeting announcement information should be sent directly to the Editor (HerpReview@gmail.com) well in advance of the event.


7–12 July 2010—Joint Meeting of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists (ASIH / HL / SSAR), Providence, Rhode Island, USA. Information: http://www.dce.k-state.edu/conf/jointmeeting/


22–26 September 2010—VIII National Congress of Societas Herpetologica Italica, Abruzzo, Italy. Information: shiabruzzo2010.iscrizioni@gmail.com


---

CURRENT RESEARCH

The purpose of Current Research is to present brief summaries and citations for selected papers from journals other than those published by the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, The Herpetologists’ League, and the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles. Limited space prohibits comprehensive coverage of the literature, but an effort will be made to cover a variety of taxa and topics. To ensure that the coverage is as broad and current as possible, authors are invited to send reprints to the Current Research section editors, Joshua Hale or Ben Lowe; postal and e-mail addresses may be found on the inside front cover.

A listing of current contents of various herpetological journals and other publications is available online. Go to: http://www.herplit.com and click on “Current Herpetological Contents.”

New Frog Family Found in South America

Studies over the last decade have brought to light evidence that the frog family known as “Leptodactylidae” for most of the 20th century is, in fact, wildly polyphyletic. Efforts to rectify this problem have resulted in the erection of numerous new families across Neobatrachia (including a much more exclusive Leptodactylidae). A recent phylogenetic study of a clade containing a subset of the former Leptodactylidae (named
Terraran by the authors of the study and consisting of New World direct-developing frogs) revealed that one sample represented a deeply divergent and previously unrecognized lineage. Based on morphology, the sample had been assigned to the speciose terraranan genus Pristimantis, yet it was recovered as sister to the remainder of Terraran. During subsequent searches of the locality in Guyana from which the sample was taken, one of the authors was able to procure more samples of this frog which they have described as a new genus and species, Ceuthomantis smaragdinus, and placed in a new family, Ceuthomantidae. An analysis confirming the placement of the new samples as belonging to a clade sister to the remainder of Terraran also found a sister relationship between Terraran and Hemiphractidae (marsupial frogs), illustrating that direct development was present in the common ancestor of these two groups of New World frogs. Furthermore, based on shared morphological characters, the authors were able to assign two other species of Pristimantis from Venezuela’s Guiana Shield to the newly-erected family and genus. The discovery of this deeply-divergent lineage illustrates how much biodiversity remains to be discovered in the Guiana Highlands.


Correspondence to: Blair Hedges, Department of Biology, 208 Mueller Laboratory, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA; e-mail: sbhl1@psu.edu.

Diverse Lines of Evidence Used to Delineate Species of Horned Lizards

Stemming from disagreement among researchers as to which characters are important in species delineation, the Coast Horned Lizard (Phrynosoma coronatum) of coastal California and Baja California has undergone several taxonomic rearrangements over the years. The authors of this paper aimed to examine different lines of evidence and generate a hypothesis of species limits compatible with a modern, coalescence-informed understanding of speciation. Morphological (horn shape), genetic (mitochondrial and nuclear DNA), and ecological (environmental) data were procured for samples from across the distribution of the species. Morphological and ecological data were subjected to principal components analyses to identify geographically-cohesive groupings. Analyses of the genetic data were performed to examine evolutionary relationships (Bayesian analysis of mtDNA plus a topology test comparing the recovered phylogeny with an alternate, previously-published, morphologically-based phylogeny which recognizes four species) and to determine levels of gene flow across contact zones (nuDNA). As a criterion for species delineation, the authors looked for corroborations between independent lines of evidence. Based on DNA and ecological data correspondence, three species were recovered (one largely coincident with each of the states of Baja California Sur, Baja California, and California [from south to north]); this hypothesis was found to fit the genetic data far better than the alternate four-species hypothesis. Horn morphology separated the southern species; however, it was not able to differentiate the two northern species from each other. Furthermore, genetic subdivision of the California species recovered in the mtDNA analysis failed to align with other lines of inference and therefore was regarded as intraspecific genetic variation. As the Coast Horned Lizard has suffered precipitous population declines across much of its range, discovering that it is comprised of three genetically isolated species elevates the importance of conserving what remains of its coastal habitat.


Correspondence to: Adam Leaché, Genome Center and Section of Evolution and Ecology, One Shields Avenue, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA; email: aleache@ucdavis.edu

Communal Egg-laying More Widespread Among Herps than We Thought

Historically, communal egg-laying in reptiles and amphibians has been viewed as an uncommon phenomenon and hypotheses...
to explain instances of this phenomenon often have invoked a paucity of suitable oviposition sites. The authors of this paper suggest that this paradigm is false. They performed a meta-analysis of published accounts of communal egg-laying and found the phenomenon to be far more pervasive than previously acknowledged. Failure to recognize the prevalence of communal egg-laying in these groups may stem from a general lack of knowledge of oviposition behavior (reproductive biology is known for perhaps as few as 7% of squamates of the southern continents and Asia). Furthermore, it has long been noted that herp species known to engage in communal egg-laying frequently lay solitarily as well. Through game theory, the authors illustrate scenarios that would maintain both communal and solitary egg-laying strategies in a population. This work demonstrates the scarcity of knowledge about egg-laying and the importance of understanding reproductive behavior in amphibians and reptiles.


Correspondence to: Sean Doody, Applied Ecology Research Group, University of Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia; e-mail: scott.doody@anu.edu.au.

**Niche Tracking and Adaptation in *Liolaemus* Lizards**

Animals seem well adapted to their environments and genetic theory suggests that quantitative traits should be able to evolve quickly to fit changing environmental conditions. However, studies of niche evolution repeatedly recover a strong signal of phylogenetic niche conservatism (stasis). To explore this paradox, the authors of this paper collected distribution, physiological (e.g., body temperature, rates of heating and cooling), and ecological (thermal environment) data for 32 species of Chilean *Liolaemus* (Iguania: Iguanidae). Using a phylogeny of the genus, they reconstructed environmental conditions inhabited by the ancestral lineages along the phylogeny; this was subsequently analyzed for patterns of niche evolution. Physiological data and environmental conditions were also investigated for correlations. Interestingly, temperature preference and physiology seem capable of evolving rapidly to new conditions (i.e., exhibit a strong correlation); however a strong phylogenetic signal of niche conservatism was recovered from the phylogenetic analysis. The changes in climatic conditions during the late Cenozoic in South America and the ability of the lizards to adapt quickly, what explains the strong phylogenetic signal? The authors invoke “niche tracking,” changes in distribution to follow favorable conditions, to explain this. Further, they suggest that while adaptation occurs rapidly, perhaps it only allows *Liolaemus* populations to change to a moderate degree; they are therefore unable to adapt to wide fluctuations and must overcome these types of changes via niche tracking. These findings illustrate that perhaps the ability to adapt quickly to new environmental conditions and phylogenetic niche conservatism are not necessarily contradictory.

**Patterns of Size Evolution in Amphiuma Salamanders**

Amphiumidae is a family of aquatic, limb-reduced salamanders from the southeastern United States comprising three species: the one-, two- and three-toed amphiumas. The later two species achieve enormous size (>65 cm in total length and rivaling only members of the family Cryptobranchidae). Using genetic samples from across the range of the family, the authors conducted phylogenetic analyses of this group (both mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, analyzed separately). Interestingly, these analyses revealed that the two large species were not each other’s closest relative (contrary to earlier studies); the large two-toed is most closely related to the modest-sized one-toed and more distantly related to the similarly-sized three-toed. This suggests that either the two large species underwent gigantism independently or, alternatively, the one-toed species experienced a size-reversal. Furthermore, as the one- and two-toed amphiumas are sympatric, this finding could represent an example of character displacement, whereby coexisting lineages diverge in some way to reduce interspecific competition. Alternatively, as the two species are rarely syntopic and the one-toed occupies a much smaller range than the other two species, this might represent the evolution of a small specialist from a large generalist (the authors favor this latter hypothesis). Finally, the authors posit that this reduction in body size may have been accomplished through neotony (the retention of juvenile characteristics); as evidence for this, they point to the fact that one-toed amphiuma reach sexual maturity earlier than their larger relatives.


Correspondence to: Ronald Bonett, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104, USA; e-mail: ron-bonett@utulsa.edu.

**Hawksbill Turtles Go with the Flow When Dispersing**

All species of sea turtles are recognized as either threatened or endangered. Unfortunately, efforts to conserve them are hampered, as little is known about the factors that contribute to hatching dispersal. Traditionally, studies trying to assign samples from a mixed-stock to separate sources would perform a one-to-many assignment analysis (one stock, many sources). However, as animals from the sources are also going to other, unsampled mixed-stocks, a many-to-many mixed stock analysis is much more appropriate. Using a many-to-many method recently developed to investigate dispersal, the authors of this study analyzed genetic samples accumulated from a foraging aggregation of juvenile hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys*) in the Cayman Islands and from likely rookeries throughout the Caribbean Sea. Their findings demonstrate that the Cayman Islands foraging aggregation is

Behavior Evolution in Anolis

We have known for some time that adaptive radiations often lead species occupying similar microhabitats to evolve similar morphologies (the “ecomorph” paradigm). Does this phenomenon also lead species to evolve similar behaviors? To answer this question, the authors of this study investigated 13 Anolis species belonging to various ecomorph types at several Caribbean sites. They collected extensive behavioral and microhabitat data (including territorial display and territory size, visibility, and overlap). Analyses of these data were then performed to reveal correlations between ecomorph type and behavioral traits. Analyses performed include MANOVA (which controls for phylogenetic relationship), regression, and principal components analysis (PCA). Indeed, when the results from the PCA analysis were plotted in two dimensions, the various ecomorphs clustered together. The MANOVA analysis also recovered a strong correlation between ecomorph type and behavior. Post-hoc ANOVAs revealed that the data strongly support a correlation between ecomorph type and amount of male-male territorial overlap but not between ecomorph and display behavior. In particular, male ground-trunk specialists tolerate significantly more males with overlapping territories than male twig specialists do. Furthermore, it was revealed in the regression analyses that Anolis species occupying microhabitats with similar visibilities also engage in similar territorial displays. This study definitively demonstrates that like morphology, behavior is reflective of the environment in which the organism exists.


Correspondence to: Michele Johnson, Trinity University, Department of Biology, One Trinity Place, San Antonio, Texas 78212 USA; e-mail: michele.johnson@trinity.edu.

Sister Taxon to New World Pitvipers Revealed

Over the last decade, research into viperid systematics has revealed many new insights. For instance, we now know that New World pitvipers form a clade and likely are derived from a single dispersal across the Bering Land Bridge; however, attempts to discern which group of Asian pitvipers gave rise to them have not succeeded. To investigate this, the authors of this paper accumulated sequence data from seven genes (four mitochondrial; three nuclear) for representatives from every pitviper group. Analyses of these data revealed that New World pitvipers are sister to the genus Gloydius; interestingly, members of this genus were once considered congeneric with members of the New World Agkistrodon. Furthermore, this analysis found two enigmatic species, Ovophis okinavensis and Trimeresurus gracilis, falling outside their respective genera and instead forming a clade sister to the Gloydius + New World pitviper clade. The authors contend that these two species should be removed from their respective genera and placed together in a new genus (of which they are preparing a description). This study further illustrates the utility of incorporating nuclear data into studies of phylogenetic relationships, particularly in groups where mitochondrial DNA data alone has failed to resolve deeper-level relationships.


Correspondence to: Anita Malhotra, School of Biological Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, 3rd Floor ECW, Deiniol Road, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 2UW, UK; e-mail: a.malhotra@bangor.ac.uk.

Chytrid Extirpations are Non-random

When Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) infection spreads through a region and causes local extirpations, are the extirpations random or do certain ecological characteristics make one species of frog more prone to be eliminated than another? If species with small distributions are disproportionately affected, Bd epizootics should have a homogenizing effect on regional diversity. Using data from eight sites across Panama and Costa Rica, the authors of this study investigated the changes in patterns of frog diversity before and after Bd swept through the region. The results of this study show that Bd epidemics disproportionately remove locally endemic species and that frog communities are more homogeneous after Bd passes through. Prior to Bd, the various localities exhibited a strong positive correlation between geographic distance and community dissimilarity; afterward, this correlation was no longer significant. Further analysis revealed that the sites had significantly more similar frog communities after the epizooitic than would be expected if the extirpations were randomly distributed. Post-Bd homogeneity also extended to familial-diversity, reproductive mode, and microhabitat. This study demonstrates the need to be concerned about not only the local but also the regional impacts of Bd.


Correspondence to: Anita Malhotra, School of Biological Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, 3rd Floor ECW, Deiniol Road, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 2UW, UK; e-mail: a.malhotra@bangor.ac.uk.
Importance of Venom in Feeding Strategies of Giant Varanids

Recent studies have found that, contrary to previous speculation, the Komodo Dragon (Varanus komodoensis) possesses venom and likely does not rely on bacterial infection to subdue prey. However, the degree to which the venom delivery system is developed and the complexity and potency of the venom has remained unclear. To investigate this phenomenon, the authors analyzed the cranial mechanics and venom of V. komodoensis. Their results confirmed previous findings that the skull of V. komodoensis is unable to sustain large bite forces (relative to similar large reptilian predators such as Crocodylus). However, previous studies underestimated potential bite force by assuming that optimal forces were achieved when the mouth was closed; computer simulations conducted in this study showed that maximal bite force occurs when the mouth is open at an angle of 20°. Instead, the skull seems best suited for stresses associated with pulling forces (similar to sharks and saber-toothed cats). Analyses of the venom found it to possess a diverse array of chemicals, including toxins known to exhibit anti-coagulant, hypotensive, hemorrhagic, and shock-inducing properties (many of these proteins have also been found in other members of the clade Toxicofera). Additionally, magnetic resonance imaging revealed large, paired mandibular venom glands (running parallel to the salivary glands), each divided into five compartments and possessing ducts that deliver venom into the spaces between the teeth. These venom glands combined with strong pulling forces and large, serrated teeth provide V. komodoensis with a highly effective venom delivery system. As previous studies have shown that squamate lineages that adopt feeding strategies not reliant on venom (e.g., constricting, egg-eating) quickly lose their venom capabilities (as venom and their accompanying architecture are metabolically expensive), the fact that V. komodoensis still possesses a highly-derived, functioning venom apparatus strongly suggests that it remains an essential part of their feeding strategy. Furthermore, as the skeletal morphology of the extinct Varanus priscus closely parallels that of V. komodoensis, this implies that V. priscus also likely utilized venom in its feeding strategy and therefore was the largest venomous animal to have walked the face of the earth.


Correspondence to: Bryan Fry, Venomics Research Laboratory, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; e-mail: bgf@unimelb.edu.au.
ger Snake (Notechis ater serventyi), and King Brown Snake (Pseudochis australis). One of his most interesting observations, accompanied by an arresting photograph, was that in addition to a number of snakes preying upon the Little-Bent-Winged Bat (Miniopterus australis) in a cave in Queenslands, White’s Treefrog (Litoria caerulea) also preyed upon the mammals. Means tells many other stories relating his field experiences with the Mocassin, Alligator Snapping Turtle, Apalachicola Kingsnake named in his honor (Lampropeltis getula meanst), Eastern Indigo Snake, Alabama Red Hills Salamander, Yucatan Peninsula Rattlesnake, American Alligator, and a number of species from Madagascar. This is a book well worth reading.

The second autobiography was written by Leslie Anthony and called Snakebit. Confessions of a Herpetologist (2008; GreyStone Books; Vancouver, Toronto, Berkeley; ISBN 978-1-55365-236-6). Anthony was trained as a biologist (his herpetological name is Leslie A. Lowcock), working with Robert W. Murphy at the Royal Ontario Museum (ROM) for his doctorate and as a postdoc at McGill University’s Redpath Museum in Canada. One of the strongest features of this book is his ability to explain complex biological principles in simple terms so the layman can understand. One example is his clear description of salamander reproductive isolation in Chapter 3 called “A Salamander under a Log.”

Upon emergence from hibernation in Manitoba, Canada, hundreds of Red-Sided Gartersnakes (Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis) form snake balls where scores of males pursue and envelop a female in order to mate. Females secrete a sexually attractive pheromone which attracts potential mates. These spectacular aggregations are described by Anthony in the chapter “Ode to a Gartersnake”—“A human version of this chemical would be worth billions, its power evident in the almost comical spectacle of “mating balls” observed at dens in the spring, where a single pheromone-secreting female is assailed by up to a hundred males.

The next book is entitled LIZARD MAN. The Life and Adventures of Bert Langerwerf, by Bert Langerwerf and Russ Gurley (2009, Living Art Publishing, Ada, Oklahoma; www.livingartpublishing.com; ISBN 0-9787556-6-9). The late Bert Langerwerf was an extraordinary reptile breeder, reproducing over 135 species. He was the owner of Agama International, Inc., a seven-acre breeding facility in Alabama where thousands of lizards were produced annually. He personally constructed over...
During the period between 1932 and 1943, Hediger published many papers in herpetology on a variety of topics (Appendix II; Honegger 2009).

Amphibian Decline and Extinction

We began this project to summarize our understanding of why amphibian populations declined, some to extinction, late in the 20th and early in the 21st century. We know enough now to place this problem in a larger context of historical and present-day biodiversity losses. The knowledge we have raises several final questions: How will humans react to an increased awareness that Earth’s biodiversity is diminishing? What are these losses telling us about our place on the planet, our role in the biosphere? What is our role in conserving biodiversity as we become custodians of a planet that has clear limitations? And how can we pass on to future generations the wisdom needed to make sound environmental decisions? The answers to these questions will tell us much about ourselves, and science will take us only part of the way along that journey.

One mystery yields another.

—James P. Collins and Martha L. Crump

When a zoo visitor hears about the global decline and possible extinction of amphibians, they may ask what non-technical books are available to learn more about the subject. In the past, I have recommended several: In Search of the Golden Frog by Marty Crump, Tracking the Vanishing Frogs: An Ecological Mystery by Kathryn Phillips, and A Plague of Frogs: The Horrifying True Story by William Souder. James P. Collins and Martha L. Crump have added another book to the list which is readable and comprehensible for the layman but is also valuable for the specialist. To achieve this balance, the authors have included hundreds of endnotes to document sources as well as explain situations and concepts in greater detail. Their book is called Extinction in Our Times, Global Amphibian Decline (2009, Oxford University Press; ISBN 978-0-19-531694-0). There are many reasons for every zoo herpetologist to own this book. First, the text paints an historical picture of the problem, from the beginning days of skepticism that declines were a real phenomenon to the present day when humans are trying to deal with the potential extinction of an entire class of vertebrates. Second, the role of infectious diseases, climate change, and other deleterious factors are explained in detail. Third, the authors present a primer as to how science policy, future research, and other initiatives should be conducted to address the problem. Finally, they address the role of zoos: “Although they are committed to conservation, for understandable reasons many zoos cannot allocate substantial space or personnel to species with little potential for exhibits. Nevertheless, collection-based institutions are the only ones maintaining species on a continuing basis to ensure their survival, because few governmental agencies have made such commitments. Policies are needed to guide the housing and management of large numbers of threatened or endangered, perhaps non-charismatic, species (p. 180).”

Every zoo professional must become a rigorous but sobering overview of global amphibian declines. Illustration from Tableau encyclopédique et méthodique des trois règnes de la nature . Erpétologie. / Par m. l’abbé Bonnatetre ... Imprint: Paris: Chez Pancoucke, 1789. Credit: Library of Roy McDiarmaid.


400 outdoor terraria and outbuildings by hand. This book is large: 467 pages, hundreds of photographs, a list of his many papers on captive management, and reasonably priced. For anyone interested in the history of herpetoculture, these photos alone are worth the price of the book as many prominent reptile and amphibian breeders are pictured. His remarkable life is detailed in an obituary published in Herpetological Review (2008, 39:400–401).

An excellent biography has also been recently published. Heini Hediger, late Director of the Basel and Zürich Zoos in Switzerland, wrote several seminal books on psychology, psychopathology, and captive management which have influenced zoo biologists. He also published a number of papers in herpetology and his doctoral dissertation “Beiträge zur Herpetologie und Zoogeographie Neu Britanniens und einiger umliegender Gebiete (Contribution to the herpetology and zoogeography of New Britain and some adjacent regions)” included information on the habits of species collected there and the relationship to the environment. The venomous snake Parapistocalamus hedigeri was named in his honor by Jean Roux in 1934. Hediger described three taxa: Amiralty Island Webbed Frog (Discodeles vogti [Hediger 1934]), Roux’s Skink (Lipinia rouxi [Hediger 1934]), and a worm snake (Typhlops buehleri Hediger 1933, now Ramphotyphlops depressus).


Cover of book on life of Heini Hediger.

James P. Collins and Martha L. Crump have written
familiar with and conversant about the scope of the problem outlined by the authors in order to facilitate change in zoos and aquariums by convincing administrators and policy makers that greater human and financial resources must be allocated to attack this extraordinary crisis. In fact, it would be a great idea to buy a few extra copies and circulate them among your bosses!


Snakes: Ecology and Conservation

When people abroad see a live snake they rush upon it and batter it to death with sticks and stones, as though every inch of it possessed a separate and fifty-
feline power of life calling for special destruction; then they pick up what is left of it, and after an uncertain interval of time, very often in the broiling midday heat of the tropics, put it into a bottle of cachasse, canha, aguadiente, or some other coarse spirit which disintegrates its actual structure, label it with the wrong name, and send it home.

— Arthur Stradling, On the treatment of snakes in captivity, 1882

In 1987, the book Snakes—Ecology and Evolutionary Biology was published and was followed six years later by the next in the series Snakes: Ecology and Behavior. The third one has now appeared: Snakes: Ecology and Conservation, edited by Stephen J. Mullin and Richard A. Seigel (2009; Comstock Publishing Associates, A Division of Cornell University Press, Ithaca and London; ISBN 978-0-8014-4565-1). Eleven chapters are geared to conservation and present the most current information on molecular phylogeography; population and conservation genetics; distribution and habitat; behavioral ecology; reproductive biology, population viability, and options for field management; captive rearing, translocation, and repatriation; habitat manipulation; and ecosystem properties. [Full disclosure: I contributed to the chapter on combating ophiophobia.]

In 1792, William Bartram describes an encounter with the Timber Rattlesnake (Crotalus horridus) in the Catskill Mountains, located in the state of New York, in his book Travels through North and South Carolina, Georgia, East and West Florida, the Cherokee country, the extensive territories of the Muscogulges, or Creek confederacy, and the country of the Chactaws; containing an account of the soil and natural productions of those regions; together with observations on the manners of the Indian—"... when at the instant, my father being near, cried out ‘A rattle snake, my son!’ and jerked me back, which probably saved my life. I had never seen one before. That was of the kind which our guide called a yellow one, it was very beautiful, speckled and clouded.” (p. 224). According to librarian and author Judith Magee, this unpublished illustration by Bartram is in the collection of the Natural History Museum in London. It was drawn during his travels in the south. The page measures 150 × 160 mm, but this has been trimmed by someone in the Museum in the past. There is no text with these drawings but they were sent to his patron John Fothergill in 1774. Credit: By permission of the Trustees of the Natural History Museum, London.


Collins and Crump present mounting evidence in their book that humans are witnessing an amphibian extinction event. Could it be that the only way that frogs will be seen in the future is like this? Illustration from Frederik Ruysch’s book on a natural history cabinet in 1710—Frederici Ruischii ... Thesaurus animalium primus = Het eerste cabinet der diieren / van Frederick Ruysch ...


In 1792, William Bartram describes an encounter with the Timber Rattlesnake (Crotalus horridus) in the Catskill Mountains, located in the state of New York, in his book Travels through North and South Carolina, Georgia, East and West Florida, the Cherokee country, the extensive territories of the Muscogulges, or Creek confederacy, and the country of the Chactaws; containing an account of the soil and natural productions of those regions; together with observations on the manners of the Indian—"... when at the instant, my father being near, cried out ‘A rattle snake, my son!’ and jerked me back, which probably saved my life. I had never seen one before. That was of the kind which our guide called a yellow one, it was very beautiful, speckled and clouded.” (p. 224). According to librarian and author Judith Magee, this unpublished illustration by Bartram is in the collection of the Natural History Museum in London. It was drawn during his travels in the south. The page measures 150 × 160 mm, but this has been trimmed by someone in the Museum in the past. There is no text with these drawings but they were sent to his patron John Fothergill in 1774. Credit: By permission of the Trustees of the Natural History Museum, London.

Rattlesnakes being such popular zoo exhibits, there are several books which are essential for the zoo herpetologist’s reference library—The Rattlesnakes, Genera Sistrurus and Crotalus: A Study in Zoogeography and Evolution by Howard K. Gloyd, 1940; Rattlesnakes: Their Habits, Life Histories, and Influence on Mankind by Laurence M. Klauber, 1956; The Venomous Reptiles of Latin America by Jonathan A. Campbell and William W. Lamar, 1989; Biology of the Pitvipers by editors Jonathan A. Campbell and Edmund D. Brodie, Jr., 1998; Rattlesnake: Portrait of a Predator by Manny Rubio, 1998; and The Venomous Reptiles of the Western Hemisphere by Jonathan A. Campbell, William W. Lamar, with contributions by Edmund D. Brodie III ... [et al.], 2004.

A new book entitled The Biology of Rattlesnakes (2008, Loma Linda University Press, Loma Linda CA; over 600 pages, 50 chapters, and over 90 color images; edited by William K. Hayes, Kent R. Beaman, Michael D. Cardwell, Sean P. Bush, foreword by Gordon W. Schuett; ISBN 978-1594190-011-6), presents the most up-to-date research by nearly one hundred authors specializing in rattlesnake biology. It is not necessary to go into specifics here in terms of content; suffice it to say that virtually every aspect pertaining to the life of a rattler is covered in detail. This is an awesome book, in part because so much original material is included by these researchers. I cannot remember a single book in herpetology with a larger number (>50) of original contributions. The book is divided into Research and History, Systematics, Morphology and Physical Ecology, Learning and Evolution of Behavior, Behavioral Ecology of Feeding and Defense, Population Ecology and Habitat Use, Thermal Ecology, Spatial Ecology, Reproductive Behavior and Ecology, Conservation Ecology and Education, Venom, and Snakebite. Of particular interest to the zoo worker will be the chapter on San Diego Zoo (SDZ) curator and rattlesnake authority Laurence M. Klauber by his granddaughter Janet. Another chapter describes the many observations contained in his monumental two-volume book which were made with Clarence B. (Si) Perkins and later Charles E. Shaw at the SDZ (see Murphy, 2007 for biographies).

I was pleased to see the names of many zoo people as authors: Alison Alberts, Fred Antonio, Tracey Brown, Nick Clark, Ivan Iñáy, Jeffrey Lemm, Hugh McCrystal, Jean-Pierre Montagne, R. Andrew Odum, Douglas Whiteside, as well as those from the academic community who have collaborated with them on various projects.

Turtles, Tortoises, and Terrapins of the United States and Canada

Everyone recognizes a turtle, of whatever size, shape, color, or origin. Would that the names applied to them were so straightforward—“turtle,” “tortoise,” “terrapin”—they mean one thing to one person, something else to another. Biologically all members of the order Testudines are correctly called turtles. Terrapins and tortoises are certainly turtles; tortoise is usually applied to terrestrial turtles, particularly the larger ones; terrapin is usually applied to edible, more or less aquatic hardshelled turtles.

—Carl H. Ernst, Jeffrey E. Lovich, and Roger W. Barbour, in Turtles of the United States and Canada in 1994

In 1972, Carl H. Ernst and the late Roger W. Barbour published Turtles of the United States. Twenty-two years later, an expanded Turtles of the United States and Canada appeared by Ernst, Jeffrey E. Lovich, and Barbour. Now, the second edition of Turtles of the United States and Canada by Ernst and Lovich has been written and this tome is enormous (2009; Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland; xii, 827 pp.: ill. (chiefly col.), maps, ISBN 13:978-0-8018-9121-2; 10: 0-8018-9121-3), only approximately 100 pages less than the combined number of pages (which were smaller in size) of the earlier books. Do not be fooled into believing that if you own the first two books, purchasing this second edition is unnecessary—this latest version is dramatically different. Although the sub-categories are essentially the same in each species account (i.e., recognition, karyotype, fossil history, distribution, geographic variation and so on) for all books, the new one is published in a larger format, contains more information, and a greater number of excellent color plates accompany the accounts. Taxonomic name changes after the 1994 edition are included. There is in this second edition an extensive section on conservation, a topic missing in the earlier books. This addition reflects the increasingly perilous plight of chelonians globally. W. B. Spencer in The Victorian Naturalist in 1921 explains the situation applicable to aquatic turtles “…fresh-water fauna is disappearing rapidly, and unless we now make an organized effort it will be too late to study it effectually, and future generations will wonder what manner of people we were not to leave behind us some adequate record of the marvellously interesting forms of animal life which we had succeeded in exterminating . . .”

In the August 2009 issue of Turtle Survival Alliance Newsletter, an article by Heather Lowe shows current turtle legislation as it relates to commercial harvest in the eastern United States (pp. 49–52). For membership information, visit www.TurtleSurvival.org and also read the article by Dwight Lawson (2004. Partners in saving turtles: The Turtle Survival Alliance. Herpetol. Rev. 35:110).

Lizards and Crocodilians of Southeastern United States

In an earlier issue of Herpetological Review (2008, 39:405), I reviewed four books on the amphibians and reptiles of the southeastern United States and enthusiastically recommended all of them for the zoo biologist’s library. A new one covering the lizards and crocodilians has been written: Lizards & Crocodilians of the Southeast by Whit Gibbons, Judy Greene, and Tony Mills (2009; The University of Georgia Press, Athens, Georgia; ISBN 978-0-8203-3158-4). This book equals the quality of the earlier ones and should be purchased in haste to complete the set.

In the southwestern United States, the attendees at annual rattlesnake roundsups destroy thousands of Western Diamondback Rattlesnakes (Crotalus atrox). Many herpetologists have never attended one of these events; if they did so, they would be horrified by the barbaric and inhumane treatment accorded the snakes by so-called civilized human beings. Years ago, I watched a young girl, wearing a party dress covered in blood, chop the heads off restrained living snakes with a meat cleaver. What is remarkable and unsettling is that these displays showing the most negative side of human behavior still exist, attract thousands of onlookers, and animal rights groups ignore the carnage. Illustration from Reptiles of the Boundary ... With Notes by the Naturalists of the Survey by Spencer F. Baird, 1859.
How to Exhibit an Anole

Some of the Anoles make very charming pets. Personally, I think them to be the most beautiful of all Lizards. Those I have fortunate enough to possess have proved themselves hardy while in confinement. They are extraordinarily active, and, for Reptiles, very intelligent: they soon become tame enough to take a fly from the fingers. Owing to their great activity and power of changing colour, mine have several times escaped from their case.

—Reverend Gregory C. Bateman, The Vivarium, 1897

During the mid-1950s, I regularly visited the reptile building at the Lincoln Park Zoo in Chicago. In the middle of the public area was a large free-standing planted terrarium housing dozens of American and Brown Anoles. Throng of visitors always surrounded this enclosure. The anoline life style was on display—male-male combat with dewlap extension, color change, sexual selection, courtship and copulation, oviposition, hatching, and feeding. Even in this limited space, male Brown Anoles were dominating male American Anoles. It was clearly the most popular exhibit in the building, due in large part to the fact that the lizards were very active, attractive, and familiar.

An anole exhibit is the perfect way to introduce the zoo patron to evolutionary biology, and the task of preparing graphics and other educational elements is now much easier with the recent appearance of a superb reference—Lizards in an Evolutionary Tree. Ecology and Adaptive Radiation of Anoles by Jonathan B. Losos (2009; The University
of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, London; ISBN 978-0-520-25591-3). The book is arranged in 17 chapters and includes the following topics: phylogenetics, evolutionary inference, anole relationships, biogeography, life history, ecology, natural selection and microevolution, form, function, and adaptive radiation, ecomorphs, and so on. Creative informative graphics and interactive displays could be developed using any one of these topics and this book is a critical source of information should one embark on a project of this kind. Anoline lizards, numbering about 380 species, can be effectively used to demonstrate the development of ecological theory, ecological questions of interest, conservation issues, and evolutionary diversification.

An Essential Reference Book

Editors often complain that bibliographies are the most error-filled part of submitted manuscripts. The reason for this may be that authors are so relieved to have finished their paper that adding the bibliography and checking the accuracy of the citations is almost an afterthought. In a thought-provoking paper by Liner and Hutchison (1998), the senior author reviewed 1000 entries and discovered that 20% were in error. Liner and Hutchison describe the proper way that citations should be listed by addressing the following elements—surname, given name, year, title, source, and ethics and professionalism. They conclude: “Although the errors of existing bibliographies are now history, we hope that this summation of some common problems will lead to increased vigilance on the part of authors, reviewers, and editors, and the incidence of errors will decline.”

This goal is now much easier for an extraordinary new book published by SSAR is now available—Biology of the Reptilia, Comprehensive Literature of the Reptilia, Volume 22, edited by Carl Gans and Kraig Adler, compiled by Ernest A. Liner; 1,400 pages. To order this volume, please visit: http://www.ssarbooks.com.

The Biology of the Reptilia series (21 volumes) is an essential tool for zoo biologists (see HR 2009, vol. 40:17 for details), editors, reviewers, librarians, and others dealing with reptile biology and literature. Over 22,000 references are listed in this new book, compiled from the earlier volumes. There are several important and invaluable features—subject/species and author indices, and cross-reference index to the entire 21-volume series.

Errors can occur by copying citations from previous publications or downloading them from the internet; in both cases, these documents are sometimes erroneously cited and mistakes are perpetuated. The permanence of a printed book with “accurate” citations is essential to meet the challenge of Liner and Hutchison. This tome will be the standard for decades to come; it is unlikely that another book like this will ever again be published.
ADDITIONAL READINGS


EAZA NEWS magazine, the quarterly publication of the European Association of Zoos and Aquaria, is available to download from the EAZA website <http://www.eaza.net/magazine/EN_intro.html>.
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APPENDIX I. HERPETOLOGICAL AUTOBIOGRAPHIES AND BIOGRAPHIES


Judith A. Block is the retired registrar from the Smithsonian National Zoological Park in Washington DC. She is a founding (and now honorary) member of the Zoo Registrars’ Association (ZRA), an organization in existence for over 25 years. In 2007, the organization established the “Judith Block Professional Excellence Award,” a prestigious honor given to select members to recognize significant achievement in this profession; Block was the first recipient. In the following article, she reviews the book by John E. Simmons (Things Great and Small. Collection Management Philosophies) from the perspective of a zoo registrar.

Simmons is well known to herpetologists for his role as the registrar for many years at the University of Kansas before retirement. He is the author of two editions of Herpetological Collecting and Collections Management, published by SSAR in 1987 and 2002.

—James B. Murphy, Section Editor

**Essential Reading for Zoo Registrars and Others with Collections Responsibilities**

**JUDITH A. BLOCK**

3100 Connecticut Ave., NW, Washington DC 2008, USA
e-mail: jbmurphy2@juno.com

*Things Great and Small: Collections Management Policies*, by John E. Simmons (2007; 208 pp.; American Association of Museums; ISBN-13:978-1-033256-03-9; ISBN-10:1-923253-03-7) is a textbook on collections management policies and it is anything but dry. The book is totally engaging; I found myself making notes, jotting down questions and reflecting on how I would apply what I was reading. The author has a fluid style and a sense of perspective that includes humor, making the sections unexpectedly readable. I even laughed out loud a couple of times.

This book makes clear what collections management policies are and why they are essential. “Collections management is everything that is done to take care of collections, develop the collections and make the collections available for use.” (p. 2) Policies are developed to address aspects of management and other collections-related activities and they clarify who is responsible for each; they are the basis for procedures and collection plans. Each institution has its own requirements and constraints but there are commonalities and this book is a most useful guide for developing comprehensive documents or reviewing existing policies.

Each chapter covers a particular topic, such as authority, acquisitions, dispositions, loans, documentation, access and use, ethics and appraisals. There are apt and amusing quotes at the start of each; the section on authority has Ambrose Bierce (1911), “Accountability is the mother of caution.” Interspersed with the text in the chapters are boxes titled, “when policy meets reality” which give surprising and informative examples; some are worthy of “news of the weird” such as the theft of two 40 ton locomotives in the risk management and insurance chapter. Each chapter has its own references, explanatory and summary tables, and relevant examples of actual policies in use by various institutions. At the end of the book are appendices including a glossary, a section on laws and regulations, an expanded list of resources; the bibliography is thorough, the online references less so because a couple of years have passed since publication.

The book was designed as a textbook for Museum Studies graduate students. It seems to be geared particularly to natural history collections although there are plentiful examples from the art world. While I was disappointed to note that zoos are conspicuous by their absence I found that virtually all of the issues are the same and thus the book can be extremely useful to those with living collections.

Anyone who has stewardship responsibilities or deals with collections in institutions would profit from reading—and applying—this book.
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Graziano and Reid (2006) reported a range extension of the Seal Salamander (Desmognathus monticola) into southern Ohio (Adams County, Monroe Township), the first documentation of the species north of the Ohio River (Pfingsten and Matson 2003). The species verification was made from two specimens collected from two seepages where the closely related Desmognathus fuscus, the Northern Dusky Salamander, also was present. No morphological information was provided for either of the specimens, nor was there any discussion of the characters used to distinguish them from the coexisting D. fuscus.

Due to the importance of this possible new addition to the herpetofauna of Ohio, and given that the Ohio Department of Natural Resources is now undertaking a major revision of the status of salamanders in the state, we report our collective findings of a reexamination of the two specimens collected by Graziano and Reid (2006). The specimens were deposited at the Fort Hays State University Sternberg Museum of Natural History and were assigned catalog numbers MHP-H 12169 and MHP-H 12170. Both individuals are males with standard lengths (snout-posterior vent lengths) 66.5 and 53.2 mm, respectively.

Both specimens collected by Graziano and Reid are patterned with a dorsal stripe that is most evident posterior to the front legs, a character reported by Hulse et al. (2001) to be lacking in D. monticola from Pennsylvania (Fig. 1). Neither specimen has the deeply cut “bold, dark wormy marks” on the dorsum and/or tail that are characteristic of D. monticola we have observed and were reported by Petranka (1998). The venter of one of the specimens (MHP-H 12169) has pigmentation with evidence of a reticulate pattern beginning to form, a characteristic of D. fuscus as they age. One of us (RAP) has searched for D. monticola in southern Ohio counties north of the Ohio River and has collected individuals in Adams County thought to be D. fuscus with a dorsal pattern similar to the Graziano and Reid specimens. A “monticola like” dorsal pattern has been observed by one of us (RDD) in D. fuscus populations from northern Ohio (Lake County), approximately 160 km from the closest known D. monticola records in western Pennsylvania (Hulse et al. 2001). From our external examination of the specimens collected by Graziano and Reid (Fig. 1), we find no compelling evidence to suggest that either is D. monticola.

However, because there can be extreme intraspecific variation in morphology, color, and pattern in the genus Desmognathus, we also examined tooth morphology and toe pads as presented by Caldwell and Trauth (1979). They report differences in tooth morphology between D. fuscus and D. monticola from the posterior half of the dentary that can be used to help distinguish the two species. Figure 1 in Caldwell and Trauth (1979) shows D. monticola collected from Kentucky having a pointed and piercing-type tooth crown in the posterior half of the dentary, with the lingual cusp being much higher than the labial cusps. In contrast, the crown is blunt and relatively flat in D. fuscus with lingual and labial cusps approximately equal in height.

We compared the tooth morphology of the two specimens collected by Graziano and Reid with those presented in Caldwell and Trauth (1979) with two additional specimens in the collection of the Cleveland Museum of Natural History; CMNH 3307, a D. fuscus collected by RAP from Adams County, Ohio very near the collection sites of Graziano and Reid; CMNH 6020, a D. monticola collected by RAP from Wayne County, West Virginia. We examined teeth from the most posterior region of the dentary from all four specimens at 150× magnification using a Zeiss Discovery V12 stereomicroscope with photographic capability.

The tooth morphology of the specimens we examined is presented in Fig. 2. The Graziano and Reid specimens (B and C in Fig. 2) have teeth nearly identical to those of the CMNH 3307 (A in Fig. 2), the D. fuscus from Adams County, Ohio. Differences in length and width of teeth may be related to sex, age, or some other factor. Neither specimen collected by Graziano and Reid has teeth that are consistent with CMNH 6020 (D in Fig. 2), the D. monticola collected from West Virginia nor those collected from Kentucky (fig. 1 of Caldwell and Trauth 1979).

Caldwell and Trauth (1979) also discuss differences in pigmentation of toe pads (friction pads) between D. monticola and D. fuscus.
Living Reptiles and Amphibians Available from Smithsonian National Zoological Park, Washington DC

The following are available gratis to qualified non-profit institutions: nature centers, living material centers, and academic institutions:

*Gonyosoma oxycephala*, adult female, (Red Tailed Rat Snake)
*Tiliqua scincoides*, 2 adult females, (Blue-tongued Skinks)
*Elaphe obsoleta rossalleni*, 2 adult males, 1 adult female, (Everglades Rat Snakes)
*Eublepharis macularius*, group of five adults, (Leopard Geckos)

Some of the specimens are habituated long-term captives and could be used for demonstrations. Potential recipients will undergo a review process. Shipping costs must be borne by recipient.

If interested, contact curator James B. Murphy for additional details: Department of Herpetology, Smithsonian National Zoological Park, PO Box 37012 MRC 5507, Washington DC 20013-7012; e-mail: murphyj@si.edu; cell phone: 202-327-3767.
Rediscovery of the Rare Autlán Long-Tailed Rattlesnake, *Crotalus lannomi*
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Among Mexico’s diverse herpetofauna, the rattlesnakes (*Crotalus* and *Sistrurus*) have intrigued both herpetologists and non-herpetologists alike for centuries. One group of rattlesnakes, however, has remained especially enigmatic. The long-tailed rattlesnake group includes three rare species (*C. stejnegeri*, *C. lannomi*, and *C. ericsmithi*) and is represented in museum collections by fewer than 15 specimens, most of which are *C. stejnegeri* (Campbell and Flores-Villela 2008; Campbell and Lamar 2004). Their unique body structure and unusually long tails have raised numerous questions regarding their natural history and ancestry.

The Autlán Long-tailed Rattlesnake, *C. lannomi*, has been known only from a single specimen, collected in June 1966 by Joseph R. Lannom, Jr. from “1.8 miles west of the pass: Puerto Los Mazos, Jalisco” (Tanner 1966). Despite numerous attempts to locate additional specimens (Campbell and Flores-Villela 2008; Campbell and Lamar 2004), none have been reported since the initial description.

Since 2004, we have searched for rattlesnakes in the western foothills of the Sierra Madre Occidental and associated ranges in Nayarit, Jalisco, and Colima. Over the course of several weeks in July 2008, five additional specimens of long-tailed rattlesnakes were found in the foothills of Colima, México. Based on features of lepidosis, this new material is referred to *Crotalus lannomi*. The snakes were found approximately 50 km SW of the type locality (Fig. 1). Herein, we provide detailed morphological descriptions of the new specimens, notes on natural history, comparisons with the other species of long-tailed rattlesnakes, and review the conservation status of the species.

**METHODS**

The new specimens of *Crotalus lannomi* were collected at two localities in Colima: 42 km SE (Site 1) and 48 km ESE (Site 2) by road from Cuautitlán, Jalisco (Fig. 1). Protocols for making scale counts and definitions of external morphological features follow Klauber (1972) and Campbell and Lamar (2004). Measurements were made using a meter stick to the nearest 1 mm or with a digital caliper. All specimens were photographed by digital camera (Nikon D200 and Sony Cyber-Shot F828) and the images were deposited at the University of Texas at Arlington Digital Image Collection (UTADC). One specimen was deposited at the Museo de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM (MZFC 22941).

Measurements for other species of long-tailed rattlesnakes were taken from published sources (Campbell and Flores-Villela 2008; Campbell and Lamar 2004; Dunn 1919; Tanner 1966).

**RESULTS**

*Description of the new material.*—The specimens of *Crotalus lannomi* reported herein (N = 5; 3 males, 2 females) agree in most characters with the original description by Tanner (1966). A comparison of morphological characters is given in Table 1. The following is a summary of scutellation in *C. lannomi*: rostral wider
than high; canthals moderate to large; 2–4 scales between canthals (but in two specimens canthals in contact); internasals relatively narrow; intersupraoculars 3, 4, or 5 at midlevel; 1–4 scales between intersupraoculars and intercanthals; dorsal scale rows (DSR) extremely variable: midbody 25–29; one head length behind neck 23–27; one head length anterior to cloaca 20–22; ventrals 168–175; subcaudals in males 49; in females 35–36; body slender in both sexes though slightly more stout in females.

Coloration.—In life coloration is as follows: ground color varies from rust-red to various shades of brown and yellow; dorsal blotches 31–35 (31–33 in females, 35 in males); blotches brown with central highlights and dark black or dark brown edges surrounded by a pale cream or white border one scale in width; blotches fade to pale brown or rust with the dark edges and pale border becoming indistinguishable towards the posterior part of the body; primary dorsal blotches 3–10 scales wide and 2–11 scales
long (9–12 scales wide and 3–5 scales long in the holotype), narrowing towards the posterior of the body; blotches separated by 0.5–4 scales; a dark brown or black spot present on both sides of each dorsal blotch, on scales 2–4; spots become paler on the posterior part of the body; a small dark lateral spot is present, usually on scale rows 4–6 between dorsal blotches, bordered by bright orange on surrounding scales; a secondary row of smaller dark lateral spots is present just above the ventrals; tail pale blue-gray, with 12–17 gray bands; venter white with an irregular series of two black spots near the margin of each ventral. In preservative most colors turn dull gray or brown.

**Habitat.**—Both new localities for *Crotalus lannomi* reported here are within an ecotone of tropical deciduous forest (TDF) and oak forest (Fig. 1). The area is characterized by wet canyons harboring tropical semi-deciduous forest with large old growth trees. Areas above 1200 m have medium to dense pine or pine-oak forest. Site 1, at 805 m elev., lies along an arroyo and consists of a cleared field with scattered large boulders, covered by grasses and secondary growth ca. 0.5–1 m high. It is bordered by open oak/TDF (Fig. 1A). Site 2 is a steep hillside in open oak/TDF located at 1150 m elev. (Fig. 1B). It is mostly undisturbed, with the exception of some light grazing and logging. Introduced grasses (*Panicum, Hyparrhenia, Andropogon*) and small bushes represent the principal vegetation cover at Site 1. Small bushes (*Montano sp., Verbesina sp.*) and some herbaceous plants (*Adiantum sp., Cheilanthes sp., Hemionitis sp.*) form the understory at Site 2. Oak trees (*Quercus magnololpis, Q. ilisii, Q. elliptica*) and tropical arborescent species (*Bursera bipinnata, Cecopia sp., Lysiloma sp., Sapium sp.*) represent the principal tree species at both localities.

**Natural History**

**Diet.**—Examination of fecal samples from a juvenile specimen (UTADC 4003) revealed lizard scales (*Sceloporus sp.*), arthropod remains, plant matter, and the mandible of a colubrid snake. The colubrid mandible measures 2.3 mm in length and has two posterior fangs with deep grooves.

**Reproduction.**—Umbilical scars were present in the two juvenile specimens (UTADC 4002, 4003), both of which were found ca. 20 m apart on the same hillside at Site 1 on 15–16 July, respectively. An adult female and male (UTADC 4005, 4006) were found on 24 July 2008 basking together beneath grasses and small ferns at Site 2 (Fig. 1B). The previous day, a single male (MZFC 22941) was observed at this site.

**Activity and behavior.**—All specimens were found partially hidden beneath vegetation between 1130 and 1300 h, and were quick to rattle and retreat when disturbed. None assumed a defensive posture nor struck when handled. We spent many hours actively searching at night, both in the field and on roads, but were not able to locate any specimens. However, based on published observations of related taxa (Campbell and Flores-Villela 2008; McDiarmid et al. 1976a), we expect this species to be crepuscular and/or nocturnal when weather permits.

**Discussion**

**Comparison with other long-tailed rattlesnakes.**—The paucity of specimens available for study coupled with minimal sampling over a wide geographic range has made it difficult to determine relationships within this group. Until now, two of the three species (*C. lannomi* and *C. ericsmithi*) were each known only from a single specimen. Although the specimens reported here add to our understanding of variation in *C. lannomi* (Table 2), further sampling within the expected collective range of the long-tailed species group is needed to confirm the distinctiveness of the cur-
recently recognized species.

Coloration of *C. lannomi* is very similar to that of the type specimen of *C. ericsmithi*. The bright orange color of *C. ericsmithi* was one of the most distinctive characters reported in the original description (Campbell and Flores-Villela 2008). Both adult male specimens of *C. lannomi* reported here also display bright orange to reddish coloration (Fig. 2). *Crotalus stejnegeri* is significantly duller in color, although Campbell and Flores-Villela (2008) noted that some specimens had orange-colored scales.

The additional specimens of *C. lannomi* demonstrate that this species is also highly variable in scutellation (Table 1). Previous differences thought to distinguish *C. lannomi* from *C. ericsmithi* have proven unreliable, including size of canthals, number of scales between canthals, number of intersupraoculars, number of ventrals, and general head and body coloration, as all of these characters overlap considerably between the two species. The number of middorsal body blotches was believed to be a distinguishing feature between *C. lannomi* and *C. stejnegeri*. The additional material described here, however, demonstrates an overlap in all three species. *Crotalus lannomi* was believed to have a more stout body than *C. ericsmithi* and *C. stejnegeri*, but this trait seems to be a sexually dimorphic condition unique to females. Conversely, we have found the following characteristics to be useful in distinguishing *C. lannomi* from the other two species of long-tailed rattlesnakes: a reduced number of scales between the intersupraoculars and intercanthals, numbering 1–4 (12 in *C. ericsmithi*, more than 10 in *C. stejnegeri*) and by having fewer prefoveals, 4 (7–8 in *C. stejnegeri*; 5–6 in *C. ericsmithi*). The first pair of infralabials is mostly separated by the mental in *C. ericsmithi*, while it is in broad contact in both *C. lannomi* and *C. stejnegeri*.

Although each of the three species of long-tailed rattlesnakes occupies a very small area, their ranges are undoubtedly far greater than presently understood (Fig. 4). All three species occur at similar elevational and vegetation associations, and in similar climate zones that can be found almost continuously along the western slopes of the sierras from northwestern Durango to Oaxaca. Here we suggest some specific areas for future field efforts, as new material from within range gaps will be important in resolving relationships within the group.

1. The coastal foothills of Guerrero and Oaxaca, south of the low pass of the Sierra Madre del Sur, located near Chilpancingo.
2. The Sierra de Coalcomán in coastal Michoacán is located between the ranges of *C. lannomi* and *C. ericsmithi* and it seems likely that at least one of these species occurs there.
3. Also warranting attention is the Balsas/Tepalcatepec Basin of Jalisco, Michoacán, México, Guerrero, and Morelos, where a humid tropical semi-deciduous forest appears on the southern slopes of the central transverse ranges.
4. No species of long-tailed rattlesnake has been reported from Nayarit, however the state likely has *C. stejnegeri* and potentially *C. lannomi*.
5. Another area of interest is the region where the states of Sonora, Sinaloa, and Chihuahua meet, where we have heard rumors of long-tailed rattlesnakes from east of Álamos, Sonora and near Cosalá, Sinaloa.

Although it is unclear why no additional specimens of *Crotalus lannomi* had been found since the original description, and why long-tailed rattlesnakes in general are so seldom found, the following factors undoubtedly serve to reduce collecting efforts in long-tailed rattlesnake habitat.

### Table 1. Morphological variation in *Crotalus lannomi*. Measurements for BYU 23800 were taken from Tanner (1966) and Campbell and Flores-Villela (2008). DSR = Dorsal Scale Rows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>UTADC-4004</th>
<th>UTADC-4002</th>
<th>UTADC-4006</th>
<th>UTADC-4003</th>
<th>UTADC-4005</th>
<th>BYU-23800</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattle segments</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 remaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSR at mid body</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSR 1 head length behind head</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSR 1 head length before cloaca</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventrals scales</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcaudals</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales between canthals</td>
<td>In contact</td>
<td>In contact</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersupraoculars</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body blotches</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of blotches (in scales)</td>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>6–9</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>9–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of blotches (in scales)</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>7–11</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>3–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales between blotches</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>0.5–2</td>
<td>1.5 or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail bands</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length (mm)</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVL (mm)</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail length (mm)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head length (mm)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail % of total length</td>
<td>13.10%</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
<td>14.40%</td>
<td>9.30%</td>
<td>10.40%</td>
<td>10.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Social issues.—The narrow band of habitat where long-tailed rattlesnakes are found is favorable for marijuana and opium production (pers. obs.). The type locality of *C. stejnegeri*, for example, has a long history of drug-related violence, as does Mexican Hwy 40, where additional specimens of that species have been collected (Hardy and McDiarmid 1969; McDiarmid et al. 1976). The coastal sierra of Guerrero, the only known locality for *C. ericsmithi*, is an important center for narcotics production, as is the Sierra de Coalcomán in Michoacán. In general, the western sierras of Nayarit, Jalisco, and Colima are somewhat less affected, but still have areas of marijuana and opium poppy harvesting.

Roads.—There are few accessible roads through long-tailed rattlesnake habitat. There are between four and eight paved roads traversing areas inhabited by long-tailed rattlesnakes, several of which are some of the most dangerous in Mexico. Aside from poor road conditions, domestic livestock, heavy fog, rain and flooding, treacherous curves, and dangerous traffic, highway robberies and kidnappings are not uncommon. Access on dirt roads is more widespread; however, navigating them during the rainy season can be near impossible.

Conservation of *Crotalus lannomi*.—The tropical foothills of Colima, where the additional specimens of *C. lannomi* were found, are some of the state’s last remaining wilderness areas, as most of the lowlands have been severely altered by human activity. Issues affecting the conservation of *C. lannomi* have not been studied, but here we list anthropogenic factors potentially affecting *C. lannomi* habitat:

- Agriculture.—Corn and coffee are being cultivated with some

### Table 2. Morphological variation in long-tailed rattlesnakes. Measurement for *Crotalus ericsmithi* and *C. stejnegeri* were taken from Campbell and Flores-Villala (2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th><em>Crotalus lannomi</em></th>
<th><em>Crotalus stejnegeri</em></th>
<th><em>Crotalus ericsmithi</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tail % of TL</td>
<td>Males, 12.7–14.4%</td>
<td>Males, 10.4–14.5%</td>
<td>Male, 15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females, 9.3–10.8%</td>
<td>Female, 9.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internasals</td>
<td>Relatively narrow</td>
<td>Broad</td>
<td>Relatively narrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of canthals</td>
<td>Moderate to very large</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales between canthals and intersupraoculars</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>5–8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales between intersupraoculars and intercanthals</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventralsts</td>
<td>Males, 171–175</td>
<td>Males, 172–178</td>
<td>Male, 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females, 168–175</td>
<td>Females, 171–176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcaudals</td>
<td>Males, 49</td>
<td>Males, 48</td>
<td>Male, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females, 35–37</td>
<td>Females, 36–37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal body blotches</td>
<td>Males, 35</td>
<td>34–43</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females, 31–33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Amphibians and reptiles associated with *Crotalus lannomi* at two localities in Colima, Mexico.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snakes</th>
<th>Lizards</th>
<th>Turtles</th>
<th>Amphibians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Agkistrodon bilineatus</em></td>
<td>Ameiva undulata</td>
<td>Kinosternon integratum</td>
<td>Chaunus marinus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Boa constrictor</em></td>
<td>Anolis smithii</td>
<td>Rhinoclemmys pulcherrima</td>
<td>Ollotis marmoreus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crotalus basiliscus</em></td>
<td>Aspidocelis lineatissimus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Craugastor augusti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dryadophis melanolomus</em></td>
<td>Elgaria kingii</td>
<td></td>
<td>Craugastor hobartsmithii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Drymobius margaritiferus</em></td>
<td>Eumeces parvulus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eleutherodactylus nitidus orarius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enulius flavitorques</em></td>
<td>Heloderma horridum</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exerodonta smaragdina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptodeira maculata</em></td>
<td>Phylodactylus lanei</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gastrophryne usta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptotyphlops humilis</em></td>
<td>Sceloporus bulleri</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyla arenicolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Manolepis putnami</em></td>
<td>Sceloporus uniforis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pachymedusa dacnicolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Masticophis mentovarius</em></td>
<td>Sphenomorphus assatus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rana forreri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Micrurus proximans</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smilisca baudini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trimorphodon biscutatus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tlalocohyla smithii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trimorphodon tau</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tropidodipsas annulifera</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
frequency at Site 1. However, general inaccessibility and rough terrain limit the threat to most of this area.

- Cattle grazing. — Small-scale cattle grazing is common at both sites. Animals are usually free ranging and feed on native vegetation and introduced grasses. Some hillsides are considerably eroded, presumably due to overgrazing.

- Logging. — Minimal logging for charcoal production occurs in the vicinity of both localities.

- Mining. — Several active ore mines are found throughout the known and predicted range of *C. lannomi*. The expansion of these mining operations represents a potential risk to *C. lannomi* and its habitat.

*Crotalus lannomi* is listed as threatened by the Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (SEMARNAT). This is equivalent to the vulnerable category as defined by the IUCN. Although these rankings are assumed to be similar, this species is listed as “data deficient” in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Ponce-Campos and García-Aguayo 2007) rather than vulnerable. We consider *C. lannomi* vulnerable throughout its very limited known range.

Several species of unique plants and animals share the habitat with *Crotalus lannomi*. The tree *Inga colicina* (Fabaceae) is endemic to the area (Padilla Velarde et al. 2005). The Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) is known to nest at similar elevations in western Colima (Santana et al. 2006). This represents the southernmost reported nesting site for the species. At least one Puma (*Puma concolor*) was observed while searching for snakes at night. Some 716 species of plants are reported from a nearby sierra, and of those, 16 are considered to be in some kind of risk category and two are endangered (Padilla-Velarde et al. 2008). We believe that the west-central foothills of Colima should be protected to ensure the conservation not only of *Crotalus lannomi* but the rich flora and fauna sharing its habitat. Further studies are necessary to understand the ecology and natural history of this elusive species and to promote its conservation.

Type locality of *Crotalus lannomi*. — After an interview with Joseph R. Lannom, Jr. (interviewed by JRV on 6 February 2009), collector of the holotype of *C. lannomi*, it seems likely that the original locality reported in Tanner (1966) is in error. During the interview, Lannom described the type locality of *C. lannomi* as a bridge where the highway crosses a lush canyon, which he referred to as “Wildcat Canyon.” A canyon fitting his description, known locally as “Arroyo el Tigre” is located at 550 m elevation, 12 km SW by road from the locality given in Tanner (1966). This locality lies within the TDF/oak forest ecotone. This difference might explain why searches at the published type locality, situated in a more arid oak forest, have failed to produce additional specimens.

Common name of *Crotalus lannomi*. — Tanner (1966) suggested the name “Autlán Rattlesnake” for *C. lannomi*. This name was later changed to “Autlán Long-tailed Rattlesnake” by Campbell and Flores-Villéla (2008). The city of Autlán de Navaarro, Jalisco, is located a short distance from the type locality of *C. lannomi* (ca. 13 airline km NE), but boasts habitat and climatic conditions significantly different from those at all known collection sites. The city is located in the rain shadow of the Sierra Manantlán, and the habitat is a dry, desert-like thornscrub. We believe it unlikely that *C. lannomi* would inhabit the area surrounding the city of Autlán, and therefore consider the name “Autlán Long-tailed Rattlesnake” inappropriate for this species. Given that both the type locality and the abovementioned collection sites are located in, or associated with, the Sierra de Manantlán, we propose the name “Manantlán Long-tailed Rattlesnake” for *C. lannomi*.

Herpetofauna associated with *Crotalus lannomi*. — A number of amphibians and reptiles were found at or in proximity to the new localities given here for *Crotalus lannomi*. Table 3 summarizes the herpetofaunal species found at these localities. Most are typically lowland inhabitants, but several (*Elgaria kingii*, *Sceloporus bulleri*, *Ezerodonta smaragdina*, and *Hyla arenicolor*) are mid-elevation or highland species in west-central Mexico.
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Because of under-collecting and general understudy, there is an overall paucity of data for reptiles in the various protected areas within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Reptile species lists of occurrence (i.e., inventories of species occurring in protected areas or specific conservation areas) have not been published for the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. However, a provisional checklist for the Mahazat as-Sayd protected area was published by Gaucher and Asmodé (1991). Previous general checklists for the region include Arnold (1986a) [lizards and amphibia/lans]; Gasperetti (1988) [snakes]; Hillenius and Gasperetti (1984) [chameleons]; Gasperetti et al. (1993) [turtles], with general publications including reptiles from the Gulf Region by Leviton et al. (1992). Most recently, Egan (2007) published a field guide on the snakes of the Arabian Peninsula. General species-focused publications are more numerous with a number of reptile publications by various authors in the volumes of the Fauna of Saudi Arabia dating from 1979 onwards.

Study area.—A survey using a comprehensive literature review as well as confirmed sightings was conducted for three protected areas (Mahazat as-Sayd, Uruq Bani M’arid and the King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre – Latin transliteration of Arabic follows Child and Grainger 1990) within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Fig. 1). These three areas are described individually:

1. The Mahazat as-Sayd Protected Area is a flat, arid desert steppe located ca. 150 km NE of Taif and 700 km W of Riyadh in western central Saudi Arabia (28.25°N, 41.67°E, between 900 and 1100 m above sea level) covering an area of 2190 km² (Child and Grainger 1990). The climate is arid with the annual rainfall (occurring mainly between March and May) highly variable ranging between 50 and 100 mm and the mean monthly minimum and maximum temperatures ranging between 2 and 21°C and 29 and 40°C (Islam et al. 2007). The general area is undulating sandy and gravel plains with shallow stony, often saline, soils with a low organic content and low levels of nitrogen, phosphorous, and potassium (Child and Grainger 1990) and dominated by Acacia tortilis trees and Salsolea spinescens shrubs (Combret and Rambaud 1994).

2. The Uruq Bani M’arid Protected Area is located ca. 750 km SW of Riyadh and 250 km N of Najran bordered on its west by the southernmost extension of the Tuwaiq Escarpment, a remnant Jurassic limestone massif, and to the east by the extensive sands (mainly longitudinal dunes) of the notorious Rub’ al-Khali (Empty Quarter), the largest sand sea in the world. The overall size is 12,500 km² (core area 5000 km²) and the entire area is sparsely vegetated (19.13°N, 45.13°E, between 720–940 m above sea level) (Child and Grainger 1990). Trees, mainly A. tortilis and A. ehrenbergiana, occur in the interdune troughs (shiqqat in Arabic) and extend for only a short distance from the escarpment. Dune soils are windblown, sandy and loose with the desert pavement areas (interdune troughs) having high exchangeable sodium content and often saline (Child and Grainger 1990). The rainfall is highly variable and low with a mean of less than 47 mm annually, consequently with the lowest plant growth after the Empty Quarter within Saudi Arabia (Dunham 1997).

3. The King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre (Thumamah) is located approximately 70 km N of Riyadh in central eastern Saudi Arabia. The limestone Tuwaiq Escarpment borders the area towards the east with sandy-gravel plains and a belt of sand dunes towards the west (25.217°N, 46.617°E, at 600 m above sea level) (Child and Grainger 1990). Plateau soils (of which the gravel plains are part) are shallow and stony, often saline and with a low organic content...
TABLE 1. Checklist of reptiles expected, confirmed or presence unclear in three protected areas in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. 1Identified as *Trapelus mutabilus* by Tilbury (1988) from the Riyadh area; 2According to Arnold (1986a) this species only occurs in north-western Saudi Arabia although Tilbury (1988) recorded it from the Riyadh area; 3Found in the Dhana area, east of Riyadh (Leviton et al. 1992; Tilbury 1988); 4Known only from the Al-Kharj area south of Riyadh (Leviton et al. 1992). Reptiles expected from the above mentioned protected areas (general areas) were determined using references to distribution (si te localities) and distribution maps by 1Arnold (1986a), 2Gasperetti (1988), 3Tilbury (1988), 4Leviton et al. (1992), 5Disi et al. (2001) and 6Egan (2007). Confirmed sightings using species characteristics include my own observations as well as the provisional checklist by 6Gaucher & Asmodé (1991) (for Mahazat as-Sayd) and personal records from M. Grobler, E. R. Robinson, M. Sher Shah, H. Tatwani (pers comm.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mahazat as-Sayd Presence References</th>
<th>Uraq Bani M’arid Presence References</th>
<th>Thumamah Presence References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trogonophidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplometopon zarudnyi</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agamidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phrynocephalus arabicus</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phrynocephalus maculatus</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudotrapelus sinaitus werneri</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e,g</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapelus pallidus agnetae¹</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapelus flavimaculatus</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapelus persicus fieldi</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>d,e</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uromastyx aegyptia</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e,g</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gekkonidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunopus spatularius</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunopus tuberculatus</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e,g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyrtopodion scabrum</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemidactylus turcicus</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemidactylus persicus</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristurus rupestris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristurus gasperetti gasperetti</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptyodactylus hasselquistii spp.</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e,g</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenodactylus arabicus</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>a,d</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenodactylus doriae</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenodactylus slevini</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>d,e,g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropidactylus steudneri²</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacertidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthodactylus boskianus</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e,g</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthodactylus gongrorynchaetes³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthodactylus ophiothoerus</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthodactylus schmidti</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e,g</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthodactylus tilburyi</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalina brevirostris</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>e,g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalina guttulata</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>a,e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scincidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcides levitoni⁴</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcides ocellatus</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eumees schneideri princeps</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachylepis septemtaeniata (Mabuya aurata)</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabuya brevicollis</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scincus scincus mitranus</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,e</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scincus scincus conirostris</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varianidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varanus griseus griseus</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>a,d,f</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhlopidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramphotyphlops braminus</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptotyphlopidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptotyphlops macrorhynchos macrorhynchos</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>b,f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eryx jayakari</td>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>a,b,f</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and low levels of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium (Child and Grainger 1990). The vegetation is dominated by shrubs such as Ranterium eppaposum and Haloxylon salicornicum and the grasses Panicum turgidium and Stipagrostis plumosa. The overall size is 350 km² (fenced) with the KKWRC fenced enclosure within this being approximately 20 km². The rainfall is highly variable and a long-term average of 111 mm per annum has been recorded since 1989/1990 (Robinson 2007).

**Methods.**—Sampling was done primarily on an *ad hoc* basis while conducting fieldwork on the ecology of the Arabian Sand Gazelle (*Gazella subgutturosa marica*) in the various protected areas, and was not quantitative, thus no attempt at estimating the abundance of species. Consequently, the sampling intensity (i.e., amount of time spent in each protected area including time spent searching for reptiles) varied between protected areas. Sampling was conducted from January to November 2008, with most of the recordings occurring during the warmer months from March to September. Nocturnal observations were made using vehicle headlights while travelling slowly on tracks throughout the areas or on foot using a gas lantern. Individuals were photographed *in situ* or captured by using an extendable fishing rod and noose and photographed for confirmation and identified to species level using a variety of literature available or consulting regional experts listed in acknowledgments. Additional notes were made of the habitats in which each species was observed, and habits of some species were gathered to increase the knowledge regarding the ecology of reptiles within the protected areas. After confirmation of identification, all reptiles captured were released at the point of capture.

**Results.**—According to the available literature, a total of 52 species were expected to occur in the three protected areas although differences in distribution were expected between these areas due to different habitats. The highest reptile diversity was expected from the King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre, Thumamah area (40 species or 77% of the maximum total number of species expected from the 3 protected areas) followed by Mahazat as-Sayd (27 species or 52%) and Uruq Bani M’arid (18 species or 35%). Lizards dominated the expected reptile richness in the three protected areas (34 species or 65%) and included 7 agamas, 12 geckos, 7 lacertids, 7 skinks, and 1 varanid. Gekkonidae dominated the expected lizard richness (12 species or 35%). At least 17 species of snakes were expected in the three protected areas together (pooled) and included 1 Atractaspidae, 1 Boidae, 9 Colubridae, 2 Elapidae, 1 Leptotyphlopidae, 1 Typhlopidae, and 2 Viperidae. Colubridae dominated the expected snake richness (9 species or 53%) and 1 species of Amphisbaenidae (*Diplometopon zarudnyi*) was expected.

The survey results confirmed 20, 15, and 6 species from the King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre, Mahazat as-Sayd, and the Uruq Bani M’arid protected areas, respectively. Furthermore (although not yet confirmed) at least another 23, 14, and 12 species are expected while the distribution for 9, 3, and 5 species is unclear in the King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre, Mahazat as-Sayd, and the Uruq Bani M’arid protected areas, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1 presents the reptiles that are expected to occur in the three protected areas as I concluded from Arnold (1986a), Gasperetti (1988), Leviton et al. (1992), Disi et al. (2001), Egan (2007), Tilbury (1988), and Gaucher and Asmodé (1991) and those confirmed by me or positively confirmed by co-researchers (e.g., personal records).

**Discussion.**—Much of the overall distribution of reptiles throughout the Arabian Peninsula is unknown. Data on even the basic ecology of many species is still lacking aggravating our limited understanding of ecosystem functioning. This preliminary, *ad hoc* investigation into the biodiversity of reptiles in three protected areas highlights the lack of baseline information on the distribution for most species in Saudi Arabia. The presence of a number of reptiles in the protected areas is unclear but could be confirmed with increased collection and should be encouraged. The overall dry (drought) conditions throughout 2008 in all three protected areas certainly affected the reptile sightings and therefore no general comments are made on the abundance of species observed.
Species of notable conservation importance include Uromastyx aegyptia whose numbers have declined outside of protected areas due to illegal collecting for food or financial incentives (Sher Shah pers com). Very little is known about the distribution and status of endemics such as the skink, Chalcides levitoni, which is only known from one locality in the Al-Kharj area (Leviton et al. 1992)—i.e., a potential endemic to Saudi Arabia—ca. 50 km SE of Riyadh. Rapid development in the general area may negatively affect this species, but this would have to be investigated further. Although not recorded from the Thumamah area, the close proximity to Al-Kharj and lack of any contrary distribution data makes it a possibility. Another potential endemic is the gecko Pristurus gasperetti gasperetti now known from two locations—Makkah area (Arnold 1986b) and Uruq Bani M’arid (this study). The generally unknown lacertid, Acanthodactylus tilburyi, has a disjunct distribution in Saudi Arabia with specimens known from the Riyadh area (Tilbury 1988) and Al Jawf in northwestern Saudi Arabia (Leviton et al. 1992). Such understudied species are important as development may decimate their populations before the basic ecology of these species can be elucidated. All snakes, due to a variety of reasons ranging from the obvious to the superstitious, are of concern as they are usually killed on sight. Except for two turtle species (Green and Hawksbill) not previously documented from the areas—include Trapelus pallidus agnetae from Uruq Bani M’arid (Cunningham 2008c). These sightings and confirmations of the species are viewed as range extensions for the Arabian Peninsula.

Although this publication reports on sporadic observation of reptiles from three protected areas only, it is expected to increase the knowledge of reptile distribution in these areas in Saudi Arabia and it is hoped that it would stimulate further research into this relatively understudied region. Such knowledge can be used to strengthen the importance of protected areas—i.e., indicating the importance of protected areas for overall biodiversity protection—as well as facilitate the holistic management of such areas in Saudi Arabia.

Acknowledgments.—I hereby acknowledge H. H. Prince Bandar bin Saud bin Mohammed Al Saud, Secretary General, NCWCD for his continued support towards conservation efforts in Saudi Arabia. My sincere appreciation goes to Andrew Gardner, Angelo Lambiris, Pritpal Soorae, and Yehudah Werner for assisting with literature and the identification of certain species. I also thank my colleagues Manie Grobler, Robbie Robinson, Moayyad Sher Shah, and Hani Tatwani for passing on their own unpublished records of confirmed reptile sightings. Furthermore, my appreciation goes to Ernest Robinson (Director KKWRC, Thumamah) for commenting on a draft of this note. I have also complied with all institutional animal care guidelines.

LITERATURE CITED


Herpetological Review 41(1), 2010
A Proposed Weight-Length Relationship for the Common Mudpuppy

ANTHONY J. VANDEVALK 1, 2, * O’Brien & Gere, 5000 Brittonfield Parkway East Syracuse, New York 13057, USA

and JEREMY T. H. COLEMAN 1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 3817 Lake Road Cortland, New York 13045, USA e-mail: jeremy_coleman@fws.gov

1 Cornell Biological Field Station, 900 Shackleton Point Road Bridgeport, New York 13030, USA

* Corresponding author; e-mail: vandevaj@obg.com

The Common Mudpuppy, Necturus maculosus maculosus, is a large (20–30 cm) paedomorphic salamander that is aquatic in all life stages (Conant and Collins 1998). The mudpuppy is found in lakes and streams throughout eastern North America, ranging from the Great Lakes region to eastern Oklahoma and the northern borders of Mississippi, Alabama, and Georgia (Bartlett and Bartlett 2006).

Amphibians play a critical role in food webs, transferring energy from the lowest trophic levels to the higher organisms, and from aquatic to terrestrial systems through predation (Wilbur 1997). The Common Mudpuppy is essentially nocturnal, preying mainly upon fish, fish eggs, crayfish, aquatic insects, snails, and mussels, and residing beneath structures such as logs, rocks, and weeds during the day to avoid predators (Bishop 1941; Petranka 1998). Mudpuppies are reportedly consumed by large fish, Northern Watersnakes (Nerodia sipedon), Snapping Turtles (Chelydra serpentina), aquatic birds including Double-crested Cormorants (Phalacrocorax auritus; Coleman 2009), and possibly River Otters (Lutra canadensis) and Mink (Mustela vison; Gibbs et al. 2007). In systems where the mudpuppy acts as predator or prey, information regarding its life history and physiology contributes to the understanding of the system’s ecological processes.

Bioenergetics models have been used to address a variety of ecological and management questions (Hansen et al. 1993; Kitchell 1983). Model applications vary, but typically require physiologically-based bioenergetics equations with empirically derived parameters (Hansen et al. 1993). For models that estimate predator growth or consumption, predator size and diet are essential parameters. Diet is usually described by examination of stomach contents that identify, to the lowest taxon possible, individual prey items and ideally provides information on prey size (typically length or age). Examination of stomach contents rarely provides direct measures of prey consumption by weight due to weight reduction attributable to digestion. Model consumption and growth estimates are based on weight, so prey length or age is converted to weight using species-specific regressions.

The objective of this note is to propose a weight-length relationship for the Common Mudpuppy. Mudpuppy weight-length information is currently lacking in the literature, so data relating mudpuppy weight to length should improve estimates from models in which the mudpuppy acts as predator or prey.

Methods.—Weight-length data were recorded from mudpuppies collected from two lakes in New York State. Oneida Lake is located in central New York and is the state’s largest inland lake. The lake is 20,700 ha, shallow (mean depth = 6.8 m, max depth = 16.8 m), and polymeric (Mills et al. 1978). Oneida Lake is currently considered a mesotrophic lake (Mayer et al. 2002) and is characterized as a warmwater fishery (VanDeValk and Rudstam 2001). Trout Lake, located 135 km north of Oneida Lake in St. Lawrence County, New York, is a 150-ha lake with a mean depth of 7.4 m and a maximum depth of 33.5 m (Swart and Guetti 1987). The lake provides both coldwater and warmwater fisheries and has a “sustaining of trout” classification (Swart and Guetti 1987).

Mudpuppies were collected from Oneida Lake using trapnets in April 2001 and electrofishing in October and November 2002, and from Trout Lake by electrofishing in October 2001. Mudpuppies were caught incidentally in trapnets set to collect spawning Walleyes (Sander vitreus) in Oneida Lake. The net design consisted of a 1.8 × 1.8 × 1.8 m crib, outside and inside wings with a combined length of 12.8 m, and a first heart with turnaround that led into a second heart with turnaround that funneled into a third heart in the crib (Kingsbury 1964). The net utilized a 45.4-m lead, and all sections were constructed of 25.4 mm-bar-mesh multifilament netting. Shoreline boat electrofishing surveys were conducted primarily to collect Walleye samples, with mudpuppies as a secondary target. Electrofishing was conducted at night using DC to produce a current of 8 A (354 V, 60 pulses/s).

Mudpuppies were anesthetized using MS-222, and total length (mm) and weight (g) recorded. After data were recorded, mudpuppies from Oneida Lake were placed in a tank with fresh lake water until they recovered, and then released. Mudpuppies from Trout Lake were euthanized and preserved after data collection. Voucher specimens are housed at the Cornell Biological Field Station, Bridgeport, New York.

Weight-length relationships were determined for all data combined and for lake- and season-specific data sets using regression analysis of the log10-transformed data. Linear regression of body mass versus body length is one of the most common methods of describing body condition and has been used in many vertebrate taxa (Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2005). Lake- and season-specific regressions were compared using homogeneity of slopes (Sokal and Rohlf 1969).

Results and Discussion.—Fifty-three mudpuppies were measured and weighed; 30 mudpuppies were sampled from Oneida Lake ranging in length from 215 to 356 mm and 23 mudpuppies were sampled from Trout Lake ranging in length from 133 to 279 mm. The equation describing the pooled weight-length relationship is:

\[
\log_{10}(W) = \log_{10}(TL) * 3.47 - 6.43 \quad (1)
\]

where \(W\) represents mudpuppy weight and \(TL\) represents mudpuppy total length (Fig. 1A). Sample sizes of 30 and 23 are small for describing weight-length relationships. For fish that have body forms similar to that of the Common Mudpuppy, the rule of thumb for minimum sample size for studies investigating the relationship between weight and length is 100 (Quist et al. 2009). However, adequate sample size is related to variance associated with the sample.
Because 94% of the variation in weight of the pooled mudpuppy sample was explained by length, we believe Equation 1 provides a reasonable description of the relationship between mudpuppy weight and length for the range of lengths sampled.

Equation 1 likely describes the weight-length relationship of adult mudpuppies. Bishop (1926) provided lengths-at-age of 185 mudpuppies collected from French Creek, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. None of the specimens sampled attained 130 mm until age 4, and mudpuppies at age 5 ranged from 169–210 mm. Sexual maturity is reached when individuals exceed 200 mm (Bishop 1926). All but three of the mudpuppies sampled in this study were larger than 210 mm and, therefore, were likely adults.

Species weight-length relationships can vary among seasons within a system. The equations describing the spring and the fall weight-length relationships for mudpuppies in Oneida Lake are:

\[
\text{Spring: } \log_{10}(W) = \log_{10}(TL) \times 2.06 - 2.92 \quad (2) \\
\text{Fall: } \log_{10}(W) = \log_{10}(TL) \times 2.85 - 4.88 \quad (3)
\]

For Oneida Lake, a weight-length regression comparison of spring and fall mudpuppies indicated mudpuppies caught in the spring were heavier than mudpuppies caught in the fall (homogeneity of slopes: df = 26, t = 2.55, \( t_{0.05(26)} = 2.06 \)), and the difference in weight at a given length decreased with increasing length (Fig. 1B). A possible explanation for this difference may be attributed to differences in method of collection. Spring mudpuppies were collected from trapnets constructed of 25.4 mm-bar-mesh multifilament netting. It is possible that for smaller mudpuppies, this mesh size was not capable of retaining individuals that were leaner (weighed less) but was capable of retaining larger individuals regardless of their condition. This would result in a spring weight-length regression that would overestimate the calculated weight of smaller mudpuppies. Annual reproductive cycles may offer another possible explanation for the seasonal variability we observed. Although mating generally occurs in the fall, females store sperm and do not oviposit until May or early June (Bishop 1941; Gibbs et al. 2007). Thus, the presence of gravid females in April samples may have resulted in higher weights at a given length than if eggs were already deposited (Welsh et al. 2008). Harris (2008) found female weights at a given length varied within a population of Four-toed Salamanders (Hemidactylium scutatum) and attributed this variation to nesting behavior.

An alternative explanation for the differences in spring and fall weights at a given length is the effect of outliers. Visual inspection of the data suggested the smallest mudpuppy in the spring data set was heavier than would be expected. Elimination of this data point from the spring data set increased the slope of the spring regression to 2.68 and increased the r-square to 0.61. Further analysis testing the homogeneity of slopes indicated the spring and fall relationships were not statistically different for Oneida Lake mudpuppies once the outlier was removed (df = 25, t = 0.55, \( t_{0.05(25)} = 2.06 \)). The resulting weight-length relationship of the pooled Oneida Lake data is:

\[
\log_{10}(W) = \log_{10}(TL) \times 2.82 - 4.78 \quad (4) \\
r^2 = 0.84, \text{ df = 28, } p < 0.001
\]

Empirical data are inherently variable and removal of individual data from data sets needs to be justified. While we cannot identify any reasons, other than nonconformity (possibly due to recording error), to eliminate the smallest mudpuppy from the spring data set, Equation 4 likely provides the most appropriate description of the weight-length relationship for the Common Mudpuppy in Oneida Lake.

Slopes from the weight-length relationships for mudpuppies from Oneida Lake were less than 3.0, suggesting a compressed body form. Mudpuppies sampled from Oneida Lake were observed to have a somewhat flattened body form that was most obvious for larger individuals. This observation is consistent with other published descriptions. Bishop (1941) described mudpuppy body

![Fig. 1. Weight versus length data (log10 transformed) for the Common Mudpuppy (Necturus maculosus maculosus) from A) Oneida and Trout lakes, pooled; B) spring and fall from Oneida Lake; and C) Oneida and Trout lakes, fall only.](image-url)
form as “The body is stout, depressed, and with a distinct median dorsal groove.” Gibbs et al. (2007) describes mudpuppies as having “flat heads” and a “laterally compressed tail.”

Species weight-length relationships can vary among systems. The equation describing the Trout Lake weight-length relationship for mudpuppies caught in the fall is:

\[
\log_{10}(W) = \log_{10}(TL) \times 3.10 - 5.60
\]

\[r^2 = 0.98, df = 22, p < 0.001\]

Lake-specific regression comparison indicated mudpuppies caught in the fall from Trout Lake and Oneida Lake increased in weight with length at a similar rate (df = 29, t = 0.73, \(t_{0.05(29)} = 2.05\)), but that mudpuppies from Oneida Lake were heavier at any given length within the observed length range (Fig. 1C). An explanation for this difference in weight at a given length may be related to differences in lake water temperatures. Salamander growth during the larval (aquatic) stage has been related to temperature, with higher temperatures resulting in increased growth rates (Keen et al. 1984). Similar effects of temperature have been shown for fish (Fry 1971). While no temperature data are available for Trout Lake, the existence of a sustaining coldwater fishery is indicative of a cooler environment than Oneida Lake which supports a warmer water fishery. Regardless, differences in size ranges of mudpuppies captured in Oneida Lake and in Trout Lake limit the conclusiveness of regression comparisons between the two systems.

This study presents the only Common Mudpuppy weight-length information that we are aware of. Because this study provides evidence of differences in weight at a given length between systems, system-specific relationships are desirable for investigative studies. However, in the absence of system-specific relationships, we believe the relationships presented in this paper can be useful for investigations that require information on mudpuppy weight and length. Lake-specific regressions presented in this paper can be used to represent weight-length relationships for mudpuppy populations in lakes with similar characteristics, and the pooled relationship (Equation 1) can serve as a representation for populations in other water bodies. Clearly, collection of mudpuppy weight and length data from other systems will enhance our understanding of this important relationship.
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Newly hatched crocodilians have a structure called a “cliteropenis” (CTP), which generally is similar in morphology between sexes (Raynaud and Pieau 1985). Neonates of Caiman crocodilus and several species of Crocodylus display discernable differences between the penis and clitoris (Hutton 1987; Lang et al. 1989; Lang and Andrews 1994; Ziegler and Olbert 2007). The cliteropenis has an asymmetrical structure with a rounded sector (head), supported from the base by an elongated structure (neck). The head has a cleft on the top, in which the tip rests when in a relaxed position, but from which it protrudes when it is erect (Kelly 2002).

The development of the sexual phenotype in crocodilians is also interesting because in all species the temperature at which the eggs are incubated determines the sex (Lang and Andrews 1994). Indeed, many reptiles exhibit this temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD). The TSD pattern varies among species and percentage of sexes in nest can be 100% male or 100% female according to the incubation temperature (Janzen and Paukstis 1991), making it difficult to ascertain the sex of individuals without knowing the thermal conditions they experienced during embryonic development.

The Broad-snouted Caiman (Caiman latirostris) is the southernmost South American crocodilian, reaching to 32.53°S in its geographic distribution (Melo 2002). This species exhibits the FMF (female – male – female) pattern of TSD (Elf 2003), where females are obtained at 30°C and 34.5°C and males at 33°C (Piña et al. 2003). As in other crocodilians, sexing hatchling C. latirostris by cloacal examination is currently possible only when they are larger than 60 cm snout–vent length (SVL) (AL, pers. obs.) However, depending on incubation temperature, genital differentiation in hatchlings varies among species (Allsteadt and Lang 1995; Guillette et al. 1999). Previous studies have even shown differences in size and shape of the CTP in hatchling Alligator mississippiensis (Ziegler and Olbert 2007).

The aim of this study was to measure the genitalia of male and female C. latirostris at hatching to identify a method of sexing hatchlings by cloacal inspection using digital images. There is no background research on this issue for C. latirostris, which currently requires the sacrifice of hatchlings to ascertain sex. However, the species is a valuable natural resource in Argentina (Larriera 1998) and Brazil (Verdade 2001), where conservation and management programs have stimulated research on many aspects of its biology. We hypothesized that the CTP of hatchlings differs between males and females of C. latirostris. In particular, we expected to find sex-related differences in the morphometry of the CTP.

Materials and Methods.—Wild Caiman latirostris eggs were collected from the San Cristobal region (30.197406°S, 61.008717°W), Santa Fe, Argentina, during the 2006 nesting season (December–January) (Larriera and Imhof 2006). Seventy-eight eggs (one week old) from three clutches (the clutches were split evenly between two temperatures) were artificially incubated at constant temperatures of 30°C or 33°C (Larriera et al. 2008) to ensure the availability of females and males, respectively (Piña et al. 2003).

At hatching, each neonate was weighed (OHAUS, CS 200, ± 0.1 g) and snout–vent length (SVL; Vernier caliper ± 0.02 mm) from the tip of snout to posterior edge of the cloaca was measured. Twenty hatchlings (10 males, 10 females) were randomly selected for examination of genital morphology. The neonates were sacrificed for removal of the CTP and examination of the gonads. Gonadal sex was identified by the shape, texture, and color of the gonads and by the presence or absence of oviducts (Guillette et al. 1995).

CTPs were removed using a scalpel and preserved in 4% formalin for two hours. Following preservation, the right and upper sides of CTPs were photographed through a stereoscopic binocular microscope (ARCANO®; 20x). A scale alongside each CTP (+ 0.001 mm) (Fig. 1) allowed calibration of the software used for measurements of digital images (Image Pro Plus Version 4.5.0.20; 1993–2001 Media Cybernetics, Inc.). The software needs to set a calibration unit for the active picture, in this case our unit was 1 mm (obtained from the digital caliper alongside the CTP).

Three dimensions were measured from digital images of preserved CTP tissue: 1) Head Width (HW), measured from the...
upper surface (Fig. 2A); 2) Lateral Width (LW), measured as the maximum vertical width at the midsection of the head (Fig. 2B); and 3) Total Length (TL), measured from the base to the tip where it begins to separate from the head region (Fig. 2C). Also CTP volume was calculated by assuming a cylindrical structure with an ellipsoid base \( V = \pi \frac{LW}{2} \frac{HW}{2} TL \), which slightly underestimates real volume.

InfoStat/Profesional version 1.1 was used for all statistical procedures (F.C.A 1999). All data were checked for normality and homogeneity of variances (Shapiro-Wilks and Levene test). Differences in CTP dimensions between the sexes were evaluated with t-tests (Zar 1999). A discriminant analysis with cross-validation for the variables for both sexes was subsequently run on the data set. Scatter plots were then made to create a key for future assessments of hatchling sex.

**Results**.—Internal sex identification: female gonads were elliptical and elongate in shape, and light beige in color with a granular texture; Müllerian ducts were clearly visible on the side of each ovary (Fig. 3). Male gonads had a similar shape, except the left testis was more elongate than the right testis (a characteristic of the sex), the color was a dark yellow, and the texture was less granular than ovaries of females; the vasa deferentia were present (Fig. 4). All females (N = 9; one of the samples was damaged in the process and we removed it from the analyses) were produced from constant incubation at 30°C and all males (N = 10) from constant 33°C incubation, consistent with the pattern of TSD in this species (Piña et al. 2003).

Cliteropenis of hatchlings: There was no significant difference in TL of the CTP between males and females (P = 0.065), but the CTP of males was significantly wider (t-test: LW, P < 0.01; HW, P < 0.01), and as a consequence volume was also significantly greater in males than in females (P < 0.01) (Table 1).

### Table 1. Average values of variables for both sexes ± SD. (*) Significant average values (α = 0.05) (t-test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTP variables</th>
<th>FEMALES (N = 9)</th>
<th>MALES (N = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Width (LW*)</td>
<td>(1.08 ± 0.10) mm</td>
<td>(1.34 ± 0.07) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Width (HW*)</td>
<td>(0.57 ± 0.11) mm</td>
<td>(0.74 ± 0.13) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length (TL)</td>
<td>(2.19 ± 0.48) mm</td>
<td>(2.73 ± 0.76) mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (*)</td>
<td>(1.09 ± 0.31) mm³</td>
<td>(2.12 ± 0.17) mm³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discriminant analysis showed the presence of separate groups of males and females and the cross-validation analysis showed evidence of a strong model considering the sample size and the CTP variables (proportion hatchlings correct in classification with cross validation is 0.895 versus initial classification of 0.947). Cross-validation reduces the number of measurements, thereby reducing handling time and stress to the animals and compensates for an optimistic apparent error rate in classifications, defined as the percentage of misclassified observations (MINITAB, 2000).

A homogeneity of covariance matrix test suggested that this analysis is suitable for these data (N = 19; df = 21; P < 0.05), with HW the most significant variable in the group discrimination (F = 9.03; df = 17; P < 0.01). In cross classification results, all males...
were classified correctly and only one female of nine was misclassified. Scatter plots of LW and HW of the CTP of hatchling *C. latirostris* revealed two groups: males formed a cluster above and to the right of the female cluster (Fig. 5).

Scatter plots of LW vs. SVL and HW vs. SVL were made to examine the distribution of these two variables in the group of hatchlings tested (Fig. 6). These results are consistent with those obtained in the discriminant analysis. We selected width limits to create a preliminary “key for sex differentiation” to classify hatchlings as males or females based on CTP measures. Of 19 samples, we sexed nine correctly as males and seven as females in the first step of the process. One more sample was classified as a male in the second step but two remained indeterminate (no. 7 and no. 9) using the key. These latter two samples were identified as females upon subsequent inspection of the gonads. Using the size limits on the graphics, we determined sex for 85% of the samples correctly, which is similar to the error rate in the discriminant analysis (i.e., 11%).

Discussion.—Previous studies found that reptiles such as *C. latirostris* exhibit TSD (Crews et al. 1994; Lance 1997; Lang and Andrews 1994; Pieau 1999). We corroborate this finding by obtaining 100% males at 33°C and 100% females at 30°C (Piña et al. 2003) as assessed by gonadal inspection (Ferguson and Joanen 1983; Guillette et al. 1995).

Male CTP width and volume dimensions were significantly larger than those for females, which was somewhat surprising because the genital morphology in young crocodiles is similar. Still, prior studies found that incubation temperature affected both genital dimensions and gonadal sex determination in other crocodilians. Hatchling CTPs in *A. mississippiensis* differed in volume between the sexes and increased with increasing temperature of incubation from 30°C to 33°C (Allsteadt and Lang 1995). The CTP in hatchling *C. porosus* differed in HW and LW (Webb et al. 1984) and in size and shape in *C. niloticus* between males and females.
females (Hutton 1987).

To date the discrimination of newly hatched caiman into males and females has not been possible by observing the external genitalia. We show that such separation can be achieved from simple measures that can be recorded on the cliteropenis. The photographic measurement technique detailed herein was also tried on live hatchlings, which decreases stress on the hatchlings compared to measuring with digital calipers. This work continues in that this technique will be tried on live animals measured at hatching for which we will evaluate sex after one year of growth under controlled conditions of temperature, light, and diet.

To determine the sex in this and other species of crocodiles using a simple methodology opens the possibility of analyzing the sex ratio of offspring born in natural conditions, an unfinished agenda so far. This work is an important precedent for *C. latirostris* and similar species and lays the groundwork for a more advanced method of measurement that minimizes manipulation of individuals and measurement errors that can lead us to sex hatchlings incorrectly. Moreover, this new technique eliminates the current need to sacrifice young caimans to sex them for research work, ranching programs, and husbandry activities.
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Knowledge of animal body composition is important to studies of energetics, reproduction, and life history (Congdon et al. 1982; Secor and Nagy 2003), because it allows researchers to estimate growth, parental energy investment, fitness, and productivity throughout an individual’s life. Additionally, quantifying body composition is important for clinical studies of nutrition and metabolic disorders (Elowsson et al. 1998). The use of non-destructive techniques to determine body composition is essential for many studies including those involving mark-recapture and endangered species (Secor and Nagy 2003). With growing concern for the status of many species and the increased availability of technology for non-invasive procedures, destructive techniques will become increasingly unpopular.

A need for non-destructive techniques in chelonian research is becoming more apparent. Currently 80 chelonian species are listed as extinct, extinct in the wild, endangered, or critically endangered (IUCN 2007). As long-lived vertebrates with delayed sexual maturity, some turtle populations may be prone to anthropogenic harvest especially when adult survivorship is reduced (Congdon et al. 1993; Heppell et al. 1995). Therefore, the development of techniques that could reduce the permanent harvest of adult turtles for scientific purposes is important in helping reduce impacts to turtle populations. Potentially, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) could provide this service.

DXA was originally developed as a non-invasive tool that predicts bone density and risk of osteoporosis in humans. The physical principles of DXA technology have allowed expansion of its uses to the quantification of body composition in humans and other mammals. Recent applications to snakes and lizards have shown promise for the use of this technique in reptiles (Secor and Nagy 2003; Zotti et al. 2004). In turtles, DXA has been utilized to compare the effects of dietary treatments on bone density (Fledelius et al. 2005). To our knowledge, DXA has not been used to assess other measures of body composition, nor has the accuracy of DXA estimates been previously validated, in turtles. The morphology of cheloniens may preclude accurate determination of body composition with DXA without prior validation. Prediction of body composition analysis, particularly lean tissue and fat mass, may be complicated in cheloniens by the bony encasement of the internal organs. The estimation of lean tissue and fat mass is complicated when a large proportion of the scanning area contains bone (Jebb 1997). Moreover, turtles have a higher proportion of bone relative to body mass than most other animal species (Iverson 1984) which, along with their unique morphology, makes them of great interest for bone density research. The development of techniques to assess bone density in turtles is not only relevant to taxon-specific research, but could have broader applications in nutrition, ecology, and physiology, as well as practical applications in veterinary research and practice.

In the present study, we examined the precision and effectiveness of DXA in predicting bone density and body composition of turtles. Our aim was to develop predictive models that can be used to assess body composition from DXA measurements. These models would then be available for researchers to monitor body composition of turtles in settings where destructive techniques are not feasible (e.g. clinical practice, mark-recapture studies). We collected DXA estimates of body composition on 25 male Trachemys scripta and then compared these values to estimates determined later by chemical analysis of dried carcasses. Secondly, this study compared the effects of three different techniques of immobilization (anesthesia, cooling, and euthanasia) on DXA body composition estimates because the accuracy of DXA results are known to be influenced by the movement of test subjects during scanning (Engelke et al. 1995).

**Materials and Methods**

**Animal Housing & Use.**—We obtained an in-house transfer of 25 male Trachemys scripta from a previous study conducted at Oklahoma State University (Ligon, Gregory, Kazmaier, and Loverrn, unpubl. study). Subjects were originally wild-caught from two populations in Eastern Oklahoma and Southern Texas. Trachemys scripta were chosen for this study because of their accessibility, wide distribution, abundance, and well studied life-history. Subjects ranged in straight carapace length (SCL) from 123.9 to 222.8 mm (mean ± 1 SD = 159.3 ± 25.7 mm), in greatest width from 102.9 to 168.0 mm (127.4 ± 16.0 mm), and in mass from 260 to 1525 g (610 ± 281 g). Turtles were housed individually in plastic storage containers partially filled with water and were fasted for one week prior to DXA scanning to ensure evacuation of gut contents.

**DXA Estimation of Body Composition.**—To determine the effects of anesthesia, euthanasia, and cooling on DXA estimates, each individual was scanned using all three immobilization techniques. Scanning was performed on a Hologic® QDR-4500A fan-beam scanner equipped with a small-animal software program. Prior to scanning, the densitometer was quality-checked daily using Hologic® calibration models (anthropomorphic spine phantom and small-step phantom). Calibration procedures followed those provided by the manufacturer. Body mass was determined for all individuals prior to scanning. Each individual was scanned four times per day for three consecutive days. During scanning, indi-
 Individuals were positioned with the plastron inferior (dorsoventral projection). The cranial end of the individuals was facing towards, and 1 cm behind, the laser-alignment crosshair. The individual’s midline was position directly in the middle of the scanning area. Each day, turtles were scanned twice without movement, repositioned, and then scanned twice more without movement. During the first two days of scanning, individuals were randomly placed in either the “anesthetized” or “cooled” condition. Anesthetized individuals received a 0.1 mg/kg medetomidine–5.0 mg/kg ketamine combination (administered IM) for immobilization during scanning followed by 0.5 mg/kg atipamezole (IM) for recovery (Greer et al. 2001). Cooled individuals were placed in a 4°C incubator for a minimum of 5 h prior to scanning and transported on ice. Individuals that were anesthetized on the first day were cooled on the second day and vice versa. On the third day of scanning, all turtles were euthanized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (60–100 mg/kg IP) and scanning was repeated as above. Following the three scanning days, individuals were frozen for subsequent chemical analysis of body composition.

**Non-DXA Estimation of Body Composition.**—We estimated the following indices of body composition for each turtle: bone mineral content (BMC), fat mass (FM), bone-free lean tissue mass (LTM), fat-free tissue mass (FFM = LTM + BMC or BM-FM), total body water mass (WM), and body mass (BM). To achieve this, individuals were thawed, dissected to remove fat bodies for easier analysis, and then dried to constant mass at 60°C. Body mass was measured after drying (BMdry) to a constant mass and then used to estimate water mass (WM) by subtracting it from wet body mass (BMwet) determined prior to scanning. Carcasses (fat bodies excluded) were then ground and homogenized in a Wiley mill to be used for the determination of fat, lean tissue, and bone content. Fat mass (FM) was estimated by adding the dried mass of fat bodies to total body lipid mass. Total body lipid mass was estimated by determining the average percent lipid content of two 2-g subsamples of the ground carcass, and then multiplying by BMdry. The lipid content of carcass subsamples was determined by the Folch method (Folch et al. 1957). To estimate bone mass, four 1-g subsamples of the ground carcass were ashed in a muffle furnace at 600°C for a minimum of 8 h. The mean percent mineral content of the four samples was used to estimate total body bone mass by multiplying by dried BM. Lean tissue mass was determined by subtraction.

**Statistics.**—We regressed body mass and straight carapace length against each body composition parameter (determined chemically) using simple least-squares regression in an attempt to develop models that could be used to predict body composition from standard morphometrics. Regression was performed on log10-transformed data, but is presented in original scale by back-transforming regression coefficients. To examine the precision of DXA, intraindividual coefficients of variation (CV) were calculated from two scans without movement, from two scans with movement, and from all four scans for each type of body composition. To examine the effects of immobilization technique on DXA output parameters we performed repeated-measures ANOVA for each DXA parameter. Subject was analyzed as a blocking variable. When significant differences among treatment levels were detected, Tukey multiple-comparisons were used to examine where differences existed. Prior to analysis all variables were tested and deemed significantly non-normal (Anderson-Darling Test P<0.001). Therefore, we log10-transformed all masses prior to analysis. All results involving transformed data are presented in the untransformed scale using back-transformed means and asymmetric 95% confidence limits (Sokal and Rohlf 1987).

We selected anesthesia as the preferred method of immobilization and all further validation analyses were performed using DXA data of anesthetized turtles. Anesthesia was selected as the most desirable method of immobilization because it reduced difference between DXA and chemical estimates of body composition. Mean ± 1 SD differences between DXA and chemical estimates for combined FM, LTM, and BMC, were 160.0 ± 94.3, 168.8 ± 101.6, and 185.4 ± 139.8 g for anesthesia, cooling, and euthanasia, respectively. Additionally, anesthesia generally resulted in more precise measurements compared to cooling (see results).

We performed simple and multiple least-squares regression analysis to develop models predicting chemical body composition (dependent variable) from DXA estimates (independent variable). We employed a best subsets regression procedure to select the variable(s) most useful in creating the predictive models. After generating predictive models we employed a jackknife cross-validation procedure as described by Secor and Nagy (2003). All values are given as mean ± 1 SD. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed on Minitab version 13.1.

**RESULTS**

Water comprised 69.46 ± 3.25% of total body mass. Body composition of wet body mass was comprised of 84.82 ± 1.85% lean tissue, 13.83 ± 1.58% ash, and 1.35 ± 1.06% fat mass. Of dried body mass, 50.29 ± 2.95, 45.48 ± 4.78, and 4.23 ± 2.84 percent, was comprised of LTM, AM, and FM, respectively. The results of the least-squares regression between morphometrics and body composition estimates, determined chemically, are presented in Table 1. All models resulted in significant non-zero slopes (P ≤ 0.002). All models had strong relationships between morphometric and body composition variables (r2 ≥ 96.6%), with the exception of

**Table 1.** Results of least-squares regressions of water mass (WM), fat-free tissue mass (FFM), lean tissue mass (LTM), fat mass (FM), and ash mass (AM), determined chemically, against body mass (BM) and straight carapace length (SCL) in male Trachemys scripta (N = 25). All mass units are in grams and length in millimeters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression Coefficients</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>r2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WM = 0.863(BM)0.965</td>
<td>2730.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM = 0.993(BM)0.999</td>
<td>50475.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTM = 0.982(BM)0.977</td>
<td>16040.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM = 0.005(BM)1.125</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM = 0.063(BM)1.125</td>
<td>1038.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM = 2.662×10−4(SCL)2.799</td>
<td>652.89</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM = 2.193×10−4(SCL)2.907</td>
<td>1168.33</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTM = 2.686×10−4(SCL)2.837</td>
<td>935.18</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM = 3.404×10−7(SCL)3.284</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM = 4.155×10−6(SCL)3.302</td>
<td>943.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 2. Mean intra-individual coefficients of variation (%) of DXA scans for the three methods of immobilization and four tissue components in male *Trachemys scripta* (N = 25). Values for movement represent the coefficient of variation (CV) of two repeated measurements where the individual was moved between scans (scans 1 and 3). Still represents the CV of two repeated measurements where the subject was not moved between scans (Scan 1 and 2). The combined data represents the CV of all four scans combined. Values are mean ± SD. Abbreviations: BMC = bone mineral content, BMD = bone mineral density, FM = fat mass, LTM = lean tissue mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Composition Type</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
<th>Cooling</th>
<th>Euthanasia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>Still</td>
<td>Combined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMC</td>
<td>1.71 ± 1.36</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.82</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>1.81 ± 1.49</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.73</td>
<td>1.59 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>52.11 ± 42.48</td>
<td>28.54 ± 24.16</td>
<td>78.89 ± 63.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTM</td>
<td>2.01 ± 3.00</td>
<td>1.05 ± 1.45</td>
<td>3.40 ± 6.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of models predicting fat mass which showed a poor relationship between variables ($r^2 \leq 36.1\%$).

**Precision of DXA.**—Mean intraindividual CV was calculated for 4 DXA parameters using all three immobilization techniques (Table 2). Mean intraindividual CV for four combined scans was greater than 53.7% for Fat Mass, but less than 2.0% and 6.6% for BMC and LTM, respectively. CV tended to be highest for cooled individuals and lowest for euthanized individuals when examining BMC, BMD, and FM; however for LTM there was less precision when examining euthanized individuals while anesthesia resulted in more precise measurements (Table 2).

**Effects of immobilization technique.**—Immobilization technique significantly influenced the use of DXA to determine BMC (repeated-measures ANOVA; $F_{2,48} = 12.07; P < 0.001$), BMD ($F_{2,48} = 25.51; P < 0.001$), BM ($F_{2,48} = 16.26; P < 0.001$), LTM ($F_{2,48} = 15.44; P < 0.001$), and body mass ($F_{2,48} = 4.99; P = 0.011$). The effect of immobilization method was not consistent among the DXA parameters analyzed (Fig. 1).

**Evaluation of DXA accuracy.**—DXA estimates of body composition were highly correlated with chemical estimates for bone mass ($r^2 > 0.986$) and lean tissue mass ($r^2 > 0.964$) regardless of the method used to immobilize individuals during DXA scanning (Fig. 2A, B); however DXA estimates were poorly correlated with chemical estimates for fat mass ($r^2 < 0.261$; Fig. 2C). DXA estimates were significantly different than chemical estimates for AM (paired $T$-test; $T = 112.95; P < 0.001$), LTM ($T = 7.71; P < 0.001$), BM ($T = 4.42; P < 0.001$), and FM ($T = 4.46; P < 0.001$). DXA underestimated BMC, but overestimated FM, LTM, and BM regardless of the immobilization method used (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of developing new techniques to quantify observations is to provide users with advantages not afforded by previous methodologies. The application of non-destructive techniques, although non-invasive and therefore desirable, often sacrifices accuracy and precision. The utility of any technique is dependent on its ability to produce accurate and more importantly precise measurements. Accuracy is less important because predictive regression equations can be developed to correct for any biases inherent to the technique. The use of DXA for the quantification of body composition brought great promise because it is non-invasive and has a high degree of precision compared to other in vivo techniques (Jebb 1997).

We assessed the precision and accuracy of DXA in predicting bone density and body composition of turtles. With the exception of fat mass, the precision of tissue components, as determined by DXA, was relatively high and similar to that determined for other species/studies. In our study lean tissue mass, fat mass, and bone mineral content of anesthetized turtles had CV of 1.05, 28.54, and 1.00%, respectively. In mice, CV was similar to this study for BMC (1.60%) and LTM (0.86%), but fat mass (2.20%) was predicted much more precisely than in our study (Nagy and Clair 2000). In rats, mean CV of five rats over a three day period was 1.07% (BM), 12.16% (FM), 2.88% (LTM), and 6.34% (BMC; Rose et al. 1998). DXA also provided precise measurements of BMC (CV = 0.90%) for excised humeri of rats, but other body composition variables were not examined (Kastl et al. 2002). In domestic pigs CV was 0.74%, 0.94%, 1.91%, and 13.51% for BM, LTM, BMC, and FM, respectively (Elowsson et al. 1998). Studies investigating DXA precision of non-mammalian vertebrates, although less prevalent, suggest similar degree of precision. Korine et al. (2004) measured CV of 1.28%, 1.87%, and 4.92% for BM, LTM, and FM, respectively for live specimens of two species of birds. Precision of body composition estimates for snakes were also similar to that of this study for BMC (CV = 1.0%) and LTM (CV = 0.6%; Secor and Nagy 2003). Overall, precision of body composition estimates determined in this study fall within or below ranges found in other studies. The most notable exception is fat mass which has high intraindividual variability. Although the precision of DXA fat mass estimates are discouraging, the similar degree of precision in estimating other indices of body composition in turtles is promising. The similarity of precision compared to that of other species in particular humans and rodents, for which software was originally designed, is promising for the continued application of DXA in research involving chelonians.

**Influence of immobilization.**—Use of DXA requires that subjects remain motionless during the entire scanning process. Subject movement during scanning significantly and unpredictably influences the accuracy and precision of body composition estimates (Cawkwell 1998; Koo et al. 1995). A goal of this study was to determine which, if any, of the immobilization techniques resulted in the most precise and accurate measurements while at the same time reducing negative consequences associated with immobilization. Negative consequences associated with immobilization include: cost, ease of use, recovery rate, and potential for harm of test subject. Ignoring precision and accuracy, cooling is the most
desirable method of immobilization, because it eliminates the cost of narcotics associated with anesthesia/euthanasia, is easy to perform, results in virtually no mortality if cooling is monitored, and has quick recovery rates. A negative consequence of using cooling is a relatively reduced effectiveness on immobilization compared to the other techniques used in this study. We found cooled individuals were more likely to move during scanning (personal observation) and could account for the lower precision of body composition estimates. Anesthesia effectively produces immobilization if a sufficient dose is given for induction; however, anesthesia can be less predictable in reptiles, occasionally producing long recovery periods, variable induction dosages, and increased mortality compared to mammalian species (Bennett 1998; Read 2004). Despite these limitations, anesthesia produces more precise and accurate estimates of body composition than cooling. Euthanasia, although required in this study for comparisons, is the least desirable method of immobilization with DXA because it necessarily defeats the purpose of using non-destructive techniques. Although the influence of immobilization technique produced significant differences in estimates and noticeable variation in precision, each technique correlated well with chemical estimates and therefore each is generally acceptable if predictive equations are produced.

**Limitations of DXA.**—The results of this study suggest that accurate prediction of fat mass and, to a lesser degree, lean tissue mass using DXA is questionable in chelonians. The morphology of chelonians precludes the ability to effectively determine fat mass, due to the method that DXA utilizes to estimate fat mass. Fat and bone-free lean tissue mass can be distinguished and are calculated from the ratio of attenuation from the low and high-energy beams when calculations are performed on non-bone areas; however, when calculations are performed where lean tissue, fat, and bone overlap, the calculations of fat and lean tissue components are indirect, leading to less reliable estimates (Jebb 1997). Fat and lean tissue mass estimates are less accurate when a large portion

---

**Fig. 1.** The effects of immobilization method on DXA estimates of (A) bone mineral content, (B) bone mineral density, (C) fat mass, (D) lean tissue mass, and (E) body mass in male *Trachemys scripta* (*N* = 25). Significant differences (α < 0.05) among treatment levels are indicated by different letters. Presented means indicate inverse log$_{10}$ transformed data. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
of the pixel area contains bone, such as in the thoracic region and brain in humans (Jebb 1997). The scanning of chelonians results in nearly 100% of the scanning pixels containing bone, depending on whether the appendages are extended beyond the margins of the carapace. Therefore, DXA cannot use non-bone-containing neighbor pixels to calculate the proportion of fat to be used for the majority of pixels that contain bone. Thus the unique morphology of turtles most likely prevents the use of current DXA technology to accurately estimate fat mass. Our data corroborate this view, particularly when compared to another reptile. Secor and Nagy (2003) found a relatively low CV when using DXA to measure FM in snakes (9.2% versus 28.5–97.0% in this study). Because current DXA technology precludes the use of this tool for the prediction of fat mass in turtles, chelonian research with primary interests in obtaining fat estimates will have to use other established methods. The establishment of triple or multiple-energy x-ray absorptiometry may solve this issue in turtles by using a three compartment system rather than the two compartment approach of DXA (Swanpalmer et al. 1998). Another potential approach that may help overcome this caveat could be to position the individuals such that the x-ray beams will pass craniocaudal. This approach would reduce the total scanning area that contains bone and therefore could allow for a more accurate estimate of fat and lean tissue components; however this has not been attempted and at this point is limited to speculation.

**Future Research.**—Future studies should examine the variation among scanners. We developed models linking body composition and DXA estimates; however the utility of these models for users of other DXA brand scanners is unknown. Significant effects of DXA manufacturers, hardware, and software have been documented (Tothill et al. 1994a; Tothill et al. 1994b; Jebb 1997; Tothill and Hannan 2000). Further validation of these predictive models on other brands of DXA scanners is needed before they can be applied to research using other brands. We also suspect that there may be interspecies variation, and separate predictive models should be developed for individual species. The use of these predictive equations, developed for *T. scripta*, with species differing drastically from the emblematic turtle morphology (e.g. soft-shelled turtles) will likely provide erroneous estimates of body composition.

In conclusion, DXA could potentially be used for a variety of evolutionary, ecological, nutritional, physiological, and diagnostic applications in animals. However, a poor ability to predict fat mass in turtles severely limits some of its application to energetic and nutrition studies until advances in technology overcome the

![Fig. 2. Correlation between DXA and chemical estimates of (A) lean tissue mass, (B) fat mass, and (C) bone mass for all three methods of immobilization in male *Trachemys scripta* (N = 25). The solid line represents a slope of 1.](image)

![Fig. 3. Mean difference between DXA and chemical estimates of bone mineral content (BMC), fat mass (FM), lean tissue mass (LTM), and body mass (BM) for the three methods of immobilization in male *Trachemys scripta* (N = 25). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.](image)
Table 3. Predictive models for chemically determined body composition variables in male Trachemys scripta (N = 25) determined by the regression of chemical estimates of body composition against DXA estimates for anesthetized individuals. Predictor variables were selected using best-subsets regression. The simplest model was included and multivariate models were added if they had more explanatory power. Values for difference represent the average difference between actual tissue mass determined chemically and predicted tissue mass determined from the regression or cross-validation model. Values for percent difference represent the absolute difference represented as a percentage of total mass for the tissue component in question. Abbreviations: AM = ash mass, BMC = bone mineral content, LTM = lean tissue mass, FM = fat mass, FFM = fat-free tissue mass, WM = water mass.

| Model |
| Regression Model | Cross-validation |
| AM = 4.81BMC_{DXA} - 8.75 | 0.994 | 3.24 ± 3.07 | 4.03 ± 3.08 | 3.69 ± 3.75 | 4.41 ± 3.34 |
| LTM = 0.98LTM_{DXA} - 39.66 | 0.979 | 31.62 ± 24.17 | 6.44 ± 3.99 | 34.14 ± 25.41 | 6.89 ± 4.14 |
| LTM = 0.89LTM_{DXA} + 0.70FM_{DXA} - 15.76 | 0.992 | 16.84 ± 18.26 | 3.30 ± 2.69 | 20.11 ± 22.70 | 3.76 ± 3.08 |
| FM = FM_{DXA} + 8.14 | 0.00 | 5.46 ± 3.56 | 152.23 ± 175.50 | 5.86 ± 3.77 | 100.81 ± 94.90 |
| FM = 0.04LTM_{DXA} - 0.03FM_{DXA} - 0.62BMC_{DXA} - 1.51 | 0.528 | 3.60 ± 2.68 | 85.40 ± 87.70 | 4.43 ± 3.11 | 100.81 ± 94.90 |
| FFM = 1.13FFM_{DXA} - 60.20 | 0.978 | 39.96 ± 28.10 | 6.81 ± 3.58 | 43.86 ± 30.97 | 7.34 ± 3.71 |
| WM = 0.79LTM_{DXA} - 30.07 | 0.969 | 31.80 ± 23.22 | 8.13 ± 5.23 | 34.31 ± 24.40 | 8.70 ± 5.43 |
| WM = 0.68FM_{DXA} + 0.71LTM_{DXA} - 7.11 | 0.987 | 19.34 ± 16.85 | 4.86 ± 3.7 | 22.72 ± 20.87 | 5.48 ± 4.06 |

difficulties of distinguishing the soft tissues in species containing a high proportion of bone. Although the use of DXA in turtles is limited by soft tissue, DXA is still effective at measuring bone content and density, and therefore would prove useful for studies of bone dynamics in turtles. From an applied approach DXA could be used in the identification of metabolic bone disease in a clinical setting. The ability to monitor an individual’s bone density over a lifetime could provide a wealth of information on the long-term dietary impacts on bone density. In short, the potential applications of DXA in scientific research are many; however, continued validation is required before DXA can be put into practical use for chelonian research.
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One assumption, implicit or explicit, often made by researchers when designing field studies is that techniques which work well in one species will work without qualification in other species, often closely related, in which they have never been employed. This is not always true. During our ongoing work to understand the natural history and life history of Crawfish Frogs (Lithobates areolatus) on reclaimed surface coal mines in Indiana, we employed two techniques—internal transmitters for a radiotelemetry-based movement study and drift fences with pitfall traps for a mark-recapture demographic study—that are recommended and proven tools for amphibian field research (Dodd and Scott 1994; Gibbons and Bennett 1974; Gibbons and Semlitsch 1981; Madison 1997; Richards et al. 1994), though not without qualification (Bull 2000; Dodd 1991; Goldberg et al. 2002; Weick et al. 2005). In fact, both drift fence and radiotelemetry studies have been used successfully with closely related species, Gopher Frogs (L. capito; Palis 1998; Roznik and Johnson 2009) and Dusky Gopher Frogs (L. sevosa; Richter and Seigel 2002; Richter et al. 2001). We report here two previously undescribed difficulties with the implementation of these techniques in Crawfish Frogs.

We have discovered that surgically weakened abdominal musculature following the implantation of internal transmitters can lead to visceral herniation through the incision site in calling male Crawfish Frogs. Secondly, we have observed that upon exiting wetlands and encountering a drift fence, postbreeding Crawfish Frogs do not usually move laterally along the drift fences to then fall into buckets. Instead—perhaps because they must travel in a specific direction, often over great distances, to find a burrow (J. Heemeyer, unpubl. telemetry data)—they often remain against the fence until early in the morning when they then turn around to re-enter the wetland or surrounding vegetation. This appears to be the first time that these two issues have been reported in amphibian field studies.

Transmitter implantation can result in visceral herniation.—The advantages of radiotelemetry are well known, with the one limitation most cited being transmitter longevity, which is related to transmitter size (Richards et al. 1994; Van Nuland and Claas 1981). Smaller transmitters, often necessary for use in smaller animals such as amphibians, have smaller batteries, which have a shorter life, either making for short-term studies or adding to the number of transmitter replacements. External harnesses have been used successfully on Gopher Frogs (Richter et al. 2001; Roznik and Johnson 2009). However, because Crawfish Frogs at our study site must negotiate densely vegetated upland prairie habitat and use small burrows (made by crayfish), we felt external harnesses might interfere with mobility and decided to implant transmitters intraperitoneally.

Intraperitoneal transmitter implantation is often used in amphibians and may be the most effective way of tracking these animals for long periods (Goldberg et al. 2002; Johnson 2006; Madison 1997; Richards et al. 1994; Weick et al. 2005). However, problems can arise both during and after surgery (Goldberg et al. 2002; Weick et al. 2005). Complications among anuran species arise from response to anesthesia (Goldberg et al. 2002; Weick et al. 2005), infection (Werner 1991), tearing of sutures, lesions around sutures, and transmitter expulsion (Weick et al. 2005). Goldberg et al. (2002) note that many anuran telemetry studies fail to discuss deleterious effects of implantation surgeries.

In the present study, Crawfish Frogs (72–188 g) were captured during the spring of 2009 either on their way into breeding wetlands (drift fences) or in breeding wetlands (minnow traps). Because Crawfish Frogs will often quit calling at the least sign of disturbance (Parris and Redmer 2005), and because intraperitoneal transmitters had been considered safe in calling frogs (Goldberg et al. 2002; Lamoureux and Madison 1999), we decided to implant a subset of males (8 out of 59 Crawfish Frog males encountered entering the pond) in order to identify wetland calling sites and where animals find refuge when they are not calling. We implanted animals with Holohil Systems Ltd. (Carp, Ontario) PD-2 transmitters with internal helical antennae (average weight of 3.8 g). Implantation surgeries were initially practiced (by JHL) on Southern Leopard Frogs (L. sphenocephalus) under the supervision of a researcher with over 20 years experience with animal surgeries (MIL). Richards et al. (1994) recommend the use of transmitters weighing no more than 10% of body weight; Goldberg et al. (2002) recommend no more than 5%. Our transmitters accounted for 2–5% of total body weight.

Knowing the potential negative effects of anesthesia on anurans (Goldberg et al. 2002), we started with a concentration of 200 mg/L MS-222 (ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonic acid salt;
Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) dissolved in a buffer solution (500 ml phosphate buffered saline [PBS], pH 7.2, giving the anesthetic solution a pH of 6.8) at room temperature. We observed the animal for 20–30 min and if it was still responsive we added 200 mg/L MS-222. This continued every half hour until the animal became fully anesthetized, indicated by loss of righting reflex and lack of pain response to toe pinching (Johnson 2006). After several surgeries, we were able determine that a concentration of 600 mg/L was optimal. At this concentration, animals usually took 20–30 min to become anesthetized and remained anesthetized through the duration of the surgery (~30 min). Transmitters were placed intraperitoneally by making a left side, off-midline abdominal incision through the skin and a parallel incision through the rectus abdominus (Johnson 2006). After transmitter insertion, the rectus abdominus was closed with five or six continuous (Weick et al. 2005) sutures (Vicryl™ [polyglactin 910] 5-0 RB1, #36; Ethicon, Somerville, NJ), and the skin was closed with five or six continuous sutures (Vicryl™) and glued (Vetbond™ [n-butyl cyanoacrylate] adhesive). Postoperatively, animals were placed in deionized water and observed until they awoke. They were allowed to recover overnight in a cold, dark environment (a cooler placed in a refrigerator) to minimize stress, and then released on the inside (opposite side) of the drift fence, or near the minnow trap, where they were captured.

Postoperatively, we periodically examined animals in the field. At various times following surgeries (5–27 days), we observed asymmetrical ventral swellings in five animals. Swellings were caused by a hard mass of tissue (Figs. 1A, B), not by fluid or air accumulation. We collected these animals, anesthetized them, did exploratory surgery, and found visceral herniations through the rectus abdominus at the point of surgical incision. The liver had herniated in all animals; the left lung, stomach, and/or intestine herniated in a subset of animals. To repair these herniations, the viscera were carefully re-inserted into the peritoneal cavity, the incised edges of the rectus abdominus were trimmed (ensuring blood flow and enabling healing in case the wound was dehisced), the muscle and skin were individually sutured closed as before, and Vetbond™ was applied to the skin. The animals were again kept overnight before they were released at their respective capture locations.

All five animals exhibiting herniations were males entering or trapped in breeding wetlands. The three other males implanted at the same time did not show signs of herniation. Herniations were not, and have not been at any time, seen in females (four females were implanted at the time and eight more females have been subsequently implanted). We (JLH and MJL) observed one of the implanted males calling (distended vocal sacs) and in amplexus prior to discovering its hernia. The breeding call of male Crawfish Frogs has enormous energy, generating sound capable of carrying over a kilometer under favorable acoustic conditions (Busby and Brecheisen 1997; Minton 2001). We suspect the abdominal pressure necessary to generate these calls (Wells 2007) caused the viscera to herniate through the healing incision and the sutures in the muscular wall.

Four of the five reconstructive surgeries were successful. A herniation in one animal was initially misdiagnosed as internal swelling underneath the muscle layer (necropsy showed liver herniation); this animal died the next day. Of the four surviving animals, the transmitter in one was removed during surgery. This frog was returned to its wetland and was captured three days later at the drift fence as it was leaving; it was behaving normally. The three remaining animals were released postoperatively at the point of capture; one died two and a half weeks later from unknown causes. At the time of this writing (~150 days post surgery), the two remaining herniated frogs with transmitters had been tracked since their release and are behaving similarly to implanted animals that did not suffer visceral herniations. One of the two animals was examined 12 days (Fig. 2A) and 40 days (Fig. 2B) after hernia reconstruction and showed no signs of recurrent herniation. Its cutaneous incision had completely healed, although portions of suture material and Vetbond™ remained adherent. These have since dissolved or been worn away.

_Drift fences inhibit postbreeding migrations._—Drift fences in combination with pitfall traps constitute a useful tool for amphibian biologists interested in the timing and demographics of breeding populations, as well as measures of reproductive success (Dodd and Scott 1994; Gibbons and Bennett 1974; Richter and Seigel 2002; Semlitsch et al. 1995). One assumption with this technique is that upon encountering a drift fence, an animal will turn left or right to move laterally along the fencing until they tumble into a pitfall trap to be captured and processed by the researcher. Crawfish Frogs entering breeding wetlands seemed to do this, but Crawfish Frogs...
after surgery. B) The scar on 28 April, 12 days following surgery. A) The scar on 26 May, 40 days following hernia repair surgery on 16 April 2009. A) The scar on 28 April, 12 days following surgery. B) The scar on 26 May, 40 days after surgery.

exiting wetlands often did not. Difficulties with capturing animals in pitfall traps had not been specifically reported in studies that involved the closely related Gopher Frogs (Palis 1998) and Dark Gopher Frogs (Richter et al. 2001; Richter and Seigel 2002).

On the night of 12–13 April (11°C with 2.0 cm of rainfall), several postbreeding frogs were observed on the inside of the silt drift fence after having left their breeding wetland. Despite this potential emigration activity, on the morning of 13 April, no Crawfish Frogs were found in pitfall traps at this wetland. We suspected escapes, but had had no evidence that frogs trespassed entering this wetland and so doubted Crawfish Frogs could negotiate fences upon leaving. After noting emigration behavior, but an absence of frogs in pitfall traps, we began returning to our study ponds during night-time rains. We found that when emigrating animals encountered the silt drift fence they often tried to work their way through the fence to continue their direction, sometimes laboring until, if at the aluminum hardware cloth portion of our fences, they abraded their snouts (Fig. 3). The hardware cloth—installed in drainage areas to prevent washing out of the silt fences—comprises two sections at one pond (2.86 m, 1.14% of the whole fence) and four sections at the second pond (4.87 m, 1.87% of the whole fence). The silt fence itself, made from woven polypropylene composite, did not injure frog snouts. After realizing this, we began to relocate emigrating Crawfish Frogs across fences. One night alone (19–20 April) we transferred a total of 40 (23 at one wetland, 17 at a second) postbreeding Crawfish Frogs to the outside of fences (a total of 97 animals entered our two drift-fenced breeding wetlands). Overall, 35% of emigrating Crawfish Frogs were relocated in this way, the other 65% were caught in pitfall traps. The use of drift fences may lengthen the duration of Crawfish Frogs in breeding wetlands, and therefore bias results.

Recommendations.—The advantages of telemetry and drift fence trapping techniques will typically outweigh disadvantages if certain precautions are heeded (e.g., Gibbons and Bennett 1974). Several measures can be taken to adapt the telemetry and drift fence modifications described here to Crawfish Frogs, which in turn could also be useful for research on other species. In our opinion, implantation of transmitters remains a viable method for Crawfish Frogs, however, we suggest only implanting postbreeding animals to avoid the possibility of visceral herniation. We also recommend using interrupted sutures (Weick et al. 2005), which may provide stronger closure, to close the peritoneal incision. We have wondered whether the notoriously unstable, acidic, nutrient poor, and droughty soils of mine spoils (Brothers 1990) stressed Crawfish Frogs to the point of hindering healing and producing these herniations. At the present time we have no data to support this idea.

Drift fence studies for Crawfish Frogs must be accompanied by all-night visual encounter surveys for emigrating adults during or following rainfall. Animals at, or approaching, fences should be captured and relocated to the opposite side. To prevent abrasion, we recommend using softer perforated fencing in areas where water accumulates, or attaching strips of cloth or Gorilla Tape® (Gorilla Glue Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio) across the bottom of the hardware cloth. A height of two inches would provide ample protection for Crawfish Frogs, and still allow water flow through the fence. When utilizing field techniques, even well-established techniques, we recommend not assuming that techniques that have worked well on other species will work well on novel species. We suggest incorporating either a period of preliminary analysis or an intense initial observational component to prevent unintended animal injury.
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AMPHIBIAN DISEASES

This section offers a timely outlet for streamlined presentation of research exploring the geographic distribution, host range, and impact of emerging amphibian pathogens, especially the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) and ranaviruses. *Bd* is an emerging pathogen linked to mass mortality and declines of amphibians worldwide, yet *Bd* has also been detected in amphibians without disease. Ranaviruses also cause mass mortality, but have not yet been linked to large-scale declines. We know relatively little about their global distribution, host range, or impacts on host populations. To improve our understanding of the scope of this issue, we encourage submission of studies that illuminate the geographic distribution, host ranges, and impact of these pathogens on amphibian populations, including research on individual species or groups of species, wild or captive animals, native or non-native species, live animals or museum specimens, environmental samples, and, provided there is sufficient sampling\(^1\), reports of non-detections.

We ask authors to: 1) restrict the introduction of their paper to a maximum of two paragraphs to highlight the context of their study; 2) briefly include both field and laboratory Methods; 3) present Results in a Table, although a map might also be useful, and limited text; and 4) have a short discussion of a maximum of three paragraphs to touch upon key findings. Please include the following information in submissions as appropriate: coordinates and description of sampling areas (or please note if locations are extremely sensitive to reveal, and provide general area instead); species name(s) and life history stages examined, as well as other species present; whether samples were collected randomly or just from dead or moribund animals; date of specimen collection; evidence of unusual mortality; numbers of positive and negative samples; disposition of voucher specimens; name of collaborative laboratory or researcher conducting histological sections or PCR analyses; and names of cooperative land owners or land management agencies. We encourage researchers to conduct post-mortem examinations when possible to identify the cause of death when reporting mortalities. We aim to expedite the review and publication process! Please e-mail submissions directly to Associate Editor, Dr. Dede Olson: dedeolson@fs.fed.us.

\(^{1}\)If a sample of 30 individuals of a particular life history stage of a particular species yields no positive results, and the diagnostic test is highly sensitive, one can conclude that the prevalence of infection is less than 10% with 95% confidence. With a sample of 10 an infection in one of four individuals could go undetected. We encourage researchers to collect sufficient samples that negative results are meaningful.

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in Adult Notophthalmus viridescens in North-Central Alabama, USA
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Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (*Bd*) has devastated many amphibian populations, especially in tropical areas (Berger et al. 1998; Daszak et al. 1999). However, the geographic distribution of...
this emerging pathogen is not well known (see http://www.spatialepidemiology.net/Bd-maps/information/#PD). There is currently only one published report of Bd in Alabama, USA, at Horseshoe Bend National Park located in Tallapoosa Co. (Byrne et al. 2008); the affected species was the semi-terrestrial Eurycea cirrigera (Southern Two-lined Salamander). Rothermel et al. (2008) found Bd across the southeastern United States, but Alabama was not sampled. However, they found Bd-infected Notophthalmus viridescens (Eastern Newt) in Georgia, North Carolina, and Virginia. Additional reports have detected Bd in anurans and caudates in eastern Georgia (Timpe et al. 2008) and southwestern Tennessee (Venesky and Brem 2008). We report on a haphazard collection of dead adult Notophthalmus viridescens discovered during a field zoology class trip conducted by KAB in Birmingham, Alabama.

Methods.—On 25 February 2009, seine and dipnet sampling were conducted at Red Lakes, Ruffner Mountain Nature Preserve, Birmingham, Alabama (33.5623ºN, 86.6900ºW). Many (30–40) live adult Eastern Newts in breeding condition (smooth skin; males with nuptial pads) were sampled. We also noticed a number of recently deceased newts. The dead animals were slightly bloated, presumably due to dying in the water, were floating just above the bottom of the shallow pond (ankle to thigh deep, 15–90 cm), but otherwise appeared normal (no loose or peeling skin, no discoloration, no signs of trauma). Twelve dead newts were collected, and we saw at least another 15 dead newts. Nine newts were fixed in formalin, then stored in 70% ethanol. Three newts were preserved by freezing at -10ºC. Ambystoma maculatum (Spotted Salamander) egg masses were present in the pond where the dead newts were collected and two large adult Spotted Salamanders (appeared healthy) were found in the woods approximately 40 m away from the pond and released after examination. Presumably, this pond also is used by anurans as a breeding site because it is fishless and periodically dries due to evaporation when there is a hot, dry summer.

Two formalin-fixed newts were examined by APP. The fixed carcasses were demineralized in hydrochloric acid (RDO Rapid Decalciﬁer, Apex Engineering Corporation, Aurora, IL USA), followed by preparation of serial transverse histological sections through the entirety of head and body and longitudinal sections through the hindlimbs and feet. Body, limb and foot slices were then automatically processed for histology, embedded in parafﬁn, sectioned at 5–6 µm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Liver from 2 frozen newts was submitted to the Amphibian Disease Laboratory at the San Diego Zoo for real-time PCR for ranaviruses using previously described techniques (Pallister et al. 2007).

Results.—Lesions in the two formalin-fixed newts examined histologically were limited to the skin. Changes were diffuse and involved over 90% of the skin surfaces examined (which included multiple sections of dorsal and ventral skin from the head, torso, legs, feet, and tail) and consisted of mild to moderate orthokeratotic hyperkeratosis and epidermal hyperplasia with moderate numbers of fungal organisms in cells of the stratum corneum (superficial keratinized skin layers) typical of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Features of these organisms considered to be diagnostic of Bd included colonial thalli and flask-shaped zoosporangia with prominent discharge papillae (Longcore et al. 1999). Skin lesions were significantly more severe than the relatively focal and minimal lesions observed in Bd infected, but healthy American bullfrogs (Hanselmann et al. 2004) and were similar to those associated with mortality in naturally and experimentally infected anurans (Nichols et al. 2001). Lesions were also subjectively more severe than those previously observed by one of the authors (APP) in other salamander species (Davidson et al. 2003; Vasquez et al. 2009). Other tissues examined histologically, including but not limited to, brain, liver (including subcapsular hematopoietic tissue), kidney, spleen, gastrointestinal tract, bone and skeletal muscle were considered to be within normal limits. Specifically, no lesions typical of a lethal Ranavirus infection (Green et al. 2002) or of the Ichthyophthirius-like infections previously reported in Notophthalmus viridescens (Raffel et al. 2006) were observed. The examined newts were determined to be in good nutritional condition based on abundant coelomic cavitary fat stores. Real-time PCR for ranaviruses was negative. Based on these findings, chytridiomycosis was determined to be the likely cause of death. However, the small number of newts sampled for diagnostic investigation means that other potential causes for this mortality event, including but not limited to, other unidentified infectious agents and events such as chemical exposure or altered water quality. Chemical and environmental changes especially may not result in distinctive lesions that can be identified by histologic examination.

Discussion.—This report is significant in documenting the presence of Bd in another salamander species 110 km from the nearest known locality, Tallapoosa Co. Alabama. This is the first report of a mortality event associated with Bd in Alabama. Ruffner Mountain is currently used as a nature education center and is also the second largest urban nature preserve in the United States (S. McCracken, pers. comm.). However, iron ore mining was conducted here from 1886–1953 and it served as a limestone quarry through the 1920s (White 1981). Red Lakes (there were once six, now two remain) where the infected newts were found, was originally a settling pond for the water used in a beneficiation process that separated high quality from low quality ore (S. McCracken, pers. comm.). The water is a rust-red color and stains field equipment. Although we did not test for industrial contaminants such as heavy metals, pH was 8.4 (water temp = 10.1°C, air temp 13.4°C, RH = 52.5%). The pH optimum for Bd is 6–7 with less growth at a pH of 8, the highest pH tested (Piotrowski et al. 2004). Future work should examine potential linkages between environmental contaminants and the incidence and/or severity of Bd infections in aquatic amphibians.
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The amphibian disease chytridiomycosis, caused by the pathogenic fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd, Longcore et al. 1999), is well known as a major threat to amphibians resulting in mass die-offs and population declines throughout the world (Berger et al. 1998; Blaustein and Keisecker 2002; Daszak et al. 2003; McCallum 2005; Rachowicz et al. 2006). Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis has been detected on amphibians from sites across North America (Ouellet et al. 2005; Woodhams et al. 2008) and appears to be most prevalent in the western and the northeastern United States (Longcore et al. 2007; Schlaepfer et al. 2007). Whereas infected anurans also have been found throughout the southeastern US (Green and Dodd 2007), there have been no reports of Bd from amphibians in eastern Texas, a broad area encompassing 10,000,000 ha. We sampled amphibians for the presence of Bd in four National Forests in eastern Texas (approximately 31°N latitude).

Amphibians were sampled for Bd from 9 January to 27 May 2009 in the Angelina, Davy Crockett, and Sabine National Forests, and the Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest (Fig. 1). The Stephen

![Fig. 1. Locations of the Angelina, Davy Crockett, Sabine National Forests, and the Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest where 6 of 18 amphibian species tested positive for the amphibian chytrid fungus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*.](image-url)
Table 1. Amphibian species tested for the presence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) within Angelina, Davy Crockett, and Sabine National Forests, and the Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest, Texas. Bold font indicates that *Bd* was detected in the species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Angelina National Forest No. animals infected/examined</th>
<th>Davy Crockett National Forest No. animals infected/examined</th>
<th>Sabine National Forest No. animals infected/examined</th>
<th>Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest No. animals infected/examined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphibatidae</td>
<td><em>Ambystoma maculatum</em></td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ambystoma opacum</em></td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ambystoma talpoideum</em></td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plethodontidae</td>
<td><em>Eurycea quadradigitata</em></td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>3/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salamandridae</td>
<td><em>Notophthalmus viridescens</em></td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifonidae</td>
<td><em>Anaxyrus woodhousii</em></td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Incilius nebulifer</em></td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hylidae</td>
<td><em>Acris crepitans</em></td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Hyla cinerea</em></td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Hyla versicolor</em></td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudacris crucifer</em></td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudacris fouquettei</em></td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microhylidae</td>
<td><em>Gastrophryne carolinensis</em></td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranidae</td>
<td><em>Lithobates catesbeianus</em></td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lithobates clamitans</em></td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lithobates palustris</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lithobates sphenoecephalus</em></td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaphiopodidae</td>
<td><em>Scaphiopus hurteri</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/95 (5.3%)</td>
<td>2/76 (2.6%)</td>
<td>0/15 (0.0%)</td>
<td>6/80 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F. Austin Experimental Forest is a disjunct unit of the Angelina National Forest, administered by the Southern Research Station, US Forest Service. The dominant habitats of these areas include secondary growth Loblolly (*Pinus taeda*), Longleaf (*P. palustris*), and Shortleaf (*P. echinata*) upland pine forests and mixed deciduous bottomland forests. East Texas experiences occasional freezing temperatures, warm winter days, and extremely hot summers (Chang et al. 1996). From 1901 to 1993, the overall mean air temperatures for January and August are 8.4°C and 27.8°C, respectively (Chang et al. 1996). In winter, cold air masses often meet warm moist air pushed up from the Gulf of Mexico resulting in frequent rain events, placing the study sites in one of the wettest regions of Texas (Bomar 1995).

We searched for amphibians near ponds, streams, moist lowland areas, and upland pine forest habitat. We captured specimens by hand. Each individual was handled with a new pair of sterile nitrile gloves. We sampled for *Bd* by rubbing a sterile cotton swab on the dorsum, ventral surfaces, and feet of each frog for approximately 30 seconds, after which the animal was released at its place of capture. The swab was then immediately placed in a sterile micro-centrifuge tube containing 1 ml of 70% ethanol and later sent to Pisces Molecular Laboratory (Boulder, Colorado, USA) for PCR analyses. Global positioning system (GPS) coordinates were taken at each capture site using a Garmin® GPS unit.

Overall, we sampled a total of 266 adult amphibians of 18 different species, from 8 different families (Table 1). Of these 18 species, six had at least one individual that tested positive for *Bd*. Thirteen of the 266 individuals tested positive for an overall detection rate of 4.8%. The Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest had the highest detection rate among the four areas sampled, with six of 80 (7.5%) individuals testing positive for *Bd* (Table 1). During sampling, no sick or dead frogs were observed at any sites.

We found similar *Bd* detection rates for anurans and caudates (4.93% and 4.76%, respectively), although the only salamanders to test positive for *Bd* were three individuals of *Eurycea quadradigitata* from the Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest. Despite 34 ambystomatids being sampled, none tested positive for *Bd*.

Five of the 15 (33.33%) *Pseudacris fouquettei* samples tested positive for *Bd*, which was the highest detection rate among anuran species, including species which were breeding at the same time and place where the *Bd*-positive *Pseudacris fouquettei* were found. Individuals of both *P. crucifer* and *Lithobates sphenoecephalus* were collected at locations where *Bd*-positive *P. fouquettei* were found, yet no *L. sphenoecephalus* and only one of 30 (3.33%) *P. crucifer* samples tested positive for *Bd*. However, small sample sizes make it difficult to assess whether there is actually a higher detection rates among *P. fouquettei* than other species.

*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* has been present in North American amphibian populations since at least the early 1960s (Ouellet et al. 2005). Yet, within the United States, *Bd* has been associated with amphibian die-offs predominantly in western states (e.g., Bradley et al. 2002; Briggs et al. 2005; Muths et al. 2003).
Although the fungus is present in the southeastern United States, to our knowledge no amphibian declines have been attributed to chytridiomycosis in this region. Several studies have detected higher rates of Bd infections during the fall or winter months (McDonald et al. 2005; Ouellet et al. 2005; Retallick et al. 2004), a phenomenon possibly explained by the fact that amphibian immune systems function less effectively at cooler temperatures (Carey 2000; Cooper et al. 1992; Maniero and Carey 1997). It is possible that the immune systems of amphibians inhabiting eastern Texas are more capable of resisting Bd infections because of the relatively warm winters and hot summers.

Also, it is possible that the fungus may never reach an epizootic state in eastern Texas because the warm air temperatures are less than optimal for Bd growth and infection of amphibians (Kriger and Hero 2006; Longcore et al. 1999; Retallick et al. 2004). Evidence for an enzootic state includes the relative low incidence of detection in PCR samples and the fact that no sick or dead frogs were encountered. Amphibians appeared to be abundant at all four of our study sites. Follow-up population and Bd sampling is needed to confirm what impacts Bd may be having on the National Forests in Texas.
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Agalychnis callidryas (UMRC 79-257, 41 mm SVL). Mexico: Quintana Roo: 8 km N Felipe Carrillo Puerto. Lateral view of head, showing the vertically elliptical pupil and the reticulate palpebral membrane. Illustration by Julian C. Lee.
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Very Early Photographs of Reptiles

MANFRED NIEKISCH
Frankfurt Zoo, Bernhard-Grzimek-Allee 1
60316 Frankfurt, Germany
e-mail: manfred.niekisch@stadt-frankfurt.de

Following a publication by John Edwards in Herpetological Review (1998) of three early photographs of living reptiles, dated 1865, Kraig Adler and Harold Cogger (1998) presented another, even older photograph. It shows the German-born herpetologist Gerard Krefft with three live reptiles and was taken in the year 1864 by the Sydney photographer William Hetzer. Adler and Cogger invited readers to a contest to find the earliest photograph of a living reptile. Herein, I follow this invitation and contribute to the contest.

Francis Frith was born in 1822 in Chesterfield, Derbyshire, England. Not very successful at school and in an apprenticeship in a cutlery, he had a nervous breakdown, then traveled a few years in his home country, and in 1850 he set up his own printing business in Liverpool. That business thrived and he sold it in 1856 to his major competitor. The substantial profit he realized made him independent and he started his career as a photographer with a first trip to Egypt in this very year. His inaugural book, “Egypt, Palestine Photographed and Described,” began to appear in 1858 and was completed in 1860, consisting of 25 parts with 76 albumen prints altogether. Among these photographs there is one of a living adult crocodile, Crocodylus niloticus, on a sand bank presumably along the Nile River (Fig. 1). It was taken in 1857 as can be seen from the remark “Frith-Photo, 1857” underneath the published photograph in the first volume of his two-volume book. Frith writes: “I am satisfied that they never attack mankind openly, although, no doubt, if they had an opportunity of seizing a man without exposing themselves, they would be dangerous.” The main objects of Frith’s photographs are buildings (both antique and contemporary) and landscapes. Only very few of his studies portray wildlife (such as a dead flamingo in the hands of an Arab “sportsman” and a cook). This is understandable also in the light of the fact that wildlife move and photographic equipment then posed serious technical limitations to wildlife photography. A large crocodile resting on a sand bank seems to be the ideal object, presenting—as compared to birds and mammals—several advantages for early photographers from this point of view. So for now this seems to be the oldest known photograph of a living reptile.

The earliest photograph of a herpetological specimen I found is even older and falls in the earliest times of photography. It shows two stuffed specimens of monitor lizards, indicated below the photograph as “Varanus Bellii Duméril et Bibron” and “Varanus Varius. Merrem. Duméréil et Bibron” (Fig. 2). Both are referable to Varanus varius (White, 1790). The two Varanus are clearly identifiable as dead specimens. The left one shows a crack in the skin above the left foreleg and the stuffing material is visible inside it. This photograph was first published in March 1853 in an atlas of zoological photographs which was meant to document and show the treasures of the Museum of Natural History in Paris (“Photographie zoologique ou Représentation des Animaux Rares des Colections du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle”) by L. Rousseau and A. Devéria, and then again published in 1854 in the Bulletin de la Société d'Encouragement pour l’Industrie Nationale, Paris (Vol. 53, Ser. 2, Volume I). This Bulletin is as a historical source at least as interesting as the atlas itself because it contains two articles directly relevant here. First, there is an article (pp. 117–119) by Claude Félix Abel Niepce de Saint-Victor (1805–1870). He was the son of the first cousin of Joseph-Nicéphore Niepce (1765–1833) who is considered to be the inventor of photography, as he was the first one to take a picture with a camera obscura and to get it fixed and light resistant on a metal plate. Niepce called this technique heliogravure as it was “written with sunlight.” In this article entitled “Gravure héliographique,” Abel Niepce describes some improvements to Joseph-Nicéphore’s process (referring to him as his “uncle”) developed by him together with the engraver Augustin-François Lemaître (1797–1870) who had already worked with Joseph-Nicéphore Niepce doing the etchings. After the death of Joseph-Nicéphore Niepce it was Louis Daguerre (1787–1851)—who had worked with both Joseph-Nicéphore and Abel Niepce—to develop Niepce’s invention further and to bring it to a commercial stage. This Daguerrotype process was presented formally to the French Academy of Science and finally bought by the French Government as a “gift to the world” in 1839.

Abel Niepce’s article is followed by one on “Photographie zoologique,” written by Louis Pierre Rousseau and Achille Devéria (1800–1870), the authors of the atlas with the same title, which relates the history of this atlas.

According to their article, the first plates of photography applied to natural history (“photographie appliquée aux sciences naturelles”) were received by the Académie des Sciences in Paris on March 14, 1853. Indeed, the minutes of the meeting of the Academy (Compte Rendu des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences, Tome trente-sixième. Janvier – June 1853, p. 500) state that these two gentlemen presented at the meeting “divers spécimens

Fig. 1. The precise location in Egypt where Frith took this photograph of a Crocodylus niloticus is unknown. Additionally, it is not known if he was aware that he was perhaps the first photographer to take a picture of a living reptile.
de photographie appliquée aux sciences naturelles” and that these plates, printed on paper, showed skeletons or parts thereof and other complete individuals belonging to the principal divisions of the animal kingdom.

The Bisson brothers had produced the negatives and Pauline Riffaut transferred the pictures to the steel plates. Once the acid had etched the metal plate, it was still necessary to do the finishing by hand, which was done by engraver A. Riffaut who is the third party mentioned under the prints.

In the session of June 6, 1853, the commission consisting of the zoologists Isidore Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire (1805–1861) and Achille Valenciennes (1794–1865), Henri Victor Regnault (1810–1878), a chemist and physicist who became in 1854 the founding president of the Société Française de Photographie, and zoologist Henri Milne Edwards (1800–1885) as the rapporteur received the report of Rousseau and Dévéria on the completed, but not yet edited, work and discussed it. The minutes (Compte Rendu des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences, Vol. Janvier - June 1853, pp. 991–994) give a very good idea of the enthusiasm with which the work was received. For example found the committee that even the best painter would not have the patience and ability to make visible all the details and structures of the polypes visible in the photograph which shows the object enlarged (as compared to natural size). The committee discussed the potential and advantages of the new technique at length, but it was also said that there was still something left to do by the authors to give their work the “stabilité désirable.” The committee expressed confidence that the authors, with the appropriate instruments and means at their disposition, would soon reach results very useful to science, and vividly wished that photography becomes of “emploi usuel pour les zoologists.” Rousseau was then “aide-naturaliste” at the Museum while Dévéria was artist at the Bibliothèque impériale.

Both Varanus specimens shown in the photograph (Fig. 2) are still extant in the collection of the Muséum d’Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) in Paris and registered as “Varanus varius” (White, 1790),” the left one—ocellated, number 2—in the photograph bearing number MNHN 8284 (formerly 1551), the right one—banded, number 1—MNHN 8281 (formerly 1560), and were collected between 1842 and 1847 in “Nouvelle-Hollande” (New Holland) by Jules Pierre Verreaux (1807–1873) (Roger Bour, in litt.). Verreaux was a famous French biologist, collector of and professional trader in a wide range of natural history specimens and the Muséum d’Histoire Naturelle in Paris was a good client of his. In 1864 he obtained the position of an assistant naturalist there.

Dead animals, here in the form of two Varanus, or a lazy, sun-basking crocodile certainly were ideal objects in the early times of photography, given the required long exposure time. Interestingly enough, this (as far as is known) first photograph of a living reptile was taken during an expedition which was described by Manchip White (1980) as the “world’s first methodically planned and executed safari” while the production of the even earlier photograph of dead reptiles described here directly involved true pioneers of photography and was published in the first book on zoological photography ever issued.

Acknowledgments.—I thank Kraig Adler for comments on the manuscript, Roger Bour for the information on the two Varanus in the MNHN collection, and Jasper Köcke for drawing my attention to the Frith publication containing the crocodile photograph.
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The marsupial frogs (Family Hemiphractidae) from Latin America are some of the most intriguing anuran species known. As their name implies, females of these frogs bear a dorsal pouch in which they carry eggs, tadpoles, and/or froglets. In this family, there are 93 species contained in five genera ranging from Costa Rica through South America to Brazil and northwestern Argentina (Frost 2009). The natural history and reproductive behavior of marsupial frogs is well documented in only a few taxa, mostly through wild-collected specimens brought into captivity at different stages. *Flectonotus pygmaeus*, *Gastrotheca riobambae*, and *G. argenteovirens* were observed in the field and laboratory (Duellman and Maness 1980). The Ecuadorian species *G. riobambae*, has been the most heavily studied by far. This species carries eggs until they develop into tadpoles (taking up to 120 days) that are released into a small body of water (usually a shallow depression or pond). Reproduction in captivity has been reported and has afforded several opportunities for documentation of breeding, gestation, and parturition (Auber-Thomay and Letellier 1986; Boonman 1985; Fitzgerald et al. 1979). Reproduction of *Gastrotheca plumbea* was also observed in the laboratory, including the positioning of eggs in the pouch of the female and birth of fully formed froglets (Auber-Thomay et al. 1986).

Differences in gestation times, fertility, and pouch morphology among taxa have been reviewed along with role of the male in assisting with inserting eggs into the pouch in *F. pygmaeus* and *G. riobambae* (Duellman and Maness 1980).

We recently began working with captive populations of the Horned Marsupial Frog, *Gastrotheca cornuta* (Boulenger 1898; Fig. 1). Historically, this species ranged from Costa Rica to Ecuador; however, in many localities, it has become increasingly rare during the past two decades.

In the field, *G. cornuta* is difficult to encounter. It is thought that this shy species stays high in the canopy of old growth forests, far from anthropogenic disturbance. Juveniles are hardly ever encountered and adults only rarely. In El Valle de Antón, Provincia de Coclé, Panama, we encountered two gravid females (eggs visible through the skin of the abdomen) and one female with eggs inside the pouch in primary forest in close proximity to the Río Maria ca. 1.5 m above the forest floor during June and July 2005. In June 2006, we observed an amplexant pair of *G. cornuta* ca. 10 m above the ground. Newly emerged froglets have not been found to date in this area. However, in early 2006, we encountered two juveniles ca. 2 m above the ground; these may be offspring born in late 2005.

Marsupial frogs exhibit multiple modes of parental care depending on species (Duellman 1970). In some species, such as *G. riobambae*, the female parent carries tadpoles in the pouch until they are released at late stages of growth into a water source. In other species, the female carries and delivers fully developed froglets, as in the case of *G. cornuta*. Considering all species of *Gastrotheca* and *Flectonotus*, there are six morphological pouch types based on size, position, and degree of coverage (del Pino 1980; Mendelson et al. 2007). The evolution of each of the differing types of pouch morphology and reproductive behavior bears further study for contributions to the science of natural history, evolution, and phylogenetics. Application of these findings to captive reproduction will have implications for ex situ conservation and potentially survival of threatened species.

The pouch develops from the skin of the dorsum and can extend nearly up to the back of the skull or even to the lateral lymph spaces. After eggs are inserted into the pouch, usually by the male, the interior skin of the pouch becomes vascularized and in some cases
forms partitions between embryos (del Pino 1980). Species, such as G. cornuta, that undergo direct development of eggs to frogs within a pouch, exhibit an interesting example of a life history completely free of any significant body of water—a method far removed from the plesiomorphic and most common amphibian strategy involving the deposition of eggs directly into water (Wells 2008). Presumably this life history allows species to live under less than favorable environmental conditions with respect to availability of bodies of water, and may reduce exposure of developing offspring to water-borne threats such as predation or disease. Wells (2008) provided a thorough summary of the evolutionary and ecological aspects of this important question, and Todd (2007) proposed an important role of disease and parasites as selective agents in the evolution of alternative reproductive strategies in amphibians.

As the scope of the crisis of global amphibian extinctions becomes clear (Stuart et al. 2004) and the threat of emerging infectious diseases such as amphibian chytridiomycosis become more apparent (Daszak et al. 2003), a collaborative effort of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), Captive Breeding Specialist Group (CBSG), and World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA) has brought about the Amphibian Ark organization (www.amphibianark.org). The Amphibian Ark is dedicated to safeguarding the species that cannot currently be saved in nature; this is accomplished by managed breeding programs to safeguard species while threats can be further mitigated. In some cases, managed populations may serve as a stopgap for many species heading for extinction. The IUCN Amphibian Conservation Action Plan (Gascon et al. 2007) specifically calls for captive breeding and research programs for threatened amphibians. The use of captive breeding programs as a conservation tool is not new and summaries can be found in Zippel et al. (2002) and Gagliardo et al. (2008).

As chytridiomycosis entered the range of G. cornuta (Lips et al. 2006), adversely affecting this and other species (Gagliardo et al. 2008), a conservation breeding program was launched. In 2005, specimens of G. cornuta were legally exported from Panama to the Atlanta Botanical Garden (ABG), and in 2006 similar specimens were collected and moved to the El Valle Amphibian Conservation Center (EVACC) in El Valle de Antón, Panama. Here we present an overview of our program, some interesting behavioral observations (e.g., toe tapping, male and female vocalizations, egg fertilization and deposition), along with husbandry challenges for long-term care in captivity we have encountered.

RESULTS AT THE EL VALLE AMPHIBIAN CONSERVATION CENTER IN PANAMA

Adults at EVACC were maintained in top-opening glass enclosures (60 cm × 30 cm × 40 cm). The substrate consisted of a “false bottom floor” constructed of plastic light diffuser material covered with soft fiberglass screen secured in place with plastic “zip” ties. Non-bleached paper towel was used as covering on the bottom of this false bottom. Potted plants including Philodendron and Heliconia were added along with pieces of cork bark or half-inch PVC for refuge and perching sites. Two 96-watt power compact fluorescent lights provided lighting and the temperature was maintained at 23–25°C. The frogs were misted automatically 10 times daily with filtered water. Males and females were housed separately until breeding attempts were made, at which time a male was introduced in late afternoon to the female’s enclosure. The male vocalized occasionally during the day but mostly in the early evening and throughout the night. All adults were fed katydids (Neoconocephalus saturatus) every other day. Because of observed cannibalism, the males were kept individually until placed with a female. On several occasions we noted that the dominant male would attempt to consume another male. In one case, the whole front limb (all the flesh off the bones) and part of the back (partially) of the less dominant male were partially digested. During this process the less dominant male (attributed to its proclivity to hiding rather than perching in the open) was still alive despite being partially digested by its cage mate.

Information on specimens used in breeding event:

Female Gastrotheca cornuta (EVACC 001-3) was collected from Río María on 17 June 2006 (found in amplexus with a male) and male G. cornuta (EVACC 001-5) collected (also from Río María) on 20 June 2006.

Female history:

On 29 December 2006, the female (EVACC 001-3) was observed to be gravid. Different males (EVACC 001-8, 001-7, and 001-6) were placed with the female individually over the course of several weeks but removed when the male did not amplex the female. On 28 January 2007 amplexus was noted with male EVACC 001-6. On 4 February 2007 the female had eggs in her pouch. Fertiliza-

Fig. 2. Enclosure for maintaining Gastrotheca cornuta. Photo by Robert Hill.
tion was not observed. On the morning (0800 h) of 20 April 2007, froglets (N = 14) were observed in the enclosure along with two incompletely formed young that were apparently aborted.

2008 Breeding event:
21 February: Male *G. cornuta* (EVACC 001-5) placed in tank with resident female (EVACC 001-3).
22 February: Male and female observed in axillary amplexus. Male had all hand digits in axillary position except one (unclear exactly which due to position and ambient light levels), which was on top of female’s front limb.
23 February, 1429–41 h: Male and female observed in axillary amplexus on paper towel at bottom of terrarium. Male arched hind limbs to about a 50° angle while female started to rock (i.e., pushing movement) for about 10 seconds (s). Female maintained front limbs out in front of her, underneath her body (i.e., “praying” position). Male maintained the 50° position for about 5 s, and then settled in so that his legs were held tightly against his body, with his head resting perfectly on top of female’s head.
1441–49 h: Male placed his feet on female’s back and pushed hind limbs in the air in a 50–60° angle. The male inflated himself, and then raised his legs so that his heels were touching, and maintained position for about 10 s. Female remained motionless during this time, until 1449 h when she pushed against the ground with her back limbs, while the male moved his limbs slightly (15° angle).
1450 h: Male inflated and engaged in movement for 45 s.
1510 h: Female’s cloaca reddened and pushed out with male’s movement.
1512 h: Male inflated again and engaged in movement for 4 s.
1514 h: Appeared as if the male’s movements were an attempt to help the female push out eggs. Female’s cloaca tilted upward and aligned with male’s swollen cloaca. No egg was released but male moved his hind leg as if to find an egg.
1519–24 h: Male inflated, then female moved her hind legs to 45°. Male inflated again, and then female’s cloaca could be seen moving in a pulsing manner.
1525–29 h: Female lifted cloaca. Male touched female cloaca (raising hind limbs) with his left toes making sweeping motion on female’s back. Male’s feet (toes) were in the vicinity of female’s pouch. Female then spread her hind limbs a bit farther apart and assumed a broader stance.
1530–34 h: Male inflated, then deflated, and a gel-like substance appeared. It was not clear if this originated from the cloaca or from the skin. It appeared as if the male was glistening first and then after some time it was apparent that the female was coated with this substance as well. The male rubbed substance all over the lower back and pouch of the female with his toes.
1535–54 h: Male began cycles of inflation and deflation. Female moved forward and moved her hind legs; they still maintained a 45° angle but heels were now closer together. Male proceeded to rub the gel-like substance all over the female with his toes.
1555–1601 h: Female rearranged her feet, first right then left in a motion (position) similar to as if preparing to jump. Male and female cloacas aligned with each other. Male continued cycles of inflation and deflation, and continued to spread gel over female’s body with his toes. The side of female glistened with the gel-like substance. Male’s body was also covered by the substance, which did not appear to dry.
1602–05 h: Female’s body was observed to contract. Female pushed forward while the male rose up and down (but did not inflate). Male moved his cloaca into a position directly dorsal to female’s cloaca.
1607–14 h: Female arched body, raising her cloaca above the angle of her feet, and cycles of body contractions were observed. Male continues to inflate and deflate. Male then slid forward, pushing female downward and continued to spread the gel over her.
1617–22 h: Male continued inflating and deflating, and rubbing the gel over female with his feet. Then a round egg could be seen in the aperture of female’s cloaca. Female rearranged her hind legs, and raised her cloaca while male inflated and deflated. Male moved to bring his cloaca in alignment with that of female.
1628 h: Male deflated. Egg inside of female’s cloaca slightly visible as male pushed down. Male moved his toes toward female’s pouch.
1630–53 h: Female’s body continued with cycles of contractions, and male continued to inflate and deflate. The egg could be seen alternately appearing and disappearing at the aperture of female’s cloaca.
1655 h: First egg emerged from female’s cloaca, while her body appeared to be in a strong contraction. Male cradled the egg with his body, and maneuvered it into female’s pouch with his hind toes. Male continued to inflate and deflate.
1701 h: Male pushed down on female. A second egg almost emerged from female’s cloaca.
1706 h: The second egg emerged, and was pushed into the pouch by the male using his feet.
1711 h: Third egg emerged, and male trapped it with his cloaca and pushed it toward the pouch opening. Male used hind legs to position it in female’s pouch.
1719 h: Fourth egg emerged, and male repeated behavior to insert it into female’s pouch. It appeared as if the male’s toes were inserted inside of the pouch, at least dextrally.
1724 h: Male engaged in head bobbing behavior.
1726 h: Fifth egg emerged, and male observed to insert toes into the pouch while inserting the egg.
1729–1834 h: Pair maintained amplexus, male continued to inflate and deflate and began to perform a “rocking” motion, but no additional eggs were produced. The female changed position in minor ways several times before disengaging amplexus.

**Results at the Atlanta Botanical Garden**

As part of a pilot study aimed at learning the logistics of an ex situ response to the rapid spread of the amphibian chytridiomycosis through pristine amphibian populations in Panama, several threatened amphibian taxa were exported to facilities at the ABG and Zoo Atlanta in 2005 (Gagliardo et al. 2008). The original breeding group of six male and two female *G. cornuta* was maintained at the ABG where several breeding events have occurred. Adults were maintained in either 60 × 30 × 60 cm or 60 × 60 × 90 cm front-opening glass enclosures for maintenance (smaller) or breeding (larger). The substrate consisted of a “false
bottom floor” constructed of plastic light diffuser material covered with soft fiberglass screen secured in place with plastic “zip” ties. Potted plants including Philodendron, Heliconia, and Calathea were added for hiding places. Pieces of driftwood or similar twigs were provided for perching sites (Fig. 2). Two 96-watt power compact fluorescent lights provided lighting and the temperature was maintained between 18°C and 27°C. The frogs were misted twice daily with filtered water either through an automated system or by hand sprayer bottle. A 15–20 cm diameter, 6-cm-deep shallow water dish containing smooth river stones was refreshed with clean water daily. The stones afforded a climb-out option for not only the frogs after soaking in water but also food items that happened to fall into the water. Males and females were housed separately until breeding attempts were made, at which time a male was introduced in late afternoon to the larger enclosure containing one female. Male vocalization, a very loud, single note similar to the sound of removing a cork from a bottle, was common in early evening.

The first breeding event occurred in April 2006, less than 48 h after introducing a male into a female’s enclosure. Both individuals were exposed to an imposed “dry season” simulated by six weeks of slightly warmer temperatures and lower humidity achieved by less frequent misting of the enclosure and increasing ambient day time temperatures from 20–25°C. There were no signs of courting or amplexus before the female was discovered in the early morning (0700 h) on the second day after introduction of the male. Fourteen eggs appeared to have been inserted into the female’s pouch (Fig. 3) and there were two infertile eggs found on the surface of a leaf in the tank. The recovered eggs were approximately 1.0 cm in diameter, not unexpected as this species is reported to produce the largest anuran egg known (Duellman and Trueb 1986). Immediately following this breeding event, the male was moved to separate enclosure to reduce stress on the gravid female. Video surveillance of this first attempt did not record any breeding activity but did record evident toe tapping of the female who upon sight of a live, moving cricket (Acheta domestica), became keenly interested in the prey and began to tap and motion with toes of her hind feet. Pedal luring (Bertoluci 2002; Murphy 1976; Radcliffe et al. 1986) and providing a vibrational stimulus resulting in prey movement and ultimately prey detection (Sloggett and Zeilstra 2008) are two hypotheses for toe twitching and toe tapping in anurans. Although toe twitching and tapping are thought to be a common behavior among many frogs and toads (Sloggett and Zeilstra 2008), and have been reported in numerous anuran genera from several different families, including Batrachophrynidae (Radcliffe et al. 1986), Bufonidae (Hagman and Shine 2008; Radcliffe et al. 1986; Sloggett and Zeilstra 2008), Dendrobatidae and Hylidae (Bertoluci 2002), this is the first documentation of pedal luring in the Hemiphractidae.

An additional breeding event occurred in Atlanta in the spring of 2008. On 14 April a male was introduced to an enclosure containing a visibly gravid female. Within 24 h, amplexus was observed, followed overnight by eggs being visible in the pouch. These eggs incubated until 15 June 2008 when thirteen live froglets and two infertile eggs were discovered in the enclosure.

GESTATION, BIRTH, AND HANDLING OF OFFSPRING

Gestation periods ranged from 60–80 days over the course of several breeding events. In the final week before froglets emerged from the pouch, it was possible to see movement of the limbs of the embryos just beneath the skin of the pouch. At both ABG and EVACC, the newly born offspring were approximately 1.0 cm in length and averaged 400 mg in mass (Fig. 4). Upon their birth, offspring were separated into individual enclosures to avoid predation by the female and offered a variety of prey items including fruit flies, houseflies, and small (3–5 mm) crickets. Food items were dusted alternately with vitamins (Men’s Health® multivitamin, once per week) and calcium supplements (RepCal® with Vitamin D3, twice per week).

ABORTION OF DEVELOPING OFFSPRING

Case 1: On 20 June 2007, a gravid female ca. 50 days post-breeding aborted five developing offspring and seven non-developed eggs. There were no outward physical signs of any problems prior to this event. The pouch remained partially inverted for approximately 48 h before repositioning to its normal state (Fig. 5). The developing offspring displayed long, 2–4 cm filamentous gills attached through the skin under the throat (Fig. 6).

Case 2: An abortion of eggs occurred immediately following
a July 2008 breeding event. Although our attempts to record the breeding event via night-vision video were unsuccessful (likely due to disturbance caused by shifting of blinds, camera tape changes, noise, etc.) and we did not capture the breeding event on film, we did observe vocalization by the female that consisted of a single soft “bop” periodically prior to amplexus. This could be an encounter call and although we found this unusual, encounter calls have been documented in other anurans (Quiguango-Ubillús and Coloma 2008). Five eggs were never inserted into the pouch and the seven eggs successfully moved into the pouch were aborted within 72 h. We noted that in contrast to the previous case, the lining of the pouch was not extruded possibly because the pouch never became vascularized. Attempts to artificially incubate five eggs on sterile paper toweling or sphagnum moss failed and the eggs disintegrated within 24 h.

OBSTACLES FOR LONG-TERM CAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Whereas the captive reproduction of Gastrotheca cornuta proved much less difficult than expected, raising offspring has been extremely challenging. Most losses occurred during the first 5–20 weeks after birth. Necropsy results indicated a range of issues including internal parasites (mostly rhabditiform nematodes), squamous metaplasia (“short-tongue syndrome” possibly indicative of Vitamin A deficiency), and signs of metabolic bone disease. Currently, there are 11 captive-born offspring in existence, 6 at EVACC and 5 at ABG. The five frogs at ABG are over one year in age, weigh between 7.1–12.7 grams and have snout–vent lengths (SVL) of 42–55 mm. Specimens appear to be in overall good health, but have grown very slowly and show some slight rear limb deformities possibly attributable to improper vitamin and mineral supplementation, and/or inadequate exposure to UV-B. At EVACC, the offspring are 12–15 months of age and five of the six also exhibit problems consistent with metabolic bone disease and other skeletal deformities. One frog, (a single survivor from a clutch that emerged on 27 May 2008) has received exposure to UV-B radiation (45 minutes daily from an Eiko® 50-watt halogen bulb with lens removed and positioned atop enclosure) and has not developed any obvious skeletal deformities. The slightly deformed animal from the first clutch born in April 2007 actively hunts and is now calling (Fig. 7). Experiments with Vitamin A supplementation and UV-B exposure currently underway are aimed to mitigate these problems. It is crucial to the survival of these colonies to decipher the husbandry issues, raise offspring to adulthood, and produce subsequent generations.
In comparison to other anuran families such as Dendrobatidae and Ranidae, relatively little is known about the natural or captive reproduction of hemiphractine frogs. Mating behavior in captive specimens of Gastrotheca riobambae by Matthews (1957), Deckert (1963), and Hoogmoed (1967), as summarized by Means et al. (2009), was similar to what we have described here, in the male producing a fluid that is rubbed over the posterior area of the female (from cloacal region extending to the anterior limit of the brood pouch) and using his hind legs to insert eggs into the pouch as they are extruded. We speculate that the clear fluid observed by Means et al. (2009) and by us in Panama might have been produced by the male. We should not rule out the possibility that such secretions from the male may contain hormones or other chemicals that stimulate observed contractions in the females. In addition, the vocalization of the female during amplexus remains a mystery. Clearly, these interesting observations should be subject to future investigation.

Along with the actual physical reproductive behavior in hemiphractine frogs such as Flectonotus and Gastrotheca, we should consider how the natural history affects the developmental physiology of these taxa. Some species are known to bask only while incubating embryos, thus exposing themselves to UV-B radiation (Auber-Thomay et al. 1990). Does this suggest that eggs or developing froglets need UVB or heat for proper development? There are also reports of infection of tadpoles by rhabditiform nematodes while incubating inside the pouch (Auber-Thomay et al. 1990).

**WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS OF SUCH PARASITISM IN THE WILD OR CAPTIVITY?**

Over half of the 57 known species of Gastrotheca are exhibiting population declines (www.iucnredlist.org). The IUCN Red List categorizes *G. cornuta* as Endangered, and a more recent prioritization by the Panamanian government and the Amphibian Ark placed it among the top Panamanian species in need of ex situ intervention (Amphibian Ark 2009; IUCN 2009). This highly threatened status warrants continued searches in Panama and elsewhere for additional founder specimens to increase genetic variability of managed colonies. Clearly, the phylogenetically, taxonomically, and physiologically unusual masterpieces that are Gastrotheca (Fig. 9) are worthy of conservation efforts. In cases where threats in nature cannot be mitigated in time, managed ex situ populations may be the only hope for safeguarding these species until such threats are reversed or until other methods for re-establishing these species in nature are developed. Learning more about the complex natural history and physiology will certainly be of great assistance in the future conservation of these and other endangered amphibian species. We also offer that our natural history and behavioral observations presented here are unlikely to have been documented in the wild, thus supporting the claim that captive programs provide real opportunities for basic research (Chiszar et al. 1993), in this case relating to natural history and behavior. As such, these programs function as a crucial component of the “multidisciplinary approaches to conservation” (Gans 1994).
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CAUDATA — SALAMANDERS

**ANEIDES FERREUS** (Clouded Salamander). ARBOREAL ACTIVITY. Aneides ferreus inhabits the forests of western Oregon and extreme northwestern California. Although thought to be primarily terrestrial, A. ferreus has occasionally been found as high as 60 m up in trees (Jones et al. 2005. Amphibians of the Pacific Northwest. Seattle Audubon Society. 227 pp.), and two recent reports suggest that it may be more arboreal than previously believed (Spickler et al. 2006. Herpetol. Conserv. Biol. 1:16–26; Forsman and Swingle 2007. Herpetol. Conserv. Biol. 2:113–118). However, it is difficult to evaluate the amount of arboreal activity by this species because almost all sampling efforts have been focused on terrestrial habitats.

On 23 May 2008 near the Coquille River in southwest Oregon we observed two adult A. ferreus in a cavity 75 m above ground in a Douglas-fir tree (Pseudotsuga menziesii) that was 78 m tall and 195 cm diameter at breast height (43.1797°N, 123.8122°W; WGS 84). Exposed when a limb broke off, the small cavity in the trunk contained copious amounts of Red Tree Vole (Arborimus longicaudus) fecal pellets. Two days later we photographed what we presumed to be the same two salamanders in the cavity (Fig. 1). Based on the shape of their heads, we suspected they were a male and female. These observations add to the increasing evidence that A. ferreus is more active in the canopy of Douglas-
fir forests than is generally known and also support the hypothesis that nests of arboreal rodents may be important microhabitats for *A. ferreus* (Spickler et al. 2006, *op. cit.*; Forsman and Swingle 2007, *op. cit.*). It remains unknown if use of the forest canopy by *A. ferreus* is restricted to foraging and shelter, or includes occasional breeding as well.
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**CRYPTOB RANCHUS ALLEGANIENSIS ALLEGANIENSIS** (Eastern Hellbender). **SECRETION PRODUCTION.** Of the potential antipredator mechanisms exhibited by amphibians, noxious skin secretions are considered the most effective against potential predators (Brodie et al. 1979, Copeia 1979:270-274). Many species produce toxic and irritating skin secretions as adults, but the larvae of many salamanders are palatable to various predators because toxic and distasteful secretions generally do not develop until after metamorphosis (Formanowicz and Brodie 1982, Copeia 1982:91–97).

Adult *Cryptobranchus alleganiensis* are large amphibians (60+ cm) and probably have few predators. When stressed or being captured, adult hellbenders often produce a milky secretion that is bitter and distasteful when applied to the tongue (Brodie 1971, Herpetol. Rev. 3:8), and the secretion may be unpalatable to predators. Larval hellbenders hatch between 23 and 30 mm total length and metamorphose 1.5–2 yrs after hatching, and are probably highly vulnerable to predation due to their small size and slow developmental rate (Nickerson and Mays 1973, *The Hellbenders, Milwaukee Public Museum, Wisconsin.* 106 pp.). It is unknown when the ability to produce secretion develops in hellbenders. In Oct–Nov 2007 several Eastern Hellbender egg clutches were collected in Missouri for captive rearing. On 29 April 2008 at 0900 h, 12 larval hellbenders (25 weeks post hatching, mean TL ± SE = 91.75 ± 1.8 mm) were collected in a small net for transport to a separate container for behavioral observations. The larvae immediately produced copious amounts of a secretion that appears to have two components. The first component was water-soluble and had a “foamy soap” appearance (Fig. 1). The second component was very sticky and was not soluble in water (Fig. 1); it remained adhered to the individual hellbenders for up to 48 h. Both components are similar in appearance to those produced by adult hellbenders (Nickerson and Mays 1973, *op. cit.*).

Immediately after secretion production, BGG put a small amount of the foamy secretion on his tongue and experienced a strong bitter sensation lasting for ca. 5 sec. The sensation was not accompanied with burning or numbness. The larval secretion tasted very similar to that produced by adult hellbenders.

Our observations suggest that, unlike most salamanders with aquatic larvae, larval hellbenders are capable of producing noxious skin secretions that might function to deter potential predators.
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SIREN INTERMEDIA (Lesser Siren). Drought Survival. Adult sirens are able to survive prolonged exposure to drought conditions with larger animals being more successful at surviving laboratory-induced aestivation than smaller conspecifics (Gehlbach et al. 1973. Am. Midl. Nat. 89:455–463; Etheridge 1990. Herpetologica 46:407–414). Larger Greater Sirens (Siren lacertina) can possibly survive droughts for 2–3 years and very small sirens (~1 g) are likely able to survive droughts of 146 days (Etheridge 1990, op. cit.). Despite the numerous reports of aestivating sirens in the literature, it remains unknown whether small, young of the year sirens can survive short drought conditions under field conditions. In 2007, many isolated wetlands on the Savannah River Site in Barnwell County, South Carolina, USA, dried completely as a result of a severe drought in the southeastern United States. Even some of the most permanent wetlands on the site dried completely for the first time in nearly 10 years. One of these semi-permanent wetlands, Craig’s Pond, is a 72.8-ha Carolina Bay wetland that is the largest natural bay on site. We visited Craig’s Pond on 23 Feb 2008 from 2100–2300 h after a series of heavy rains passed through the area. There was a film of dried organic matter floating on the surface of the bay that was presumably formed when the bay dried, the water was uncharacteristically clear and the bottom of the wetland was still comprised of cracked mud and (now submerged) green terrestrial grasses (indicating that the water in the wetland was mostly, if not all, from recent rainwater). During this time, we saw a few Siren intermedia moving along the wetland bottom. Judging from Gehlbach and Kennedy’s (1978. Southwest. Nat. 23:423–429) estimates of year class data, these were likely individuals that would have hatched in 2006 (~20 g). On a subsequent visit on 12 March 2008 from 2100–2300 h we captured two S. intermedia that were yearlings from 2007 (~5 g) and sighted several more small sirens. The presence of the two smallest size classes from the previous year’s population in Craig’s Pond indicates that even the smallest S. intermedia were able to successfully survive a short term wetland dry down from ca. November 2007 to February 2008. Additionally, many fish were present in Craig’s Pond prior to the drought, including Redfin Pickerel (Esox americanus), and cyprinids. Despite several person-hours of searching on both nights, no fish were seen. This suggests that no temporary waterways connected Craig’s Pond with other water sources where fish or sirens may have persisted. Consequently, it is likely that the small sirens we observed in Craig’s Pond were in fact drought survivors and not dispersers from connected waterways. To our knowledge, this is the first record of juvenile sirens surviving drought conditions in the field.

We thank John Maerz and the University of Georgia’s Herpetology class of 2007. Salamanders were captured under scientific research permit 56-2003 from the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources. Funding for this research was provided by the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory under Financial Assistance Award DE-FC09-96SR18-546 between the University of Georgia and the U.S. Department of Energy.
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AGALYCHNIS CALLIDRYS (Red-eyed Treefrog). Egg Predation. Red-eyed Treefrogs are found in low elevation tropical forests from the southern Yucatan through Panama. Females deposit clutches of eggs on leaves that overhang ponds, and eggs spontaneously hatch after 5–8 days (Savage 2002. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Costa Rica: A Herpetofauna Between Two Worlds).

While conducting field work in the Researcher’s Swamp at La Selva Biological Station near Puerto Viejo de Sarapiqui, Costa Rica, we observed a spider occupying a territory (i.e., seen on consecutive days within a limited area) on a leaf that contained a clutch of *A. callidryas* eggs (26 June–1 July 2008). On the fourth day after oviposition, the spider appeared to defend the clutch by moving rapidly into a position on top of the eggs as we approached. The following evening (28 June, ca. 2200 h), we witnessed the spider consuming one of the embryos from the egg clutch (Fig. 1). Although vibrational cues are known to trigger hatching in *A. callidryas* eggs (Savage 2002, op. cit.; Warkentin 2005. Anim. Behav. 70:59–71), none of the eggs hatched during this predation event. Before the onset of spontaneous hatching (1 July), we collected and preserved the spider, and it was later identified as a juvenile *Cupiennius getazi* (Family Ctenidae).

This is the first account of spider predation on eggs of *A. callidryas*, and to our knowledge, the only account of a spider consuming amphibian eggs. The spider was deposited at the La Selva Biological Station Museum (collection code MG.08.06; specimen LS814539). We thank Carlos Viquez (INBio, Costa Rica) for identifying the spider.
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**BUFO MELANOSTICUS** (Asian Common Toad). RECORD SIZE. *Bufo melanosticus* is known to be the largest toad in South China, attaining an SL of “about 10 cm” (Karsen et al. 1998. Hong Kong Amphibians and Reptiles, Provisional Urban Council, Hong Kong, China). The largest published record available is 106 mm SL (Fei [ed.] 1999. Atlas of Amphibians of China, Henan Science and Technology Press, Zhengzhou, China). On 11 Aug 2008, at night after a rain at Wuzhi Shan (Five Finger Mountain) National Nature Reserve (18.83333°N, 109.55°E; ca. 900 m elev., WGS 84), Hainan Island (Province), we captured a female toad of great size. Pressed flat on a table, snout tip against the wall, she measured 115 mm SL (relaxed view: Fig. 1). Identity of the species, gender, and measurement were verified by James Lazell. We had no collecting permit and released this toad to grow even larger. The photograph is accessioned at the Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ K-959). Our field work was sponsored in part by the Falconwood Foundation and by the grant from the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 06025054). We thank J. Rosado and J. Lazell, MCZ, for photo accession assistance.
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**CENTROLENE PROSoblepon** (Glass Frog). REPRODUCTION. *Centrolene prosoblepon* is a neotropical frog ranging from Honduras to Ecuador (Frost 2008. Amphibian Species of the World, ver. 5.3. <http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html> Accessed 14 Aug 2008). There are no data available on reproductive characteristics of this species including the number of the egg per clutch, egg size, and color of embryos at an early stage of development, i.e., less than Gosner Stage 10 (Gosner 1960. Herpetologica 16:183–190). Herein, we report this information collected near Falan (5.11666°N, 74.96666°W; WGS 84), Tolima, Colombia. Between November 2007 and May 2008, we found more than 200 egg clutches of *C. prosoblepon* laid on the top of leaves over a stream along a 600 m transect. Of these, we collected data from 40 clutches. We recorded an average of 32 eggs per clutch...
Between November 2007 and March 2008, we found 20 different egg clutches of *Centrolene prosoblepon* infected by fungus (Fig. 1) near Falan, Tolima, Colombia (5.11666°N, 74.96666°W; WGS 84). We recorded between 25 and 35 dead embryos per clutch. We collected some of these clutches and transported them to the University of Tolima where the fungus was identified as *Saprolegnia* sp. Thus, mortality of embryos from fungal pathogens other than *B. dendrobatidis* may contribute to the global amphibian population decline.

We thank Oscar Cardozo and Gloria Palma for the identification of the fungus.
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### CENTROLENE PROSOBLEPON (Glass Frog). FUNGAL INFECTION.

Amphibian decline is a global phenomenon caused by multiple factors (Stuart et al. 2004. Science 306:1783–1786). Some declines have been attributed to the infectious disease caused by the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, which is found in the oral disc of larvae and the skin of adults (Zellmer and Richards 2008. Herpetol. Rev. 39:196–199; Zippel and Tabaza 2008. Herpetol. Rev. 39:192–193). It is less detectable in newly metamorphosed frogs and may not be reliably detected in some species until two to three weeks after metamorphosis (Chestnut et al. 2008. Herpetol. Rev. 39:192–193). During the peak of the dry season during February 2004, we visited Palo Verde dry forest to observe the diurnal and nocturnal activity of *D. microcephalus* around a waterhole, and to observe behavior that might suggest a period of aestivation for this species. During the December–May dry season (Hartshorn 1983. *In D. Janzen [ed.], Costa Rican Natural History*, pp. 118–157. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois), the reduction of water bodies in this area leaves widely scattered pools that become very important for water-dependant organisms (Vaughan et al. 1997. Int. J. Trop. Dis. 45:1679–1682). We observed *D. microcephalus* perching between 1730 h and 0530 h, in aggregations of individuals in *Ardisia revoluta* shrubs around the waterhole. At all times the individuals stayed motionless and did not emit vocalizations or show conspicuous foraging activity. Between 0530 h and 1730 h, the individuals hid under the leaf-litter and remained motionless and silent as well. Such inactivity was constant throughout the peak of the dry season. Variation in water availability has been shown to induce behavioural responses in anurans to control evaporative water loss. This implies that amphibians respond to water shortage by adopting water-conserving postures (Pough et al. 1983. Ecology 64:244–252; Storey 2002. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A 133:733–754). Hence, we suggest that *D. microcephalus* may be aestivating through the adoption of water-conserving postures around the waterhole, a limited resource during the driest months of the year.

Moreover, we observed that most individuals perched in the interior of the crowns of *A. revoluta*. We created categories to study the location of these perches to determine if individuals preferred perching in the interior of the crowns. We suggest that selecting perches that are less exposed in the vegetation might

---

**Fig. 1.** Embryos of *Centrolene prosoblepon* infected by *Saprolegnia* sp.
serve as a strategy to avoid water loss. Our classification was based on two parameters: height and position of the perch in the crown of the shrub. Perch height was described in terms of three categories: Higher stratum (Hstr, the higher third of the crown), Middle stratum (Mstr, the intermediate third of the crown), and Lower stratum (Lstr, the lower third of the crown). Perch position was also placed in one of three categories: Periphery Zone (the external third of the crown, using the trunk as reference), Middle zone (the third that is found between the periphery and the internal part of the crown), and Inner zone (the third that is located in the internal part of the crown, next to the trunk). We expect that most individuals will select perches in the inner zone and the middle stratum.

Most individuals selected perches in the interior part (Middle stratum and Inner Zone) of the crown of A. revoluta (Higher stratum = 16 individuals, Middle stratum = 52 individuals, Lstr = 33 individuals; \( \chi^2 = 19.26, df = 1, p < 0.05 \) (Periphery zone = 6 individuals, Middle zone = 47 individuals, Inner zone = 48 individuals; \( \chi^2 = 554, df = 1, p < 0.05 \)). Additionally, we used ANOVA to determine there was no relation between body size and perch selection, both in perch height (\( F_{2,98} = 0.65, p = 0.52 \)) and perch position (\( F_{2,98} = 0.56, p = 0.57 \)). This suggests that there is no evidence for size-mediated intraspecific competition for more protected perches, which may be because aestivation is characterized by a general lack of activity that could preclude active selection and defence of desirable perches.

We thank the OTS Ecology and Conservation course, 2004, where most data were collected and analyzed. We also thank James I. Watling for valuable comments on the manuscript.
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**Eleutherodactylus altamazonicus (NCN). Reproduction.** *Eleutherodactylus* species undergo direct development, forgoing an aquatic larval stage. It is generally assumed that species deposit eggs in trees within cavities or bromeliads, or on the ground amid moisture-retaining leaf litter or under rotting logs. However, records of oviposition by most species of *Eleutherodactylus* are rare.

On 26 May 2005, at 0830h we encountered a male (22.3 mm SVL, 0.66 g) and female (34.5 mm SVL, 2.03 g) *E. altamazonicus* in amplexus at the base of a large tree in primary, terra firma forest within Yasuní National Park in Ecuador (Provincia de Orellana). The pair was positioned over a small depression in the ground. The cavity was circular in shape, devoid of leaf litter, and roughly 3 cm in diameter and 1 cm deep. The pair was captured, placed together in a ziplock bag and taken back to the laboratory so measurements could be taken. Overnight, the female deposited 38 unpigmented eggs ca. 3.5 mm in diameter inside the bag. The eggs were returned to the depression from which the frogs were originally taken the next day. The study period ended 4 days after the pair was found. Therefore, we were not able to observe whether the eggs hatched. On 27 May, we encountered a second gravid *E. altamazonicus* female (32.1 mm SVL, 2.78 g) less than 100 m from the spot the first two individuals were encountered. These observations support previous suggestions that the beginning of the rainy season marks the onset of breeding in this species (Duellman 1978. Misc. Publ. Univ. Kansas, Mus. Nat. Hist. 65:1–352).

To our knowledge, only three clutches have been previously described for *E. altamazonicus*. The clutch size reported here is much greater and the eggs larger than those clutches (mean number of eggs in other reported clutches = 18.7, mean size = 2.8 mm) (Duellman 1978, op. cit.). This difference may be attributable to the large size of the female or potential geographic variation in reproduction in this species.

We thank the staff at Yasuni Research Station for logistical support and Amo Enomenga for field assistance. This observation was made during dissertation research supported by grants from the Conservation, Food and Health Foundation and the Louisiana Governor’s Office of Environmental Education.
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In contrast to these observations, I encountered two *H. avivoca* in Johnson County, Illinois, USA, away from breeding sites. I found an adult female on 8 May 2007 perched 1.2 m above the ground on a *Lonicerma maackii* within a narrow strip of forest between a shrubby fallow field and a pasture (37.372778°N, 88.975°W). The female was 440 m west of the nearest *H. avivoca* breeding chorus located in June 2008 (37.3725°N, 88.97°W). On 20 May 2008, I heard a male *H. avivoca* diurnally vocalize from *Acer saccharinum*-dominated riparian forest (37.326003°N, 88.922953°W) 695 m from the nearest breeding site (37.332222°N, 88.921667°W).

The congener, *H. versicolor* and *H. chrysoscelis* are known to make long-distance movements from breeding sites. Johnson et al. (2007. Biol. Cons. 140:250–258) followed a radio-tagged *H. versicolor* 271 m from its breeding site and Ritke et al. (1991. J. Herpetol. 25:123–125) documented movement of *H. chrysoscelis* up to 630 m between breeding sites. My observations indicate that *H. avivoca* is also capable of long-distance movements, suggesting that terrestrial habitat might provide essential resources outside the breeding season. Long-distance movements by *H. avivoca* might also allow exchange of individuals among disparate breeding choruses. This is especially important in southern Illinois where breeding locations of this state-threatened species are often separated by considerable distances.
I thank John Petzing and Floyd Scott for providing copies of difficult-to-procure literature, and the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board for supporting Bird-Voiced Treefrog surveys.
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HYLA SQUIRELLA (Squirrel Treefrog). REPRODUCTION.

I caught 10 female and 10 male *H. squirella* on 13 July 2005 from a small pond on the Savannah River Site in Barnwell County, South Carolina, USA. When captured, females were not in amplexus and all appeared to be gravid. Individuals were brought back to the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory (SREL) where males and females were paired in plastic containers (20.3 × 7.6 × 7.6 cm) with pond water. Five of the females oviposited within 36 hours of capture, but one clutch was excessively disturbed by the adults preventing an accurate count of the eggs. The four remaining clutches had a mean of 1511 eggs. This mean clutch size is considerably greater than those previously described. The snout-vent length (SVL) for three individuals was 32.0, 32.5, and 35.5 mm and they oviposited 1277, 1392, and 1592 eggs. One individual escaped prior to measurement. Although the sample size is small, clutch size appears positively correlated with female body size and warrants further investigation.

I thank Tom Luhring and Rachel Mahan for help catching animals and SREL for use of their facilities.
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HYPSIBOAS ALBOMARGINATUS (White-edged Treefrog). PREDATION.
Amphibians are frequently preyed upon by many types of predators, from carnivorous plants to large vertebrates. They provide a food source for many snake species and complement the diet of birds and mammals (Aucone and Card 2002. Herpetol. Rev. 33:48; Haddad et al. 2008. Atlantic Forest Amphibians. Neotropaica, São Paulo, 243 pp.). *Hypsiboas albomarginatus* is widely distributed in Brazil, occurring in the Atlantic Forest from Rio Grande do Norte to Santa Catarina (Lutz 1973. Brazilian Species of *Hyla*. University of Texas, Austin). Reproduction occurs throughout the year in temporary ponds near the forest. During the day, individuals are observed sleeping in bromeliads or shrubs next to the breeding site or are found in trees at more distant sites. The Black Tufted-ear Marmoset, *Callithrix penicillata*, ranges from southeastern Maranhão and Piauí state, throughout most of Bahia, Minas Gerais, and Goiás state (Rylands et al. 1996. In Norconk et al. [eds.], Primates of the Atlantic Forest: Origin, Distributions, Endemism, and Communities, pp. 21–51. Plenum Press, New York). *Callithrix penicillata* is adapted to forest patches, gallery forests, scrub forests, and degraded forests in the Cerrado. This marmoset is omnivorous, feeding mainly on gums, fruits, arthropods, bird eggs, and small vertebrates. Herein, we report predation of an adult of *H. albomarginatus* by *C. penicillata* at Parque Estadual da Ilha Anchieta (23.540889°S, 45.065861°W; WGS 84), Ubatuba municipality, São Paulo state, southeastern Brazil. This monkey is an exotic species that was introduced in 1983 by the Fundação Parque Zoológico de São Paulo. Currently, *C. penicillata* occurs in high abundance on Ilha Anchieta and can severely affect the native fauna. In March 2007, an adult *C. penicillata* was observed eating an adult *H. albomarginatus* in a tree 2 m above ground. While holding the treefrog by its abdomen, the marmoset bit its head, and after eating the head, ate the forelimbs and the abdomen. The treefrog might have been sleeping when attacked. *Hypsiboas albomarginatus* has not been reported previously as prey for *C. penicillata*, since those animals did not occur in sympatry.
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The stomach contents of a Barn Owl (*Tyto alba*, MBML 7380 [Museu de Biologia Prof. Mello Leitão]) were examined on 25 June 2008, and the almost intact legs and sacral region of *L. ocellatus* were found; only the forearms and head were digested. The Barn Owl was run over at km 53 of the Rodovia do Sol, a highway located at Municipality of Guarapari (20.6666667°S, 40.4975°W), State of Espírito Santo, Brazil, and was collected on 26 Oct 2007 at 2325 h. The Barn Owl is nocturnal and is found in a variety of habitats, including open areas and human modified environments (Sick 1999. Ornitológia Brasileira. Ed. Nova Fronteira, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). Its diet is composed mainly of small mammals and invertebrates. Amphibians are also found, but in small quantities (Motta-Junior 2006. Rev. Brasil. Ornitol. 14[4]:359–377; Roda 2006. Rev. Brasil. Ornitol. 14[4]:449–452). This is the first report of *L. ocellatus* in the diet of *T. alba*. We
thank Rodosol S.A. for donation of material to the Museu Prof. Mello Leitão.
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The territorial behavior of *O. pumilio* includes vocalizations (Bunnell 1973. Copeia 1973[2]:273–284) and direct resident-intruder pinning, chasing, grappling, and tracking, among other agonistic behaviors. Postures such as body elevation using the forelimbs and workplace behavior are also agonistic behaviors present in male-male interactions. Resident frogs usually remove the intruders, displaying greater dominance (Baugh and Forester 1994. Behavior 131[3–4]:207–224). Savage (2002, op. cit.) described a resident-intruder encounter, in which both males wrestle facing one another, and seizing each other’s forelimbs. Generally the resident pins the intruder and the latter is released from the territory.

On 15 July 2003, we observed variations in the described behavior of *O. pumilio* at El Surá Trail in La Selva Biological Station (10.433°N, 83.983°S). We observed a nocturnal fight starting at 2008 h and finishing at 2143 h. The encounter started as both individuals were emitting vocalizations, an unexpected behavior since this species is regarded as diurnal. The encounter occurred as one individual (resident and intruder could not be identified) approached his opponent with several head bashes, making the latter fall on his back. This agonistic behavior has not been described previously. It consisted of both individuals facing each other a few centimeters away and abruptly colliding their heads without grasping each other’s limbs. Subsequent to the attack, the offended individual bounced back and their heads collided again. A few minutes later, the individuals assumed a position that resembled an amplexus, eventually with one individual’s pelvic region joined with the other’s head. Both emitted vocalizations very different from those emitted against intruders during the day. Next, the individuals performed occasional leaps, in which one individual jumped from a perch to make the other fall on his back. The fight occurred intermittently as both males ceased the aggressions erratically and after a few minutes returned to the described behavior. At 2143 h rain interrupted the encounter. After three minutes of inactivity, one individual emitted a loud vocalization from a 1 m perch. His opponent, presumably the intruder, did not respond and abandoned the territory. All agonistic interactions occurred in a 1.5 × 1.5 m leaf-litter plot.

The described interactions show behavior variations from those described in earlier studies. Hence, there may be important variations in activity patterns, as well as in resident-intruder interactions during agonistic encounters.
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On 02 Feb 2006 an adult male *P. perezi* with a supernumerary toe was found in an eutrophic permanent pond from Central Spain (vicinity of Sieteiglesias de Tormes, province of Salamanca; 40.7288261°N, 5.6207972°W; 820 m elev.). The animal displayed another well-developed toe on the right hand (polydactyly; Meteyer 2005. Field Guide to Malformations of Frogs and Toads with Radiographic Interpretations. Biol. Sci. Rep. USGS/BRD/BSR-2000-0005. U.S. Geological Survey, Madison, Wisconsin. 18 pp.), between the fourth and fifth toe of a normal hand. After releasing, this frog was not recaptured in consecutive surveys.

Between 2001 and 2008 we monitored the amphibian population of this area, mainly in eutrophic ponds and recorded ca. 350 *P. perezi*. Only this one individual had any deformity (0.29% of the population) indicating this was an isolated case.

This malformation has not been previously described in this species. A high incidence of teratological cases was reported in an introduced population of the species in the Canary Islands (Luis and Báez 1987. Vieraea 17:295–296), however, polydactyly was not reported in any individuals.

RANA CLAMITANS (Green Frog). COLORATION. Herein I describe an unusual color pattern in a *Rana clamitans* that was found at the Edmund Niles Huyck Preserve in Rensselaerville, Albany County, New York, USA. Rather than the characteristic combination of brown and olive green, this individual displayed brilliant yellow across much of its dorsum. The abnormal frog (47.6 mm SVL) was found during Sept 2007, along a spring-fed stream (42.52545°N, 74.159433°W). The front and back legs were more typical *R. clamitans* coloration, as was a large spot in the center of the animal’s back (Fig. 1). Although most *R. clamitans* have a speckled venter, this individual had a creamy yellow underside, free of spots. Blue morphs of this species have been reported throughout New York State (Gibbs et al. 2007. Amphibians and Reptiles of New York State: Identification, Natural History, and Conservation. Oxford University Press, New York). Berns and Narayan (1990. J. Morphol. 132:169–180) determined that the blue morphs have reduced carotenoids and that xanthophores are absent or lacking carotenoid vesicles. The underlying mechanisms that led to the yellow coloration of the individual described here is unknown, as it is the first description of such coloration in New York State. It is unclear whether the morph seen here suffers from the loss or under expression of certain pigments.

I thank P. Ducey, A. Breisch, and J. Gibbs for their assistance in preparing this note.
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RANA DRAYTONII (California Red-legged Frog). PREY. On 19 June 2004 in a large cattle pond in Morgan Territory Regional Preserve, Alameda County, California, USA (37.82°N, 121.79°W), I observed an adult *Rana draytonii* (ca. 95 mm SVL) eat a Western Toad (*Bufo boreas*) tadpole. It was unclear if the frog simply snapped at the motion of the tadpole which had swam underneath the frog’s chin, or had deliberately sought out the tadpole.

I returned to the pond five days later and observed 10 adult *R. draytonii* sitting in the shallows at the edge of the pond in an area with large numbers of *B. boreas* tadpoles. In 30 min I observed three instances of *R. draytonii* eating *B. boreas* tadpoles. One adult frog was observed twice in that time eating toad tadpoles. A second adult frog was observed jumping forward into an aggregation of tadpoles, using its front feet to help push tadpoles into its mouth. The frog paused with the tail of a toad tadpole hanging out of its mouth, and then swallowed. There was no indication in any of these instances that the tadpoles were in any way unpalatable or distasteful. Since *R. draytonii* and *B. boreas* often share ponds, predation by *R. draytonii* on bufonid tadpoles may not be an uncommon event. Because *R. draytonii* is only recently recognized as a full species (Schaffer et al. 2004. Mol. Ecol. 13:2667–2677) there is limited dietary information available for the species (Hayes and Tennant 1985. Southwest. Nat. 30:601–605; Hayes and Jennings 2006. Herpetol. Rev. 37:449).

This report points to a mystery. Given that ranid frogs and *Bufo* often share the same ponds, and *Bufo* tadpoles are potentially an abundant source of food at certain times, why are there not more reports of ranid frogs eating *Bufo* tadpoles? Bufonid tadpoles contain skin chemicals that make them unpalatable to at least some predators (Brodie et al. 1978. Herpetologica 43:369–373). However, a recent review of tadpole palatability experiments concluded that *Bufo* tadpoles are rarely unpalatable to predators, and no more so than other families of anurans (Gunzburger and Travis 2005. J. Herpetol. 39:547–571). A review of the predation sections of the natural history accounts for North American *Bufo* in Lannoo [ed.] (2005. Amphibian Declines: Conservation Status of United States Species. Univ. California Press, Berkeley. 1094 pp.) found only one report of ranid frog predation on *Bufo* tadpoles, that of Mountain Yellow-legged Frog (*Rana muscosa*) consuming Yosemite Toad (*Bufo canorus*) tadpoles (Mullally 1953. Copeia 1953[3]:182–183), and only a single other report of an anuran predator on *Bufo* tadpoles—that of Great Plains Toad tadpoles (*Bufo cognatus*) being eaten by Mexican Spadefoot tadpoles (*Spea multiplicata*) (Bragg 1940 Am. Nat. 74:322–349). Pearl and Hayes (2002 Am. Midl. Nat. 147:145–152) observation of Oregon Spotted Frogs (*R. pretiosa*) frequently eating recently metamorphosed *B. boreas* appears to be the only report in the literature of an anuran predator on *B. boreas*.
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RANA PICTURATA (Yellow-spotted Frog). PREDATION. Among invertebrates, spiders are one of the most important predators of amphibians (Wells 2007. The Ecology and Behavior of Amphibians. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois. 647 pp.). Predation of spiders on amphibians has often been reported (Duryea...
Tree frogs of the genus *Rhacophorus* are distributed from India to Japan, the Indomalayan archipelago, and the Philippines. Due to their arboreal lifestyle, most species are seldom encountered and there is little information available regarding their natural history. *Rhacophorus dulitensis* is endemic to Borneo (Harvey et al. 2002. Herpetol. Monogr. 16:46–92), where it occurs in primary and old secondary forests. Herein, I report predation on this species by the tree snake, Kopstein’s Bronzeback (*Dendrelaphis kopsteini*).

On 12 Dec 2007 between 1330 and 1355 h I observed an adult *R. dulitensis* being preyed upon by a subadult *D. kopsteini* (total length ca. 70 cm) in the top of a medium-sized tree, about seven meters above the ground in the alluvial forest near the headquarters of Gunung Mulu National Park, Sarawak, East Malaysia (Borneo). When the observation started the snake had already grabbed the frog by one of its hind limbs. After a few minutes it maneuvered the frog in its mouth with chewing motions, until the posterior part of the frog’s trunk was between its jaws. Then it began to devour the frog (Fig. 1). The frog remained alive at least until it was completely swallowed.

I thank the Sarawak Forest Department for permission to conduct research in Gunung Mulu National Park. Field work was supported by a grant from the German Academic Exchange Service et al. 2008. *Herpetol. Rev.* 39:209–210), but records from Southeast Asia are few, probably due to paucity of natural history surveys in the region. We observed a huntsman spider (*Heteropoda* sp.) preying on an adult male *Rana picturata* (SVL 39.1 mm) in Takah Selow Waterfall, Selai, in the Endau-Rompin National Park, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia (2.4427778°N, 103.2419444°E, 111 m elev.) at 2215 h on 2 Aug 2008. *Rana picturata* is common in this area, and males were found calling perched on stones, fallen wood, or leaves of shrubs close to stream edges. The frog was found dead, wrapped up in the spider’s thread (Fig. 1), on the side of vertical dead trunk (3.5 cm diam.). It was located ca. 1.5 m above the surface of a rocky stream. The spider ran away when disturbed, leaving the dead frog behind. We stopped observation to continue our amphibian survey, but when we returned the spider was found feeding on the frog (Fig. 1). We collected the spider and the frog for further examination. Like members of other genera in the family Heteropodidae, *Heteropoda* do not build webs and are ambush predators, killing their prey by injection of venom. The other genus within the family reported to have preyed upon amphibians is *Olios* (giant crab spider) from Puerto Rico (Formanowicz et al. 1981. *Herpetologica* 37[3]:125–129). The spider was retained by H. Ono, Natural Science Museum, Tokyo, for identification.

Field work was conducted with permission of the Economical Planning Unit (EPU), and financed by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS: No. 20405013) and by Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia to MM. We are grateful to Yong Hoi Sen (University of Malaya) and H. Ono for help in identification of the spider.
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**Fig. 1.** Right: A dead adult male *Rana picturata* wrapped up by spider’s thread. Left: Huntsman spider (*Heteropoda* sp.) feeding on *Rana picturata*.

**Fig. 1.** Predation on *Rhacophorus dulitensis* by *Dendrelaphis kopsteini*. **COLOR PUBLICATION COURTESY OF RONALD A. JAVITCH**

---

**RACOPHORUS DULITENSIS** (Jade Tree Frog). **PREDATION.** Tree frogs of the genus *Rhacophorus* are distributed from India to Japan, the Indomalayan archipelago, and the Philippines. Due to their arboreal lifestyle, most species are seldom encountered and there is little information available regarding their natural history. *Rhacophorus dulitensis* is endemic to Borneo (Harvey et al. 2002. *Herpetol. Monogr.* 16:46–92), where it occurs in primary and old secondary forests. Herein, I report predation on this species by the tree snake, Kopstein’s Bronzeback (*Dendrelaphis kopsteini*).
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**RHACOPHORUS GAUNI** (Short-nosed Tree Frog). **EGG MORTALITY.** To prevent predation by aquatic predators, many frog species do not place their eggs directly in the water but deposit them in foam nests either floating on the water’s surface or attached to vegetation above the water. Outside the water, however, frog eggs are exposed to a large number of invertebrate and vertebrate predators (Wells 2007. The Ecology and Behavior of Amphibians. University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London. 1148 pp.). Fly larvae have been reported to be very destructive on these foam nests. Investigations in Central and South America, Australia, and Taiwan have shown that in foam nests of particular frog species the prevalence of fly larvae infestation and the mortality caused by it can be very high (Wells 2007, op. cit.). Herein I report predation by fly larvae on the eggs of *Rhacophorus gauni*. All Bornean members of the genus *Rhacophorus* lay their eggs in foam nests attached to leaves overhanging water (Malkmus et al. 2002. Amphibians and Reptiles of Mount Kinabalu [North Borneo]. Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein. 424 pp.), apart from *R. kajau* which attaches its eggs directly to leaves without producing a foam nest (pers. obs.). So far, there have been no reports of predation on eggs of Bornean *Rhacophorus*.

Observations were made in Gunung Mulu National Park, Sarawak, East Malaysia (Borneo). Adult *R. gauni* were found in abundance along sections of two rivers, Sungai Melinau near Camp Five and Sungai Melinau Paku near Deer Cave. Frogs were sitting on leaves 2–6 m above ground. Calling males and recently produced foam nests were regularly observed from October 2007 to January 2008, indicating that breeding is prolonged and perhaps occurs throughout the year. Two foam nests sampled on 7 Oct 2007 at Sungai Melinau Paku and on 4 Dec 2007 at Sungai Melinau contained fully developed tadpoles, numbering 9 and 13. There were no signs of infestation by parasites or predators. On 7 Jan 2008, I found two foam nests at the Sungai Melinau Paku site. In both, fly larvae (Diptera) were visible from the outside. Closer examination revealed that the nests were heavily infested with fly larvae (Fig. 1). No living frog embryos, but only the remains of undeveloped eggs were found within the nests. According to these preliminary observations, the prevalence of fly larvae infestation seems to be moderate in foam nests of *R. gauni*. Mortality of eggs, however, appears to be absolute in infested nests.

I thank the Sarawak Forest Department for permission to conduct research in Gunung Mulu National Park. Field work was supported by a grant from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD).
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**CROCODYLIA — CROCODILIANS**

**CROCODYLUS SIAMENSIS** (Siamese Crocodile). **DIET.** Few published observations are available on the diet, feeding, or predatory habits of *Crocodylus siamensis*, among the most critically endangered and least known of crocodilians (Ross [ed.] 1998. Crocodiles. Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan. 2nd ed. IUCN, Gland. 96 pp.). A study of 291 fecal samples from Cambodia provides the only published dietary data for *C. siamensis*, and which recorded the remains of snakes (in 35.7% of samples), fish (31.6%), insects (18.6%), mammals (11.0%), and birds (5.2%), suggesting *C. siamensis* is a generalist feeder similar to many other *Crocodylus* (Daltry et al. 2003. Status of the Siamese Crocodile in the Central and Southern Cardamom Mountains, Cambodia. Findings of Recent ‘Kropeu Phnom’ Surveys. FFI/Department of Forestry and Wildlife, Phnom Penh; Simpson and Han 2004. In Proc. 17th Working Meeting Crocodile Specialist Group. pp. 110–120. IUCN, Gland). Dietary studies based only on feces present a biased view of crocodilian diet due to the variable digestibility of prey (keratinous and chitinous substances are commonly recorded in feces while soft-bodied prey [e.g., frogs] are absent) and of digestion times among differently sized crocodiles, which prevent analysis of food preferences and the contribution of individual prey species to total mass of food consumed (Fisher 1981. Paleobiology 7:262–275; Shoop and Ruckdeschel 1990. Am. Midl. Nat. 124:407–412; Webb et al. 1991. J. Herpetol. 25:462–473; Webb et al. 1982. Aust. J. Zool. 30:877–899; Santos et al. 1996. The Herpetological J. 6: 111-117). Yet in the absence of studies involving live wild crocodiles, fecal samples provide preliminary insight on dietary habits, and for *C. siamensis*, are particularly useful because extant populations are so depleted that wild individuals are rarely observed or caught.

Crocodylus siamensis feces were collected in the dry season at three permanent, freshwater lakes (elevations 120–150 m elev.) with extensive macrophyte growth: Bung Pulone Lake (14.70888°N, 106.46555°E), Attapu Province, 13 April 2005 (N = 5 samples), and Kout Mark Peo Lake (16.35250°N, 105.22083°E), 12 March 2005 (N = 1) and Xe Lat Nyai Lake (16.44722°N, 105.19277°E), 20 September 2006 (N = 1), Savannakhet Province. These lakes are located on floodplains of the Mekong River and are subject to extensive seasonal changes in water level. Six fecal samples were located along seasonally-exposed lake margins and one, at Kout Mark Peo Lake, was on a mat of floating vegetation. Fecal diameter (widest point of bolus) ranged from 30–45 mm (Table 1), representing a range of differently sized crocodiles. Feces were initially dry and hard, and were subsequently soaked in water. They were then broken apart and observed under a dissecting microscope.

Six of seven samples contained prey items; the sample from Xe Lat Nyai Lake contained no prey remains. Prey items and their frequency of occurrence were: mammal (86%, N = 6 samples), fish (71%, N = 5), and snake (57%, N = 4) (Table 1). The chitinous fragments of coleopteran elytra (beetle forewings) were present in four samples. A small amount of plant matter and four sand grains <1 mm diameter were found in six and one sample respectively. It is unclear whether the insects were deliberately caught as prey; the plant matter and sand were probably secondarily ingested.

No prey items could be identified to species level. Bone fragments present in one sample, including one incisor (3 mm length) and intact vertebrae (3–4 mm diameter excluding transverse processes) were identified as those of a rodent (Muridae). To identify the mammalian hair present in six samples, mounted slide cross-sections and longitudinal-sections from 10–15 hairs in each sample were prepared by H. Brunner and examined under ×470 magnification, following Brunner and Coman (1974). The identification of Mammalian Hair. Inkata Press, Melbourne. 173 pp.), and compared against a hair reference database (Ecobyte Pty Ltd et al. 2002. Hair ID vers. 1.00. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria). No commercial hair reference database is available for Southeast Asia and the current database, although developed principally for Australian mammals, was used because it includes datasets for several orders of Asian mammals. All hair samples were from the same prey species, a rodent (not Rattus), and possessed the following features: a two-tiered layer of relatively long (15–20 mm), stiff, primary guard-hairs, brown-black and tinged red, with a cross-sectional diameter of 175–200 μm, and shorter, finer, grey under-hairs. These hair features, the size of bone fragments (presumably from the same prey species), and the habitat where feces were located, suggests a medium-sized, ubiquitous rodent common in lowland wetlands of the Mekong Basin, such as Bandicota indica (Greater Bandicoot Rat) (Francis 2008. A Field Guide to the Mammals of Thailand and South-East Asia. Asia Books Co., Ltd, Bangkok. 392 pp.).

Fish remains comprised the scales of at least two species (Table 1), which, based on scale size and shape, and wetland habitat, were possibly Channa spp., one of few fish genera able to tolerate dry-season conditions of these floodplain lakes (Hill 1995. Nat. Hist. Bull. Siam Soc. 43: 263–288; Kotteleat 2001. Fishes of Laos. WHT Publications [Pte] Ltd., Colombo. 198 pp.). Snake remains comprised dorsal and ventral scales.

In addition to data derived from fecal samples, on 13 March 2005 at 2130 h, I briefly observed a wild hatchling C. siamensis catching insects at the surface near the margin of Kout Mark Peo Lake.

The small sample size of these data precludes comparison with other studies, although the identified remains indicate a broad diet, and the high frequency of occurrence of mammalian remains compared with Cambodian samples may be of note. Further collection and analysis of C. siamensis fecal samples from Laos, as well as examination of the fresh stomach contents of wild-caught individuals, would be required to ascertain any seasonal differences in diet or prey preference between C. siamensis of different age, size, or geographic distribution.

Fecal samples were collected during crocodile conservation activities by the Laos Department of Forestry/Wildlife Conservation Society, and Laos Department of Livestock and Fisheries/WWF Laos, respectively. I am grateful to Hans Brunner for preparing and analyzing hair samples. Roger Mollot gave advice on fish identification, and Jennifer C. Daltry, Jackson Shed, Boyd Simpson, and John B. Thorbjarnarson provided critical comments which improved the draft manuscript.
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### TESTUDINES — TURTLES

**APALONE MUTICA** (Smooth Softshell). **PREDATION.** Around 1300 h on 2 July 2006 on the Buffalo River in Marion County, Arkansas, USA, we observed an adult Apalone mutica, ca. 30 cm in carapace length, being attacked by a group of otters (Lontra canadensis). This event occurred in riffle habitat about one meter deep below debris from two fallen trees. We were standing in the stream about 3–4 m from the attack, but our presence did not appear to disrupt the behavior of the animals. The turtle was clearly

---

**Table 1. Contents of seven Crocodylus siamensis fecal samples from Laos.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Dung size (mm)</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bung Pulone Lake</td>
<td>85 × 37</td>
<td>Mammal hair; fish scales (sp. A–scales transparent, thin, 3–5 mm diameter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bung Pulone Lake</td>
<td>95 × 45</td>
<td>Mammal hair; fish scales (sp. A); snake scales; insect chitin fragments; plant matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bung Pulone Lake</td>
<td>125 × 30</td>
<td>Mammal hair; fish scales (sp. A); snake scales; insect chitin fragments; plant matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bung Pulone Lake</td>
<td>175 × 40</td>
<td>Mammal hair; fish scales (sp. A+B–scales brown, opaque, thick, 6 mm diameter); plant matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bung Pulone Lake</td>
<td>150 × 45</td>
<td>Mammal hair, bone (incisor, vertebrae, other fragments); fish scales (sp. B); snake scales; insect chitin fragments; plant matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kout Mark Peo Lake</td>
<td>180 × 43</td>
<td>Mammal hair; snake scales; insect chitin fragments; plant matter; sand particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe Lat Nyai Lake</td>
<td>130 × 40</td>
<td>Plant matter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
alive and trying to escape from 2–3 otters that were biting its shell and continually pulling it below the surface of the water. The turtle appeared disoriented and exhausted. The otters in the stream were also vocally communicating with 1–2 otters that were on the bank, at least one of which did not appear to be an adult. After observing the encounter for about five minutes, the turtle was carried upstream by the otters, which had apparently subdued it.
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On 06 June 2007 at 1830 h, I observed a *C. serpentina* (ca. 20 cm SCL) in shallow water along the shoreline (39.33882°N, 82.34693°W; WGS 84) of Lake Hope at Lake Hope State Park, Vinton County, Ohio, USA. When first encountered, the turtle was floating just below the surface in open water. The limbs and tail of the turtle were extended out and downwards from the body and its neck was fully extended horizontally. A school of ca. 9 *L. macrochirus* surrounded the turtle. As the fish slowly swam around the *C. serpentina*, they frequently oriented towards the turtle and approached to within 2–3 cm of it. The fish appeared to inspect the *C. serpentina* and individuals were twice seen nipping at the turtle. The fish primarily concentrated on the posterior and inguinal regions of the turtle; however, they would occasionally swim to the anterior of the carapace and auxiliary areas. Interactions between the turtle and fish were observed and photographed for ca. 30 min before the *C. serpentina* became aware of my presence and submerged. During the observation period, the turtle did not appear bothered by the actions of the fish; it made no movements except to raise its head to breathe and slowly turn to examine its surroundings. The *C. serpentina* did not attempt to strike at the fish despite the fact that several individuals ventured close to the turtle’s head.

Facultative cleaning behavior is not unusual in *L. macrochirus* and other centrachid fishes (Powell 1984. Copeia 1984:996–998; Spall 1970. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 99:599–600; Sulak 1975. Anim. Behav. 23:331–334). Bluegills have previously been reported to bite at resting turtles (Kaufmann 1991. Herpetol. Rev. 22:98; Powell, op. cit.). However, to my knowledge, this observation represents the first report of a turtle that appeared to encourage attention from *L. macrochirus*. The neck extension and behavior of the *C. serpentina* were similar to the posture and movements described by Kaufmann (op. cit.) for *Glyptemys (= Clemmys) insculpta* being cleaned by Blacknose Dace (*Rhinichthys atratus*). Snapping turtles are frequent hosts for leeches (Brooks et al. 1990. J. Parasitol. 76:190–195; McCoy et al. 2007. Southeast. Nat. 6:191–202) and epizoophytic algae (Edgreen et al. 1953. Ecology 34:733–740). Both items appear in the diet of sunfish (Etnier 1971. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 100:124–128), suggesting a possible route for opportunistic cleaning symbiosis to develop between these species. Given the widely overlapping distributions of *C. serpentina* and *L. macrochirus*, this relationship may be common but previously unrecognized.

Submitted by Jeffrey E. Dawson, Reptiles/Shores Region, Columbus Zoo and Aquarium, 4850 W. Powell Road, P. O. Box 400, Powell, Ohio 43065, USA; e-mail: jeff.dawson@columbuszoo.org.

**CHELYDRA SERPENTINA** (Common Snapping Turtle). **SURVIVAL AFTER INJURY.** We here report an adult *Chelydra serpentina* from Wethersfield Cove, Wethersfield, Connecticut, USA, missing most of its lower jaw (Fig. 1). This old injury to the jaw would presumably disable both the suction-feeding apparatus (by reducing flow speed during strike and increasing backflow during buccopharyngeal compression) described for this species (Lauder and Prendergast 1992. J. Exp. Biol. 164:55–78) and eliminate bite force (Herrel et al. 2002. J. Evol. Biol. 15:1083). Yet this turtle weighed 17.5 kg and had a carapace length of 44.5 cm; visual examination showed ample fat deposits indicating that this turtle was in good condition despite its injury. The turtle was apparently able to heal and grow after the loss of the lower jaw, thus compensating for the lack of normal biting and prey-handling behaviors.

[Fig. 1. Male Common Snapping Turtle missing most of its lower jaw, Wethersfield Cove, Hartford, Connecticut, USA (44.5 cm carapace length, 17.5 kg). This animal apparently healed and grew without being able to suction feed or produce bite force.]
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**GLYPTEMYS INSculPTA** (Wood Turtle). **MAXIMUM ADULT SIZE.** On 21 June 2009, we captured an adult male *Glyptemys insculpta* in a tributary of the Kennebec River in Somerset County, Maine, USA. This turtle had been captured and radiotracked as a subadult by BWC in 1998 (Compton 1999. Ecology and Conservation of the Wood Turtle [*Clemmys insculpta*] in Maine. Unpubl. M.S. thesis, Univ. of Maine, Orono). Age estimates from plastral annuli in 1998 and 2009 indicate that the animal was ca. 25 years old upon recapture in 2009. Carapace and plastron dimensions were measured using a 12-inch dial caliper and the animal was subsequently released at the capture location. Shell dimensions (to nearest 0.1 mm) were as follows: straightline carapace length (SCL) (from cranial end of nuchal scute to caudal end of seam between 12th marginal scutes): 240.0; maximum carapace length (MCL) (from cranial edge of 1st or 2nd marginal scute to caudal end of 12th marginal scute): 251.0; straightline plastron length (from cranial end of seam between gular scutes to caudal end of seam between anal scutes): 200.9; maximum plastron length (from cranial edge of left gular scute to caudal edge left anal scute): 220.2; plastron width (at seam between humeral and pectoral scutes): 89.4; carapace width (at 8th marginal scutes): 180.3. Body mass was 1895 g. This turtle is markedly larger than the ten adult male *G. insculpta* measured at this site by Compton (1999) (MCL median = 215.0 mm; MCL max = 232.5 mm) and 48 adult male *G. insculpta* from New Hampshire (MCL median = 190.8; MCL max = 208.8) and 198 adult male *G. insculpta* from Massachusetts (MCL median = 188.5; MCL max = 213.9) measured by MTJ (Jones 2009. Spatial Ecology, Population Structure, and Conservation of the Wood Turtle in Central New England. Unpubl. Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst). The animal’s current shell dimensions appear to be larger than most or all reported measurements from across the range of *G. insculpta*. Saumure (1992. Herpetol. Rev. 23:116) reported two extremely large males from Pontiac Co., Québec, with carapace lengths of 238.0 and 234.5 mm. In a review of the status of Wood Turtles in Québec, where the species appears to attain a relatively large adult size, Galois and Bonin (1999. Rapport sur la situation de la tortue des bois (*Clemmys insculpta*) au Québec. Faune et Parcs Québec, Direction de la faune et des habitats, Québec) reported a maximum carapace length of 244 mm. The authors did not indicate whether this represented SCL or MCL in the sense outlined above.

This research was conducted under Wildlife Scientific Collection Permit #2009-278 from the State of Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife.
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**KINOSTERNON SUBRUBRUM** (Eastern Mud Turtle). **MASS MOVEMENT AND MORTALITY.** About 0930 h on 25 April 2009, after a night of heavy rain, we began a survey of paved secondary roads south of Winnie in Chambers County, Texas, USA looking for shorebirds (Scolopacidae, etc.) in rice fields. We drove west on St. Rd. 1985 and then north to and back east on St. Rd. 65, parallel roads about 13 km apart, and beginning and ending on the north-south running St. Rd. 124.

We found few shorebirds, but instead encountered an extraordinary mass movement and associated road mortality of the mud turtle *Kinosternon subrubrum*. Especially on the eastern ends of both roads, and mostly on 1985, we saw hundreds of dead and smashed turtles, some moribund, and perhaps 20 or so more trekking across the roads. The movement we saw of live turtles was entirely north to south across the east-west running roads; we noticed little, if any similar mortality on Rd. 124.

We estimated a very conservative 300 dead and dying mud turtles; the total was possibly much higher than this. Even crude quantification was difficult because of heavy local farm traffic, but at times it was possible to count 20–30 dead turtles in a stretch of 20 m; the circumstances also prevented us from examining specimens and determining sex ratios.

This was a monospecific event, as we otherwise noted only two large cooters (*Pseudemys sp.*), one living and one dead; we also saw a snake (*Nerodia sp.*) that successfully crossed the road before we could turn around to identify it. We are familiar with similar mass movements (and mortality) following rains by toads, frogs, and salamanders, but saw none of these in this instance, and we had not previously witnessed such a movement by turtles.


Interpretation of the phenomenon we observed was confounded by the inundation of thousands of the surrounding hectares in 2–3 m of salt water during the storm surge of Hurricane Ike on 13 September 2008. We overheard residents talking of flooding fields to leach the salt before rice could be planted. Nevertheless the movement we saw was coincident with a local deluge of rain. The nearest official rain gauge in Beaumont, Texas, 38 km to the east, recorded only 0.52 inches of rain 24–25 April (Donna Work, Texas Forest Service, pers. comm., 20 May 2009), but around Winnie the total was manifestly much more that that; we heard local commentary on the extraordinary rainfall the night of 24–25 April and saw abundant evidence in flooded fields and residential yards, and water overflowing drainage ditches onto the roads, so the local rainfall must have been considerable.

We are grateful to D. Bruce Means and Lora L. Smith for helpful suggestions.
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**PODOCNEMIS EXPANSA** (Giant South American River Turtle). **PRE-NESTING BASKING BEHAVIOR.** *Podocnemis expansa* is a freshwater pleurodiran turtle native to the Amazon basin. This species was historically common and could be observed basking and nesting in large numbers, but this is now a rare occurrence over most of the Amazon (Rueda-Almonacid et al. 2007. Las Tortugas y los Crocodilianos de los Países Andinos del Trópico. Serie de Guías Tropicales de Campo nº 6. Conservación Internacional. Editora Panamericana, Formas y Impresos. Bogotá, Colombia, 538 pp.). In this species, basking behavior is reportedly restricted to the period just prior to, or during, nesting (although RCV has one record of a juvenile ca. 8 cm in carapace length basking on a log in Anavilhanas National Park, Amazonas Brazil, 23 February 1989). In many areas of the Amazon, pre-nesting basking is no longer observed, a behavioral change possibly in response to human predation (Vogt 2008. Tartarugas da Amazônia. Grafica Biblos, Lima, Peru. 104 pp.). However, on the Trombetas River, Pará, Brazil, basking behavior prior to nesting is still observed, perhaps due to the efforts of the Brazilian Environmental National Institute (IBAMA) to protect the nesting beaches against human predation.

Here we report basking observations during the reproductive season of 2008, from 30 September to 2 November (230 h of observations) on the Praia do Jacaré (1.530494°S, 55.473148°W; WGS84). The average number of turtles basking per day was 106 (min. 7 – max. 295; SD = 87). The turtles started basking on 30 September in small numbers (7–15), increasing over subsequent days until they reached a peak on 21 October (295 turtles). At this point the nesting season began (21 October) and the average number of turtles basking daily dropped to 51, and continued to decrease until basking ceased on 3 November. During the basking period, the turtles aggregated in large groups, including the turtles on the beach as well as those in the water. Basking behavior in this circumstance may increase the metabolic rate of the female, accelerating egg development and ovulation (Vogt 1980. Tulane Stud. Zool. Bot. 22:17–48). Despite living in warm waters (mean water temperature = 30.2°C [RCV, unpubl. data] from 3538 temperature recordings in the field in Trombetas Reserve from Nov–September), the physiological advantages of basking to the turtles apparently outweigh the risks involved from the increased exposure to predation.
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**SQUAMATA — LIZARDS**

**AMEIVA AMEIVA** (Giant Ameiva). **SAUROPHAGY.** *Ameiva ameiva* is one of the most widely distributed Neotropical lizards, occurring from the Caribbean Islands and Costa Rica to southern Brazil, northern Argentina, and the eastern Andes in South America (Vanzolini et al. 1980. Répteis das Caatingas. Acad. Bras. de Ciênc., S/nº Coxipó CEP 78060-900, Cuiabá, MT, Brazil).
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the stomach contents of an adult female (125.7 mm SVL) captured on 29 March 2009, near decomposing trunks in herbaceous vegetation.

In an analysis of 55 stomachs of *A. ameiva* conducted by Zaluar and Rocha 2000 (op. cit.) in a restinga ecosystem in southeastern Brazil, juveniles of two sympatric lizard species (*Tropidurus torquatus* and *Mabuya agilis*) were found in the stomachs of two adult males. Vitt 2000 (op. cit.) reports on the predation of two *Kentropyx striata* adults by an adult *A. ameiva* in an Amazonian savanna in northern Brazil. Owing to the large body size of *A. ameiva* compared to many sympatric lizard species throughout its wide distribution, saurophagy may be more common than is currently represented in the literature (Vitt 2000, op. cit.).

The *A. ameiva* (CHBEZ 2507) was deposited in the herpetological collection of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil. We thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for financing the PELD-Caatinga Program entitled Structure and Functioning, and for the research scholarship granted to RFDS (process 127543/2008-2), LBR (process 141993/2006-5) and EMXF (process 304077/2008-9); and to PROPESQ/UFRN for the research scholarship awarded to HWBA. IBAMA provided a permit (Permit 206/2006 and Process 02001.004294/03-15).
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At 2100 h on 23 August 2007, one of us (CMCAL) collected an adult female *M. ibiboboca* that was in a residence in the municipality of Natal (3.1221’S, 43.2122°W; datum: SAD69; elev. 41 m). The residence’s owner killed the snake, exposing its stomach which contained the recently ingested amphisbaenian prey. The prey was 203 mm SVL, 25 mm tail length, 4.54 g and the snake was 577 mm SVL, 34 mm tail length and 46.2 g.

The snake and amphisbaenian prey were deposited in the herpetological collection of Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal municipality, under numbers CHBEZ 1910 and CHBEZ 1911, respectively.
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**BARISIA IMBRICATA** (Transvolcanic Alligator Lizard). RECORD LITTER SIZE. The viviparous anguid lizard *Barisia imbricata* occurs in the Mexican Transvolcanic axis (Flores-Villlola 1993. Spec. Publ. No. 17, Carnegie Museum of Natural History, 73 pp). The species is widespread in several states that are a part of this axis, including Morelos, Mexico, Michoacán, Puebla, Oaxaca, Veracruz, Hidalgo, and Distrito Federal (Smith and Taylor 1966. Herpetology of Mexico. Annotated Checklist and Keys to the Amphibians and Reptiles. Eric Lundberg, Asthon, Maryland). Lizards of the genus *Barisia* have been poorly studied in aspects of their reproduction; however, there are data available for litter sizes among various subspecies of *B. imbricata*. For example, it has been reported that *B. imbricata imbricata* has a mean litter size of 6.9 (Guillette and Casas-Andreú 1987. Herpetologica 43:29–38), and there is a single report for a litter of 10 neonates (Navarro-López et al. 2003. Bol. Soc. Herpetol. Mex. 11:51–52). Other subspecies are recorded to have similar mean litter sizes: 6.9, *B. i. ciliaris*; 7.2, *B. i. jonesi*; 7.7, *B. i. planifrons* (Guillette and Casas-Andreú, op. cit.).

On 12 May 2009, during a field trip to El Pedregal, Municipality of Coyoacac, Mexico State, Mexico (19.24594°N, 99.45181°E; datum WGS84; elev. 2614 m), two gravid females were found in oak-pine forest with snout–vent lengths (SVL) of 132.4 mm and 123.0 mm, and body mass of 42.0 and 40.0 g, respectively. They were collected and housed in the laboratory in a terrarium. Two weeks later (May 26), the first female gave birth to 20 offspring (mean SVL = 32.8 mm [29.5–35.2 mm]; mean weight = 0.546 g [0.41–0.68 g]; mean tail length (TL) = 45.5 mm [38.0–50.7 mm] Fig. 1). The second female also gave birth to 20 offspring (May 31) (mean SVL = 33.4 mm [30.6–35.7 mm]; mean weight = 0.759 g [0.65–0.86 g]; mean tail length (TL) = 45.2 mm [38.0–50.7 mm]).

**Fig. 1.** Fifteen of 20 offspring from a single litter of *Barisia imbricata* from El Pedregal Municipalidad of Mexico State, Mexico.
g [0.65–0.87 g], mean TL = 45.7 mm [38.7–49.2 mm]). Offspring of the first female had a lower collective body mass than that of the combined offspring of the second female (t = 11.7, P < 0.001), but the two litters were similar in SVL and TL (P = 0.313). These records for B. imbricata litter size suggest that variation exists in the reproductive characteristics among populations of this species.

We thank A. Leyte Manrique for logistical assistance, and Jackson Shedd for improving the manuscript. We also thank the projects CONACYT S-52552-Q and FOMIX-CONACYT-43761.
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BARISIA LEVICOLLIS (Chihuahuan Alligator Lizard). REPRODUCTION. Barisia levicollis is currently known only from the state of Chihuahua, Mexico where it is limited to the Sierra Madre Occidental at elevations of about 2500 m (Lemos Espinal and Smith 2007. Amphibians and Reptiles of the State of Chihuahua, México. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Comisión Para el Conocimiento y uso de la Biodiversidad, México, D.F. 613 pp.). The purpose of this note is to provide the first information on its reproduction.

One B. levicollis female (142 mm SVL) was examined from the herpetology collection of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM), Los Angeles, California (LACM 75502). It was collected 14 September 1971 in Chihuahua, 17 km NE El Largo (29.6833°N, 108.4500°W, WGS84; elev. 2150 m).

A small slit was made in the lower part of the abdomen to expose the ovaries. A total of 13 vitellogenic eggs (5 mm diameter) were counted (in situ). This September evidence of ovarian activity indicates B. levicollis exhibits an autumn reproductive period similar to some New World anguids: Barisia imbricata (Guilllette and Casas-Andreu 1987. Herpetologica 43:29–38), Elgaria kingii (as Gerrhonotus kingii) (Goldberg 1975. Southwest. Nat. 20:412–413), and E. paucicarinata (Goldberg and Beaman 2004. S. California Acad. Sci. 103:144–146).

I thank C. Thacker (LACM) for permission to examine B. levicollis.
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CALOTES ROUXI (Forest Dwarf Calotes). CAPTIVE REPRODUCTION AND BREEDING BEHAVIOR. On 5 May 2008, during one of our field studies in the forest belt of the village of Kanakumbe (15.693333°N, 74.216666°E; WGS84), Western Ghats in Karnataka State, India (2500 m elev.), Calotes rouxi were observed on tree trunks. Nuptial coloration was evident in males in that the head and nuchal area were brick red. Some females appeared gravid based on the bulge of their abdomens. We collected one male (SVL 7.34 cm; body mass 10 g) and one gravid female (SVL 6.26 cm; body mass 8 g) and brought them to our laboratory and maintained them in an outdoor terrarium. All sides of the terrarium were made up of aluminum mesh except for the bottom, which had sand substratum of ~ 8 cm. The terrarium housed dry twigs that served as perches for the lizards and small herbs and grasses grew on the substratum. It also housed broken earthen pots and bricks that provided refugia for the lizards. The lizards were fed insects including grasshoppers and moths every other day. On 29 July 2008, 30 days after they were housed in the terrarium, the female appeared to have laid the eggs based on her flattened abdomen. Upon searching the substratum, we found five eggs. The eggs were about 3 cm deep in the nest. Apparently, the female had dug the nest in the soil, oviposited eggs, and then covered them with soil as we have observed in other agamid species including Calotes versicolor, Psammophilus dorsalis, and Sitana ponticeriana. These eggs were retrieved from the nest and incubated on moist sand substratum in a plastic box at ambient temperature following the procedure adopted for C. versicolor (Radder et al. 2002 Amphibia-Reptilia: 23:71–82). All eggs hatched on day 45 following oviposition. Mean snout–vent length (SVL) of these hatchlings was 23.3 ± 0.32 mm and mean body mass was 400 mg.

Interestingly, the day after oviposition, the adult male in the terrarium developed brick red nuptial coloration in the anterior part of the body including the head, began exhibiting courtship behavior composed of characteristic four-legged push-up and gular displays, and attempted to mate with the female, but was unsuccessful. The coloration disappeared soon after the male ceased courtship behavior. However, the next day, on 30 July 2008, the red nuptial coloration reappeared and a successful mating was observed between the pair. The male then lost his nuptial coloration again soon after the mating. Within a week of that mating, abdominal palpation revealed gravidity of the female. The female retained eggs for ~ 60 days and laid a second clutch of seven eggs on 2 September 2008 following heavy rainfall. All eggs hatched successfully after 44 days of incubation. The hatching SVL measured 23.4 ± 0.13 mm and weight was 314.29 ± 14.29 mg.

This study is the first report on breeding behavior and reproduction in this species. The fact that the same female laid more eggs in the second clutch suggests that the food (insects) provided was adequate and met the energy requirements for reproduction under captive conditions. We have been successful in rearing and breeding captive born Calotes versicolor in the outdoor terraria for the last 6–7 years. The present study demonstrates that outdoor terraria meant for captive breeding of C. versicolor was equally congenial for breeding of wild caught C. rouxi as well.

This work was supported by UGC-SAP II (DRS) Program of University Grants Commission, New Delhi.
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CNEMIDOPHORUS OCCELLIFER (Spix’s Whiptail). USE OF SCORPIONS AS PREY IN AN UNSTABLE ENVIRONMENT. Whiptail lizards (Cnemidophorus) are terrestrial, active-foraging teids that consume primarily sedentary prey, such as termites and insect larvae (Vitt 1991. J. Herpetol. 25:79–90). Cnemidophorus...
Angico and to Steve Ferrari for the careful revision of the manuscript. We are grateful to Alessandro Ponce de Leão Giupponi for
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scorpion shelters during active foraging, possibly due to a scarcity
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note that
Caatinga, exhibiting considerable ecological
lizards, ranging from one to three scorpions per stomach, as well
of stomach contents revealed the presence of scorpions in seven
Between October and November 2008, ten specimens of C.
ocellifer were collected during the dry season. The examination
stomach contents revealed the presence of scorpions in seven lizards, ranging from one to three scorpions per stomach, as well
as fragments. Coleoptans and orthopterans were recorded in four
specimens, and spiders and ants in three. No termites were
recorded. According to A.P.L. Giupponi (Museu Nacional, Rio de
Janeiro, pers. comm.), at least two species of scorpions occur in the area, Bothriurus rochai and Rhopalurus rochai. It is relevant to
note that Cnemidophorus are diurnal lizards, while scorpions are
strictly nocturnal. This suggests that C. ocellifer may be targeting
scorpion shelters during active foraging, possibly due to a scarcity
of other resources in a poor and unstable environment, caused
by frequent flooding and accentuated by the severe dry season at
Caatinga, exhibiting considerable ecological flexibility.

We are grateful to Alessandro Ponce de Leão Giupponi for
information on the scorpions of the Monumento Natural Grota do
Angico and to Steve Ferrari for the careful revision of the manu-
script.
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COPHOSAURUS TEXANUS (Greater Earless Lizard). MORT-
ALITY. Cophosaurus texanus is distributed from southern
portions of Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas and south from
northeastern Sonora and Chihuahua to northern San Luis Potosí
and western Tamaulipas, Mexico (Conant and Collins 1998.
Reptiles and Amphibians of Eastern/Central North America.
Lemos Espinal and Smith 2007. Anfibios y Reptiles del Estado de
Coahuila, México. CONABIO. México. 550 pp.). Cophosaurus
texanus is known to prey on at least 12 different arthropod taxa
Rev. 32:40). Saurrophy has been reported (Castañeda et al. 2005.

CORYTHOPHANES CRISTATUS (Smooth Helmeted Iguana).
ENDOPARASITES. Corythophanes cristatus is known from
lowland and premontane areas of central Veracruz and the Yucatán Peninsula, Mexico south to northwestern Colombia; it is strictly
arboreal (Savage 2002. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Costa
Rica. A Herpetofauna Between Two Continents, Between Two
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To our knowledge, there is one report of helminths; Bursey et al. (2007. Comp. Parasitol. 74:108–140) reported *Cyrtosomum longicaudatum* and *Macdonaldius grassi* in specimens of *C. cristatus* collected in Panama. The purpose of this note is to add to the helminth list of *C. cristatus*.

Four *C. cristatus* (SVL = 97.5 mm ± 8.3 SD, range = 89–106 mm) were borrowed from the herpetology collection of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM), Los Angeles, California and examined for helminths (LACM 131039–131042 collected August 1979, in Costa Rica, Limón Province ca. 46 km W Tortuguero, 10.4166°N, 83.600°W, WGS84; elev. 25 m). The body cavity was opened by a longitudinal incision and the digestive tract was removed, and the stomach and intestines were opened and examined using a dissecting microscope. Only nematodes were present. These were placed on microscope slides, cleared in glycerol, coverslipped, and studied under a compound microscope.

Found in LACM 131040 (large intestine) were 220 *Cyrtosomum longicaudatum* (prevalence = number infected lizards/number lizards examined = 25%) and 199 *Cyrtosomum mega* (prevalence = 25%); LACM 131042 (large intestine) contained 128 *Africana telfordi* (prevalence = 25%). Voucher endoparasites were deposited in the United States National Parasite Collection (USNPC), Beltsville, Maryland as *Cyrtosomum longicaudatum* (USNPC 102114), *Cyrtosomum mega* (USNPC 102115) and *Africana telfordi* (USNPC 102116).

*Cyrtosomum longicaudatum* was described from *Ctenosaura similis* collected in Costa Rica by Brenes and Bravo Hollis (1960, Libro Homenaje al Dr. Eduardo Caballero y Caballero. Universidad Autónoma de México, México City, pp. 451–464) and is frequently reported from Central American lizards; a host list will be found in Bursey et al. (op. cit.). *Cyrtosomum mega* was described from *Cyclura carinata* from the Caicos Islands, West Indies by Bowie and Franz (1974, J. Parasitol. 60:628–631). *Corytophanes cristatus* is the second host reported to harbor this nematode. *Africana telfordi* was described from *Enyalioides heterolepis* from Panama by Bursey and Goldberg (2002, J. Parasitol. 88:926–928). It was also reported in *Gonatodes albogularis* from Panama by Bursey et al. (op. cit.). *Corytophanes cristatus* is the third known host to harbor this nematode.

Species of *Africana* and *Cyrtosomum* are nematodes that do not utilize an intermediate host (Anderson 2000. Nematode Parasites of Vertebrates. Their Development and Transmission, 2nd ed. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. 650 pp.). Infection likely occurs by ingestion of eggs from contaminated substrate. Pearce and Tanner (1973, Great Basin Nat. 33:1–18) considered species of *Cyrtosomum* to be commensals that feed on fecal matter in the large intestine rather than parasites. *Corytophanes cristatus* represents a new host record for *Africana telfordi* and *Cyrtosomum mega*. Costa Rica is a new locality record for both species.

We thank C. Thacker (LACM) for permission to examine specimens and C. Nava (Whittier College) for assistance with dissections.
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**Ctenotus Regius** (Regal Striped Skink). **Predation.** The largest group of lizards in Australia belong to the genus *Ctenotus*, which contains close to 100 species. The species in this genus occur throughout much of Australia, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions (Cogger 2000. Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia, 6th ed. Reed New Holland, Sydney, 808 pp.). The ecology of many of these species remains poorly known, including predators and description of predation events. Here we present an observation of an immature Grey Butcherbird (*Cracticus torquatus*) preying on *Ctenotus regius*.

At 1230 h on 13 April 2009, south of Lake Becking, Murray-Sunset National Park (Victoria, Australia) (35.0380°S, 141.7138°E), in degraded *Allocasuarina/Callitris* woodland on red sands, bordering mallee country, a Grey Butcherbird flew from a mallee *Eucalyptus* ~25 m to the ground beneath another mallee. The butcherbird stayed on the ground for ~10 seconds where it became obvious that it had captured a skink, later identified as *Ctenotus regius*. The butcherbird then flew to the lower branch of a dead *Callitris* with the *C. regius* in its bill. It proceeded to hop up the branches and wedged the skink in the fork of the frayed hollow spout of the tree and began tearing at the skink. This method is commonly used by butcherbirds for handling larger prey items and for caching (Higgins et al. [ed] 2006. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds Vol. 7 Boobill to Starlings. Oxford Univ. Press, Melbourne. 1992 pp.). Upon our approach to photograph and identify the skink, the butcherbird hurriedly tore off the rear half of the skink and flew off (Fig. 1).


Aumann (2001. Wildl. Res. 28:379–393 and supplementary appendices) found that a number of diurnal raptor species took a small number of *Ctenotus* species in arid central Australia. However, Pianka (1969, Ecology 50:1012–1030) believed it “doubtful” that many *Ctenotus* in the Great Victoria Desert species fall prey to birds or mammals due to the unlikelihood that these predators would pursue the skinks into dense *Triodia* tussocks. Pianka (1969, op. cit.) found the main predators of *Ctenotus* in that region to be *Varanus* monitors and elapid snakes. Read (1998. Aust. J. Zool. 46:617–629) suggested that larger *Ctenotus* such as *C. regius*...
conserve energy by remaining inactive on many days, regardless of food availability or temperature and thereby may decrease their risk of predation. Henle (1989. Acta Oecologica Oecologia Generalis 10:19–35) considered that it is likely that predation generally plays an important role in segregating lizard communities, including those containing C. regius, but does not describe the types of predators.

The apparent preference for more open woodlands by C. regius in northwest Victoria (Coventry 1996. Victorian Nat. 113:289–299) and their habit of actively foraging around ground vegetation (Swan and Watharow 2005, op. cit.) may make this species more susceptible to predation by birds than others in the genus.

Thanks to Andrew Bennett and Dale Nimmo for identification of the skink.
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On 9 May 2001, we observed an adult male (snout–vent length, SVL = 35.0 cm, SVL) on Leaf Cay with a prolapsed right hemipenis that was hard and shriveled. This male was subsequently captured in 2002, 2003, and 2004 with no obvious health problems, and no evidence of the prolapsed hemipenis. He was not subsequently captured between 2005 and 2009, but may have been poached or died of other causes. Prolapsed hemipenes typically arise from damage to the hemipenis during copulation (Barten 2006, op. cit.; DeNardo 2006, op. cit.).

On 29 March 2008 we observed a large female (SVL = 32.0 cm, SVL) with a prolapsed cloaca on Southwest Allen Cay (Fig. 1). This female was found to have an elevated corticosteroid level (30.6 ng mL-1) compared to others in the population (mean = 5.9 ng mL-1) as determined by blood tests conducted on samples collected within three minutes of capture to avoid increased glucocorticoid concentrations (see Romero and Wikelski 2002. Biol. Conserv. 108:371–374). She was subsequently found dead less than two months later on 14 May 2008. Cloacal prolapse can occur due to straining during oviposition or straining during defecation due to constipation or other causes (Bennett and Mader 2006, op. cit.). Of particular concern for the Allen Cays Rock Iguana is the potential increase in strained defecation due to the feeding of iguanas by tourists. Diets high in grapes, the most commonly provided food item, result in sand and liquid-filled scat that dries to a cement-like consistency (Fig. 2; see also Hines 2007. Iguana 14:243). The density of these cement-like scats is greater than those formed from a natural diet of leaves and fruits, potentially increasing the incidence of constipation and tenesmus, and thereby cloacal prolapse. The death of this female suggests that cloacal prolapse may result in

Fig. 1. A Regal Striped Skink (Ctenotus regius) wedged in the fork of a tree and dismembered by a Grey Butcherbird (Cracticus torquatus) in Murray-Sunset National Park, Australia.

Fig. 1. Prolapsed cloaca of a female Allen Cays Rock Iguana found on Southwest Allen Cay, Exumas, Bahamas in March 2008.
DELMA IMPAR (Striped Legless Lizard) REPEATED USE OF COMMunal NESTING SITE. Communal nesting is a reproductive trait commonly observed in some groups of reptiles (Graves and Duvall 1995. Herpetol. Monogr. 9:102–119). The benefits of communal nesting may include a response to the limited availability of suitable nest sites in the environment due to thermal, hydric and anti-predator constraints, or fitness benefits for offspring born in a cluster of eggs (Radder and Shine 2007. J. Anim. Ecol. 76:881–887). The trait has been identified in some species of pygopodids, a family of flap-footed snake and worm-like lizards confined to the Australian region (Greer 1989. The Biology and Evolution of Australian Lizards. Surrey Beatty & Sons Pty Limited, Chipping Norton, N.S.W. 264 pp.). This family includes the Striped Legless Lizard, Delma impar, with females known to lay a single clutch of up to two eggs annually in early summer. Communal nesting has so far been reported three times in this species; once with four eggs and twice with six eggs clumped together in substrate cavities or under rocks, inferring the joint use of a nesting site by at least two and three female D. impar, respectively (Banks et al. 1999. Herpetofauna 29:18–30; Coulson 1995. Management Directions for the Striped Legless Lizard (Delma impar) in the Australian National Territory. ACT Parks & Conservation Service, Canberra, ACT; Mills 1992. Report of Delma impar trapping survey conducted at Werribee, Jan–Feb 1992. Unpubl. report to the Striped Legless Lizard Working Group, Victoria). Further information on this aspect of the species’ reproductive biology is important for its management and conservation, as D. impar is listed as threatened under state, national, and international legislations (Smith and Robertson 1999. National Recovery Plan for the Striped Legless Lizard (Delma impar): 1999–2003. Environment Australia, Canberra) and general knowledge of its biology is sparse.

As part of an intensive survey program for this species in Western Victoria, southeastern Australia, we report the repeated use of an artificial, communal nesting site exceeding the aggregations reported previously and discuss environmental parameters associated with the site. In 2000, four survey grids were established in native grassland on a private property near Hamilton in Western Victoria with the aim of determining the presence of D. impar. Each survey grid consisted of fifty roof tiles arranged in a 20 × 45m grid formation. Grids were monitored first annually, and since 2005, quarterly, with the presence of all terrestrial vertebrate fauna within the grids recorded. On 9 December 2007 we captured two female D. impar (90 and 93 mm SVL) that appeared to be gravid and also noted the presence of six D. impar eggs deposited in a prominent soil crack underneath a single tile on grid #1 (37.5016°S, 142.3314°E). We released the gravid females within an hour underneath the same tile and returned on 20 April 2008 and retrieved, with minimum disturbance to the crack, a total of 32 eggs shells. This finding infers a communal nesting site with contribution of at least 16 female D. impar. On 30 November 2008 we attached a temperature data logger (Thermochron iButton®, Dallas Semiconductor) programmed to collect temperature (± 0.5°C) every hour until 31 January 2009. Data logger records showed that temperatures underneath the roof tile and the data logger situated just above the soil crack on 5 January we returned and briefly lifted the tile and confirmed the presence of at least six D. impar eggs. On 27 March 2009 we collected a total of 16 egg shells from the soil crack, again with minimal disturbance. This inferred that the same nesting site had been used again by at least eight females. Data logger records showed that temperatures underneath the roof tile between 1 December 2008 and 31 January 2009 had ranged from 3°C to 72°C (mean = 23.6°C, SD = 15.52).

The site on which the four grids were established is one of a few remaining large, high-value natural temperate grassland remnants on the Victorian Volcanic Plain. The site consists of mostly intact native grassland vegetation and soil crust with submerged volcanic rocks along the slopes of a creek gully. The conservation status of D. impar is increasingly being linked to the survival of such remnant habitats so that the presence of a large number of gravid D.
impar at this site may not be surprising and treated as an indication of the ecological value of the habitat. In the greater context of the project, this is the only communal nesting site discovered so far underneath roof tiles across 73 survey grids where D. impar has been detected (3650 roof tiles lifted approximately 43,800 times). The low success rate in finding such sites and the repeated use of a single communal nesting site by a large number of gravid females suggest that the species is very selective in choosing oviposition sites. It may be possible that aggregating eggs may protect them from desiccation in extreme weather conditions, like the temperature extremes displayed by our thermal data, or provide a selective fitness advantage to the offspring. More than 90% of egg shells recovered indicated successful hatching so the former may be plausible. Alternatively, suitable soil cracks or cavities with protection from predators and environmental extremes may be a limited resource (and the roof tile may have added a favorable element to produce a suitable oviposition site). Grid #1 was located on a small flood plain at the bottom of the gully with a deep layer of clay soil that cracked in summer, as opposed to shallow sandy clay horizon on the slopes. Further work to identify the oviposition preferences of the species will aid in the preparation of informed management and conservation strategies for D. impar in the wild.

This research was funded by the Australian Commonwealth Government via the Corangamite, Glenelg Hopkins and Wimmera Catchment Management Authorities and conducted under the Victorian Department of Sustainability and Environment (Australia) Research Permits 10002996, 10003505, and 10004675.

Submitted by GARRY N.L. PETERSON, Department of Sustainability and Environment, 78 Henna Street, Warrnambool 3280, Victoria, Australia (e-mail: Gary.Peterson@dse.vic.gov.au); and DETLEF H. ROHR, Applied Ecological Research P/L, PO Box 350, Doncaster East 3109, Victoria, Australia (e-mail: ted.rohr@aeresearch.com.au).

GERRHONOTUS PARVUS (Pygmy Alligator Lizard). JUVE-NILE SIZE. Gerrhonotus parvus, an anguid endemic to Nuevo León, Mexico, is known from only adult specimens (Knight and Scudday 1985. Southwest. Nat. 30:89–94; Banda-Leal et al. 2002. Southwest. Nat. 47:614–615; Banda et al. 2005. Herpetol. Rev. 36:449). Although one adult female maintained in captivity reportedly laid four eggs (Knight and Scudday, op. cit.), nothing has been reported on the size of the young of this species. Here, we report on the size of a juvenile G. parvus found in the wild.

On 1 October 2005, we found a juvenile G. parvus under a small rock in a canyon bottom near San Isidro, Municipio Santiago, Nuevo León. The canyon (ca. 1600 m elev.) runs east to west and is characterized by steep limestone walls covered with various agaves (Agave spp.), sotol (Dasylirion sp.), and scrub oak (Quercus sp.), and has intermittent pools of water, piles of leaf litter, and large rocks scattered throughout. The G. parvus measured 25.1 mm SVL, had an unbroken tail 28.5 mm in length, and a mass of 0.4 g. Previous reports of juvenile size in the small-bodied and closely related alligator lizard Gerrhonotus lugoi ranged from 37–55 mm SVL (Lazcano et al. 1993. Bull. Chicago Herpetol. Soc. 28:263–265), making the specimen of G. parvus reported herein one of the smallest known gerrhonotine lizards in North America.

The specimen was deposited in the Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León Herpetological Collection (UANL 6785). Research and collecting were conducted under the authority of SEMARNAT scientific research permits OFICIO NÚM/SGPA/DGVS/01612 and 01454 issued to DL.
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IGUANADELICATISSIMA (Lesser Antillean Iguana). DISPLAY BEHAVIOR. Iguanine lizards typically use headbob displays consisting of ritualized up-and-down motions. Forms of these displays often are referred to as “signature” displays because the number and timing of motions carry information about the individual, sex, and species identity of the displaying animal. Headbob displays serve primarily in territorial maintenance, frequently are directed toward individuals at a distance, often are used in aggressive displays (most commonly by males), and occasionally by males during courtship (Martins and Lacy 2004. In Alberts et al. [eds.], Iguanas: Biology and Conservation, pp. 101–108. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, and references therein). Although signature displays have been described for many iguanine lizards, we present here observations of such displays in Iguana delicatissima.

From 5–25 June 2008, in the course of a study examining movements and allocations of time and space in a community of I. delicatissima at the Sunset Bay Club on Dominica, Lesser Antilles (15.4514°N, 61.4465°W; elev. ~5 m), we observed dozens of displays and videotaped six displays by males and two by females with a Sony digital video camera recorder (model DCR-TVR120).

Headbob displays were used by both males and females. Those of males were of slightly higher amplitudes than those of females and were more likely to be repeated (especially when directed toward other males). Each display consisted of two to five headbobs of decreasing amplitude, with most (six of eight videotaped displays, five by males; 16 of 18, 12 by males; and two by individuals of unknown sex, for which data were recorded) involving four sequential bobs.

The first bob was inevitably of highest amplitude (position 2 in Fig. 1), after which the head returned to the initial position (1). Headbobs 2 and 3 (positions 3 and 5, respectively) were of lower amplitude, with most (six of eight videotaped displays, five by males; 16 of 18, 12 by males; and two by individuals of unknown sex, for which data were recorded) involving four sequential bobs.

Marcella & Roger Dutrieux Cools, owners of the Sunset Bay Club, work diligently to coexist with Dominica’s wildlife and were very tolerant of our antics. Mr. Arlington James, Forest Officer, Forestry, Wildlife, and Parks Division, Ministry of Agriculture & the Environment, Commonwealth of Dominica, was instrumental in issuing permits to conduct research in Dominica. Protocols were approved by the Avila University Animal Care and Use Committee. Fieldwork was funded by a grant from the National Science Foundation (USA) to RP (DBI-0242589).

This note reports a single observation of an arthropod attack on L. xanthostigma on Isla Palma, Department of Valle del Cauca, approx. 1.5 km off the Pacific coast of Colombia (3.90019°N, 77.35597°W, WGS84). The island is in the tropical rain forest life zone (Holdridge 1987. Life Zone Ecology. Tropical Science Center, San Jose, Costa Rica) and has average annual precipitation of 6000 mm and 90% relative humidity—the predominant height of forest trees is between 10 and 50 m, with many shrubs and ferns in the understory (Castellanos 2003. Interacciones Tróficas y Espaciales de un Ensamblaje de Peces de Charcos Intermareales en un Acantilado Rocosos Tropical, Bahía Malaga-Pacífico Colombiano. Universidad del Valle, undergraduate thesis. Cali, Colombia, 88 pp.; Montoya 2003. Estructura de la Comunidad de Gasterópodos: Diversidad, Distribución y Abundancia con Relación a la Heterogeneidad Espacial en dos Acantilados Rocosos Intermareales de Isla Palma, Pacífico Colombiano. Universidad del Valle, undergraduate thesis. Cali, Colombia, 82 pp.). We made the observations during a light rain along the crude trail to Punta Brava near the southeastern corner of the island on 29 June 2007 at 2045 h. A spider was captured on the ground in the leaf litter with a Lepidoblepharis xanthostigma in the grasp of its chelicerae. Injuries on the gecko’s body indicate the spider had likely attacked the gecko in at least two places. One pair of wounds involved the dorsal, occipital region of the head and dorsum of the torso behind the forelimb on the left side of the body, and a separate area with broken skin at the base of the tail, which was missing. As the spider was lifted from the ground it released the gecko, the spider was then dropped, and both ran into the leaf litter where they were recaptured. The gecko was an adult male (SVL 24.1 mm) and the spider was an unidentifiable species of brushed trapdoor spider (Barychelidae) of the genus Trichopelma (cephalothorax length 22 mm), locally known as “chicken-eating tarantula.” The gecko specimen was deposited in the collections of the Museo de Ciencias Naturales “Federico Lehman” in Cali, Colombia (IMCN-Rep 197).

We are indebted to the Dirección General Marítima (DIMAR) for allowing us access to the island, to the Grupo de Ecología Animal of the Universidad del Valle for its assistance in the fieldwork, Carlos Valderrama for help with identification of the spider, and Eli Greenbaum for comments on the manuscript.
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LIOLAEMUS GRACILIS (Striped Slender Lizard). PREDATION. Liolaemus gracilis occurs from northern Chubut to southern La Rioja provinces, in western Argentina (Cei 1986. Reptiles del Centro, Centro-Oeste y Sur de la Argentina, Mus. Reg. Sci. Nat. Torino, Mon. 4:1–527). Only a few data are available on its biology (e.g., Vega and Bellagamba 2005. Cuad. Herpetol. 18:3–13) and no data regarding its predators have been published. Hence, here we report an observation of Philodryas psammophidea predation on L. gracilis.
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Philodryas psammophidea is a common snake in the Monte and Chaco arid and semi-arid regions of western and northwestern Argentina and has been reported as a lizard-eater in general citations (Cei 1993. Reptiles del Noroeste, Nordeste y Este de la Argentina. Mus. Reg. Sci. Nat. Torino, Mon. 14:1–949). At 1030 h on 19 October 2008 during a collecting trip through western San Luis province a DOR juvenile *P. psammophidea* (sex undetermined, 490 mm SVL, 555.0 mm TL, 28 g) was found on Provincial Road 3, 43.7 km S of San Luis City, La Capital Department, San Luis province (33.6171°S, 66.4139°W, datum = WGS 84, 515 m elev.). As we were preserving the specimen, we extracted from its stomach an adult *Liolaemus gracilis* (47.0 mm SVL, 134.0 mm TL, 1.8 g). The lizard’s body was nearly completely intact, with only its tail autotomized near the base and its head possessing a severe wound, probably inflicted when the snake caught it. The specimens of *L. gracilis* (LJAMM 10937) and *P. psammophidea* (LJAMM 10935) were deposited in the herpetological collection at Luciano Javier Avila Mariana Morando (LJAMM) of the Centro Nacional Patagónico – CONICET, Puerto Madryn, Argentina.

Submitted by MONICA LILIAN KOZYKARISKI, NATALIA FELTRIN, and LUCIANO JAVIER AVILA, CENPAT-CONICET, Boulevard Almirante Brown 2915, U9120ACF, Puerto Madryn, Chubut, Argentina (e-mail: avila@cenpat.edu.ar).

LIOLAEMUS HATCHERI (NCN). MULTIPLE MORTALITY. 
*Liolaemus hatcheri* is a small liolaemid lizard endemic to a small region in northwestern Santa Cruz Province, northern Patagonian, Argentina (Etheridge 1998. Cuad. Herpetol. 12:31–36). Only anecdotal data are known about its biology and natural history (Cei 1986. Reptiles del Centro, Centro-oeste y Sur de la Argentina. Mus. Sci. Nat. Torino Mon. 4:1–427). During field work carried out on 18 January, 2008 in Estancia Cerro Beltza (47.9937°S, 71.6804°W; datum: WGS84; elev. 912 m), Rio Chico Department, Santa Cruz Province, we found a population of *L. hatcheri* inhabiting a gently sloping area with highly degraded vegetation overgrazed by sheep. Lizards were found basking on medium-sized rocks scattered in the area and typically retreated to nearby rock crevices or burrows upon disturbance. Upon lifting a roughly oval-shaped, medium-sized rock (70 cm × 25 cm × 40 cm; ~30 kg) that overlaid a larger, imbedded rock, and thus provided a crevice between the two, we found three lizard carcasses that we identified by comparison with live individuals as adult specimens of *Liolaemus hatcheri* (~75 mm, SVL) (Fig. 1). This finding revealed that *L. hatcheri* use small crevasses as communal shelters, but the cause of death is unclear. We hypothesize two potential causes: lizards use this refugia for temporary shelter during the normal season of activity and unusually low temperatures caused their demise inside the crevice, or the rock was moved by human or domestic livestock activity in the area and the lizards were crushed underneath. Findings on multiple deaths of *Liolaemus* in Patagonia are uncommon and formal reports are non-existent to our knowledge. Voucher specimens (LJAMM 7615–7617) are deposited in the Luciano Javier Avila Mariana Morando (LJAMM) collection housed in CENPAT-CONICET.
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*Pseudotomodon trigonatus* is a rare snake, endemic to western Argentina, inhabiting mainly the Monte and southern Chaco regions and has been reported as a lizard-eater in general citations (Etheridge, op. cit.). At 1000 h on 19 October 2008 during a collecting trip through northern San Juan province we observed a juvenile female *L. olongasta* basking in an open area between bushes outside National Road 40, 6.5 km E Huaco River Bridge, in the road to Huaco, Jachal Department, San Juan province (30.3323°S, 68.6537°W, datum = WGS 84, 1096 m elev.). As we approached, the lizard ran away looking for refuge between the branches of a *Larrea cuneifolia* bush. When it reached the proximity of a small burrow between the branches, we observed the lizard’s body writhing, as something appeared to be grabbing it from inside the burrow. We continued to observe this activity until only a hind limb and the tail remained outside the burrow. When we grabbed

Fig. 1. Upper: general view of the site where the three lizards were found dead in the rock. Below: Detail of the three carcasses.
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the lizard something was still trying to pull it back into the burrow but finally we were able to pull the complete animal out with a large wound across its chest and belly. We dug into the burrow and found a *P. trigonatus* (SVL 240 mm, TL 278 mm, weight 70 g) coiled within, which we collected after the snake attempted to flee. The specimens of *L. olongasta* (LJAMM 10699) and *P. trigonatus* (LJAMM 11087) were deposited in the herpetological collection Luciano Javier Avila Mariana Morando of the Centro Nacional Patagónico–CONICET, Puerto Madryn, Argentina.
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**LIOLAEMUS PETROPHILUS** (NCN). **PREDATION.** Although birds are often acknowledged as feeding on lizards, direct observations of predation are relatively rare under natural conditions. *Liolaemus petrophilus* is a medium-sized lizard inhabiting the Patagonian steppe in association with rocky outcrop habitats in central Rio Negro and Chubut provinces, Argentina (Avila et al. 2006. Check List 2:66–69). Despite its abundance in its natural habitats, only recent information regarding its avian predators is available (Perez and Avila 2005. Herpetol. Rev. 36:451–452). On 13 January 2009 at ca. 1900 h, in the course of a herpetological survey carried out on the edge of a volcanic plateau, within a small valley known as Cañada La Leona (42.4084°S, 68.2615°W; datum: WGS84; elev. 1062 m), north of the town known as Gan Gan, Telsen Department, Chubut Province, CHFP observed the remains (tail with spinal axis) of a lizard *Liolaemus petrophilus* (SVL was estimated in 84 mm and a TL of 226 mm) below an American Kestrel (*Falco sparverius*) nest. The nest was on a small cliff and contained small edgplings, with an adult also present. A few minutes following the initial observation, another adult kestrel arrived with an adult *L. petrophilus* in its talons. The lizard was approximately of the same size as the former dead specimen, suggesting that during summer the kestrel is an active predator of this lizard. *Falco sparverius* is widely distributed in Patagonia and is an active diurnal predator (Narosky and Yurziesta 2003. Guía para la Identificación de las Aves de Argentina y Uruguay. A.O. P., Vázquez Mazzini, Buenos Aires, Argentina. 346 pp.). It is a generalist predator known to eat lizards in summer (Figueroa Rojas and Corales Stapp 2004. Hornero 19:53–60). This is the first record of predation on *L. petrophilus* by *F. sparverius*.
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On 27 April 2008 at ca. 1200 h, while conducting an ornithological survey as a part of the Environmental Impact Study of the Foz do Apiaçás Hydroelectric Power Plant in Mato Grosso State, Brazil, we collected an adult *G. swainsonii* with a pressure gun from a grassland area of Paranaíta, Mato Grosso (57.1092°W, 9.4155°S; datum: SAD69; elev. 701 m); dissection revealed a tail of one adult *M. nigropunctata* in the stomach. The grasslands are surrounded by rain forest dominated by Babaçu Palms (*Orbignya oleifera*).


The *G. swainsonii* specimen (JB 396 - collection license 10698-1/IBAMA) (length: 24.1 cm) and its stomach contents were deposited in Laboratório de Ornitologia, Campus of Cuiabá, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso (UFMT).

We thank M. Hayes for valuable suggestions on the manuscript and we are grateful to Biodinâmica Engenharia e Meio Ambiente for logistical support.
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We thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico for supporting this research. Theraphosid spiders are a part of the infrastructure of biodiversity and include a great diversity of spiders that occupy a variety of habitats and are common in Brazil, where they are known as “aranhas caranguejeiras” (“crab spiders”) because of their large size (Brescovit et al. 2002. In Adis (org.), Amazonian Arachnida and Myriapoda, pp. 303–343). The large size of these spiders allows them to consume large invertebrates and small vertebrates (Vitt 2000. Herpetol. Monogr. 14:388–400; Brescovit et al., op. cit.).

Few studies are available regarding prey-predator relationship of these spiders within Brazilian communities, especially involving reptiles, due to the time required for sampling and the elusive habits of many reptile species (Rocha and Vrcibradic 1998. Ciência e Cultura 50(5):364–368). Here, we report on an observation of predation on M. maximiliani by a theraphosid spider.

At 0930 h on 1 July 2009, in the Parque Estadual Mata da Pipa (PEMP), municipality of Tibau do Sul, State of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil (6.24861°S, 35.05750°W, datum: WGS84; elev. 63 m), PAGS saw a medium-sized spider (~6 cm from the anterior tip of the head to the posterior end of the abdomen) in bare sand of open forest with a specimen of M. maximiliani grasped in its chelicerae. The lizard had no head, no front legs and no chest, but its color pattern and the absence of records of other species of this genus in the State served for a positive identification. The specimen of M. maximiliani could not be used for scientific collection, due to its high degree of deterioration caused by spider’s digestive enzymes.

We thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the research scholarship granted to PAGS (process 127543/2008-2) and EMXF (process 304077/2008-9), Jackson D. Shedd for helpful comments on this note and Roberto Lima Santos for identifying the spider.
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**NOROPS CARPENTERI (NCN). ENDOPARASITES.** Nematodes are known as endoparasites of several species of anoles (e.g., Bursey et al. 2003. J. Parasitol. 89:118–123; Dobson et al. 1992. Oecologia 91:110–117; Goldberg and Bursey 2004. Herpetol. Rev. 35:269). Herein, we report a case of nematode infestation in Norops carpenteri. In the Reserva Biológica Hitoy Cerere (Costa Rica, Limón Province, 9.667°N, 83.033°W, ca. 250 m elev.) on 25 August 2005, I encountered a male N. carpenteri on the forest floor. The anole was hardly moving and at closer examination I noticed that it was heavily infested with nematodes, apparently ascaridids. The body loop of one nematode protruded from the anole’s cloaca and while I carefully handled the anole, several nematodes emerged (Fig. 1). This is the first report on endoparasites in Norops carpenteri.
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**PHRYNOSOMA CORNUTUM (Texas Horned Lizard). NESTING BEHAVIOR.** Few detailed accounts of nesting activities of the Texas Horned Lizard (Phrynosoma cornutum) have been published that span the early digging of a nest through oviposition and subsequent completion of an undetectable nest site. Nesting behaviors have been reported by Sherbrooke (2002. Herpetol. Rev. 33:206–208) and Allison and Cepeda (2009. Southwest. Nat. 54[2]:211–213). This note describes previously unreported behaviors during a nesting event.

At 1020 h on 25 May 2008, we observed a female (87 mm SVL; 52 g on 22 May) Texas Horned Lizard (Phrynosoma cornutum) excavating a nest under extremely hot, dry, windy conditions in Randall County, Texas, USA (ca. 34.98°N, 101.93°W, datum: NAD27; elev. 1080 m). Temperatures recorded for 25 and 26 May reached 32 and 33°C, respectively. At 1655 h the lizard (now 31.4 g) had laid eggs and was 10 m upslope from the site of excavation, resting in the shade of a nearby fence, but in clear view of, and intently watching, the nest site. This is the only observation we have made of a nesting female leaving the immediate area of the nest after ovipositing and before backfilling the nest, leaving the eggs exposed, and attribute it to the need for thermoregulation (lowering her body temperature). The lizard returned to, and resumed work at, the nest ca. 1855 h.

At ca. 2100 h, the lizard was working inside the nest with a large mound of loose soil outside the cavity when a violent thunderstorm approached. The storm, with 80 km/h winds (gusting to 112 km/h), hail, and driving rain, passed quickly and resulted in pea to marble sized hail, but minimal precipitation. When we checked the nest immediately after the worst of the storm had passed, the lizard and eggs were concealed within the excavation by a mound of loose soil (with the mounded soil having the appearance of a pocket gopher [Geomysidae] mound). The mound was 4–5 cm in height and 10–12 cm in diameter. However, at 0700 h the next morning, the entrance tunnel was exposed with the lizard asleep inside the
now-open tunnel, facing outward. This behavior is consistent with two other nesting events we observed in which the nest cavity and entrance tunnel remained open overnight.

Of three other nesting events we have observed, two nests were oviposited and backfilled during the night before the lizard rested (see also Sherbrooke 2002, op. cit.), and one nest was backfilled in late evening (second nest in Allison and Cepeda 2009, op. cit.). At 0839 h the lizard was awake but not yet moving, and became active by 0915 h. By 1140 h the nest had been backfilled, and the lizard was resting in the shade of the nearby fence upslope in view of the nest.

At 1140 h, the lizard proceeded to camouflage the nest surface by walking back and forth across, out of and into, the nest site while shuffling feet at each step (noted also in Sherbrooke 2002, op. cit.). Camouflaging was complete at 1224 h. At that time, the lizard traveled 1 m upslope from the nest-site, and assumed a standing, upright pose on hind legs until after 1314 h. The posture included two firmly planted hind feet, one somewhat in front of the other, and forelimbs resting on small, herbaceous plants. The posture was striking in both its erectness and its prolonged duration. During this time period, the lizard maintained her two-legged stance without pause. The lizard closed her eyes while an ant (Pogonomyrmex rugosus) climbed over her head. The only other movements we observed were periodic head-bobbing and three rapid upper-body twists to look in the direction of sounds from nearby.

We have observed similar postures by two other lizards following nesting events, although neither was as erect, and durations were unrecorded. Sherbrooke (2002, op. cit.) reported a lizard “standing high on stretched legs” following the backfilling of a nest cavity and prior to camouflaging activities, but we interpret his account to have been a four-legged stance.

Lizards were weighed, measured, and immediately released in accordance with permit SPR-0294-659 issued by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. The Texas Horned Lizard (P. cornutum) is designated as a Threatened species in the State of Texas.
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PHYLLODACTYLUS UNCTUS (San Lucan Gecko). REPRODUCTION. Phyllodactylus unctus is restricted to the Cape Region of Baja California and several nearby islands (Grismer 2002. Amphibians and Reptiles of Baja California, Including its Pacific Islands and the Islands in the Sea of Cortés. University of California Press, Berkeley. 399 pp.). Anecdotal information on its reproductive cycle is given in Asplund (1967. Amer. Midl. Nat. 77:462–475) and Grismer (op. cit.). The purpose of this note is to report additional information on the reproduction of P. unctus from a histological examination of gonadal material from museum specimens.

A sample of 26 P. unctus, consisting of 12 adult males (mean snout vent length, SVL = 46.0 mm ± 6.1 SD, range: 39–60 mm), 11 adult females (mean SVL = 45.4 mm ± 3.2 SD, range: 39–48 mm) and 3 presumed neonates (mean SVL = 22.0 mm ± 1.0 SD, range: 21–23 mm) collected 1947, 1959, 1961, 1964, 1975, 1978, were examined from the herpetology collection of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM), Los Angeles, California. The left testis was removed from males and the left ovary was removed from females for histological examination. Tissues were embedded in paraffin and cut into sections of 5 μm. Slides were stained with Harris hematoxylin followed by eosin counterstain. Slides of testes were examined to determine the stage of the spermatogenic cycle. Slides of ovaries were examined for the presence of yolk deposition. Histology slides were deposited in LACM. The following specimens of P. unctus were examined from LACM: 3263, 9811, 13971, 13973, 13974, 13976, 13977, 13979–13984, 13987, 13988, 51832, 51833, 122508, 127882–127889.

Testes were classified (sample size in parentheses) as to their spermatogenic state: spermiogenesis, the seminiferous tubules are lined with sperm and/or groups of metamorphosing spermatids: March (1), April (7), June (1); regressed, the seminiferous tubules are reduced in size and mainly contain spermatogonia and Sertoli cells: August (3). The smallest reproductively active males (spermiogenesis) each measured 39 mm SVL (LACM 9811, 127883). Ovaries were classified (sample size in parentheses) as to their reproductive state: quiescent: no yolk deposition March (2), August (8), December (1); early yolk deposition: yolk granules in the cytoplasm: April (1). The smallest reproductively active female (yolk deposition) measured 41 mm SVL (LACM 127886). Three presumed neonates were collected in August.

My findings indicate breeding occurs in spring to early summer with a late summer hatching period. This concurs with Asplund (op. cit.) who observed P. unctus ovaries (N = 6) were quiescent during August and neonates were present in that month. Examination of additional females are needed to elucidate Grismer’s (op. cit.) observation of gravid August P. unctus females. Timing of events in the P. unctus reproductive cycle appear similar to those of the congener P. nocticolus (formerly P. xanti) from southern California (Goldberg 1997. Herpetol. Rev. 28:152–153).

I thank Christine Thacker (LACM) for permission to examine P. unctus.
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PHYLLODACTYLUS UNCTUS (San Lucan Gecko). ENDOPARASITES. Phyllodactylus unctus is restricted to the Cape Region of Baja California and several nearby islands (Grismer 2002. Amphibians and Reptiles of Baja California, Including Its Pacific Islands and the Islands in the Sea of Cortés. University of California Press, Berkeley. 399 pp.). To our knowledge, there are no reports of helminths from P. unctus. The purpose of this note is to establish the initial helminth list for P. unctus.

Five individuals of P. unctus (mean SVL = 49.8 mm ± 6.9 SD, range = 41–60 mm) from the herpetology collection of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM), Los Angeles, California (LACM 127884–127888) collected in 1978 were examined for endoparasites. The lizards were opened by a mid-ventral incision and the gastrointestinal tract removed and opened longitudinally. The esophagus, stomach, small and large intestines as well as the body cavity were searched for endoparasites using a dissecting microscope. Eight juvenile (11–15 proglottids) cestodes (small intestine, LACM 127885; prevalence, number infected
lizards/number lizards examined \( \times 100 = 20\% \) were stained in hematoxylin, mounted on slides in Canada balsam and identified as *Oochoristica* sp. Nematomes were cleared in a drop of glycerol, cover-slipped, studied as wet mounts and identified as one female *Thubunaea iguanae* (stomach, LACM 127884; prevalence = 20\%) and seven female *Spauligodon oxutzcabiensis* (large intestine, LACM 127884, 127886, 127887; prevalence = 60\%, mean intensity, mean number infected lizards = 2.33 ± 1.5, range = 1–4). Voucher helminths were deposited in the United States National Parasite Collection (USNPC), Beltsville, Maryland as: *Oochoristica* sp. (USNPC 102324), *Spauligodon oxutzcabiensis* (USNPC 102325), *Thubunaea iguanae* (USNPC 102326).

Species of *Oochoristica* are often identified by number of testes, structure of scolex and ovary as well as sucker size and shape. While structure of the scolex and ovary allow assignment to the genus *Oochoristica*, we were unable to assign the juvenile cestodes to a species. *Spauligodon oxutzcabiensis* has been reported from lizards in Mexico, Central and South America (see Goldberg and Bursey 2009. Herpetol. Rev. 40: 224). *Phylodactylus unctus* is the fourth species of gecko reported to harbor *Spauligodon oxutzcabiensis* and represents a new host record for this nematode. Baja California Sur is a new locality record. *Thubunaea iguanae* has been reported in a variety of lizards from the southwestern United States and Mexico (Telford 1965. Jpn. J. Exp. Med. 35:111–114; Goldberg et al. 2009. Herpetol. Rev. 40: 85) as well as colubrid snakes (Goldberg and Bursey 2001. Bull. South. California Acad. Sci. 100:109–116). *Phylodactylus unctus* represents a new host record for *Thubunaea iguanae*.

We thank Christine Thacker (LACM) for permission to examine *P. unctus* and Cecilia Nava (Whittier College) for assistance with dissections.
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**PHYMATUScus FLAGELLIFER** (Matuasto). BRUMATION BEHAVIOR. *Phymatuscus flagellifer* is an endemic lizard of the Andes Mountains, ranging from 32º to 37ºS latitude (Lamborot and Navarro 1984. Herpetologia 40:258–264). During the breeding season (October to March), hierarchy reproductive systems have been described with one male congregating numerous females on large stones, while in sites with smaller stones, only lone couples or solitary individuals are observed (Habit and Ortiz 1994. Bol. Soc. Biol. Concepción, Chile 65:149–152; Habit and Ortiz 1996. In Pefaur [ed.], Herpetología Neotropical, pp. 141–154). There is almost no information regarding brumation behavior in this species within its extreme environment (areas covered with snow for six to seven months).

From 19 to 23 May 2008, while performing fieldwork in the Andes Mountains (Laguna del Maule (35.9°4074°S, 70.532293°W; WGS84; 2184 m elev., Maule Administrative Region, Chile), we found a group of 37 *P. flagellifer* in brumation underneath a stone (1 m × 40 cm; 40 cm depth) resting on a substrate of volcanic sand. The group was composed of 4 males, 18 females, 11 juveniles, and 5 neonates.

Our observation suggests that aggregation behavior in *P. flagellifer* is likely important in enabling this species to survive harsh winter conditions. Brumation behavior of reptiles at high elevations of the Andes is poorly known and this report contributes to the limited knowledge concerning the life history of *P. flagellifer*.
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**PODACRIS SICULUS** (Italian Wall Lizard). PREDATION. *Podarcis siculus campestris* is a medium-sized Italian lacertid lizard that has been introduced into at least four U.S. states (New York, Pennsylvania, and Kansas—Burke and Deichsel 2008. In Mitchell et al. [eds.], Urban Herpetology, pp. 347–353. SSAR Herpetol. Conserv. 3, Salt Lake City, Utah; New Jersey—Burke, unpubl. data). Here we report on the predation of this introduced species by a native species.

At least three different American Kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) were observed feeding on Italian Wall Lizards (*P. siculus campestris*) in New York City. One *F. sparverius* was an adult (sex undetermined) at a nest on Broadway between 68th and 69th streets, Manhattan, observed clutching a lizard in the summer of 2006. Two male *F. sparverius*, one at East 75th Street, Manhattan and another in Sunnyside, Queens, were observed bringing *P. siculus campestris* to their mates and young. Although observations were not systematic in any of these cases, the East 75th Street male was observed with at least six different lizards between 8 June and 6 July, 2009 and the Queens male was observed with at least five different lizards during the spring of 2009, and five lizards in spring of 2008. A photograph, (Fig. 1) taken 4 July 2009 at the East 75th Street nest, is clearly that of a gravid female *P. siculus campestris* being passed from an adult male *F. sparverius* to a juvenile. Male-biased foraging is not surprising because female *F. sparverius* are largely dependent on their mates for provisioning during the last few weeks of incubation and until hatchlings are about ten days old (Smallwood and Bird 2002. Birds of North America Online http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/602).

Although there may be others, we are aware of only five *Podarcis siculus* populations in the area: Queens College (Queens), Bronx Botanical Gardens and Pelham Bay Park (Bronx), Washington Cemetery (Brooklyn), and Baker Field (Manhattan). These populations are a minimum of 13 km from any of these nests, thus we suspect that there may be other *Podarcis* populations closer to the nests.

*Falco sparverius* are common inhabitants of urban, suburban, and rural habitats of North America and South America and feed on a wide variety of small vertebrates and invertebrates (Smallwood and Bird, *op. cit.*). *Falco sparverius* have not been previously...
reported to predate Podarcis, but they have been reported to consume Anolis lizards (Adolph and Roughgarden 1983 Oecologia 56:313–317) and Sceloporus occidentalis, S. graciusus, and Elgaria coerulea (Balgooyen 1976 Univ. California Publ. Zool. 103:1–87). The natural range of P. siculus is restricted almost entirely to Italy. There Podarcis spp. are predated by Falco tinnunculus (Eurasian Kestrel) (Martín and López 1990. Smithson. Herpetol. Info. Serv. No. 82, pp. 1–43; Costantini et al. 2005. Behaviour 142:1409–1421), but P. siculus has not specifically been positively identified as Falco prey.

This is the second report of predation by a native predator on Podarcis in New York (see Mendyk 2007 Herpetol. Rev. 38:82); introduced Podarcis in Kansas are predated by Great Plains Skinks (Eumeces obsoletus) and Blue Jays (Cyanocitta cristata) (Burke and Deichsel, op. cit.). Should populations of Podarcis siculus expand, it is likely the list of species that prey on this non-native lizard will increase.
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On 30 June 2008 at 0823 h, we observed an adult S. clarkii sprinting across bedrock in the bottom of a deeply-incised canyon in the Rincon Mountains east of Tucson, Arizona (32.16271°N, 110.69902°W, WGS84). The lizard disappeared under a rock ledge below us, and moments later we heard a splash. We found the lizard completely submerged in a shallow pool of water with a gravel-lined bottom (ca. 60 mm deep) under the rock ledge. The lizard remained underwater for approximately three minutes, lifted its head out of the water and took a breath, and then submerged its head and body completely for several more minutes. The lizard then lifted its snout and eyes above the surface of the water and remained in this position for more than 10 minutes, after which we stopped observing to avoid disturbing the lizard any more than necessary. The use of water as a refuge from predators has not been widely reported for terrestrial lizards, probably due to the thermodynamic costs associated with submergence. In this case, however, the lizard may have tolerated prolonged submergence because the water temperature was relatively high due to elevated ambient temperatures (>32°C) and the shallow depth of the pool.
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STENOCERCUS DOELLOJURADOI (NCN). CLUTCH SIZE. Stenocercus doellojuradoi (Tropiduridae) is a Chaco endemic species of Argentina, and regarded as a vulnerable species associated with Chaco forests (Pelegrin et al. 2006. Herpetozoa 19(1/2):85–
The Chaco ecoregion is a vast woodland that covers more than 1.2 million km², including portions of Argentina, Bolivia, and Paraguay, ranging from tropical (18°S) to subtropical (31°S) latitudes. The southernmost portion of the Chaco (Dry Chaco) is characterized by having lower temperatures and rainfall (Bucher 1982. Ecological Studies 42:48–79). Although S. doellojardoi is a common species within its limited distribution, its natural story has been poorly studied, and there is no available information on its reproductive biology. In the context of an ecological study on lizard assemblages in the Dry Chaco of Córdoba, Argentina (30.37°S, 65.43°W), we recorded females with oviducal eggs in November, January, and February; one female (SVL = 77 mm, 18 g) from November was found to be carrying six eggs (mean length ± SD = 13.33 ± 1.37 mm). This is the first report of reproductive data for this species.

Submitted by NICOLÁS PELEGRIN (e-mail: npelegrin@efn.uncor.edu) and ENRIQUE H. BUCHER, Centro de Zoológica Aplicada, FCEFyN, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Casilla de Correos 122 - X5000AVP Córdoba, Argentina.


We thank I. Sazima for critically reading this manuscript and Jerriane O. Gomes for confirming the identification of the prey items. The permit for collecting lizards was given by IBAMA (process 02010000071/07-01).
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TUPINAMBIS LONGILINEUS (NCN). ENDOPARASITES. *Tupinambis longilineus* is the smallest and least known species of its genus, with distribution records for only four localities documented from the Brazilian states of Amazonas, Pará, Rondônia and Mato Grosso (Costa et al. 2008. Check List 4[3]:267–268). No records of parasites are published for this species. Here, we report the nematode *Physaloptera retusa* infecting the stomach of an adult male *T. longilineus* (MZUFV 564; 230 mm SVL) from Aripuanã, Mato Grosso State, Brazil (10.16°S, 59.47°W; datum: WGS84) housed in the herpetological collection of Museu de Zoologia João Moojen, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, municipality of Viçosa, Minas Gerais State, Brazil. Six adult *P. retusa* were recovered while we conducted a dietary study. The nematodes were deposited at Coleção Helmintológica de Referência do Instituto de Biociências da UNESP-Botucatu (CHIBB 40006).
Physaloptera retusa is one of the most common parasites of Neotropical herpetofauna, known to infect more than 60 hosts, including Tupinambis teguixin and T. rufescens (Busrey et al. 2007. Comp. Parasitol. 74:108–140). T. longilineus is a new host record for P. retusa and the state of Mato Grosso represents a new locality record for this parasite.

We thank Energética Águas da Pedra S.A. for financial support of the fieldwork in Aripuanã and IBAMA for granting collection permits (029/2006-COFAN and 50/2007-SUPES/MT, process # 02001.003069/2004-42). The parasitological study had financial support by FAPESP (processes 04/03628-1, 06/59692-5 and 08/05417-7) and RWA thanks CAPES for a grant.
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TYMPANOCRYPTIS LINEATA (Lined Earless Dragon). ENTOPARASITES. Tympanocryptis lineata is known from eastern and southeastern Western Australia to the western slopes of New South Wales and southern Victoria where it occurs in a wide variety of terrestrial habitats (Cogger 2000. Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia, 6th ed. Ralph Curtis Books, Sanibel Island, Florida. 808 pp.). We know of no reports of endoparasites from this species. The purpose of this paper is to establish the initial helminth list for T. lineata.

Seven individuals of T. lineata (mean SVL = 53.3 mm ± 4.0 SD, range = 48–61 mm) were borrowed from the herpetology collection of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM), Los Angeles, California and examined for helminths. Three were collected between 28.9500°S to 32.5500°S and 134.3167°E to 134.8500°E; WGS 84, elev. 125–400 m, in Western Australia during 1966 and 1968 (LACM 55380–55381, 57944) and four were collected during 1966–1967 (LACM 55382–55383, 55385–55386). The body cavity was opened by a longitudinal incision and the large and small intestines were removed and examined using a dissecting microscope. Stomachs were not available for examination. Only cestodes were present, which were regressed stained in hematoxylin, mounted in Canada balsam, studied under a compound microscope. Stomachs were not available for examination. Only cestodes were present, which were regressed stained in hematoxylin, mounted in Canada balsam, studied under a compound microscope and identified as Oochoristica piankai.

One, one, and two individuals of O. piankai were found in the small intestines of LACM 55381, 55382, and 55385, respectively. Prevalence (number infected lizards/number examined lizards × 100) was 43%. Mean intensity (mean number parasites) was 1.3 ± 0.57 SD, range = 1–2.

Voucher endoparasites were deposited in the United States National Parasite Collection (USNPC), Beltsville, Maryland as Oochoristica piankai (USNPC 102139, 102140).


We thank C. Thacker (LACM) for permission to examine specimens and C. Nava (Whittier College) for assistance with dissections.
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SQUAMATA — SNAKES

AGKISTRODON PISCIVORUS (Cottonmouth). ECTOPARASITE. Many internal parasites have been reported for Agkistrodon piscivorus (Gloyd and Conant 1990. Snakes of the Agkistrodon Complex: A Monographic Review. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Oxford, Ohio. 614 pp.). However, no data on ectoparasites from wild-caught individuals are available, with the exception of reports of blood feeding by mosquitoes (Burkett-Cadena et al. 2008. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 79:809–815). On 31 July 2008, 2020 h CST, we captured a large adult male A. piscivorus (SVL = 83.8 cm; 595 g) in a small creek at Tuskegee National Forest, Macon County, Alabama, USA (32.434486°N, 85.643901°W; WGS 84). While restraining (tubing) the snake for processing, we noticed a leech attached to the posterior one-third of the snake’s dorsum. The leech was placed in water in a plastic vial and was later keyed (by EB) as Placobdella ornata, a leech that commonly parasitizes turtles (Siddal and Gaffney 2004. J. Parasitol. 90:1186–1188). We could not confirm that this leech had obtained a blood meal from the snake, so the attachment may have been only phoretic (e.g., for dispersal).

This observation was made while conducting research funded by NIH grant # R01-A149724 to T. Unnasch under ADCNR Permit # 4268, and NSF Minority Postdoctoral Fellowship (DBI# 0706856) to EB.
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AGKISTRODON PISCIVORUS (Cottonmouth). DIET. On 19 April 2008, at 1647 h at an oxbow pond in Tuskegee National Forest, Macon County, Alabama, USA (32.43875203°N, 85.63422342°W, datum: WGS 84), we captured an adult male Agkistrodon piscivorus (SVL = 75.7 cm) with a pronounced bulge in its posterior half. We palpated the snake and discovered the bulge to be a partially digested subadult Agkistrodon contortrix (Copperhead). Agkistrodon piscivorus has one of the broadest diets of any known snake, with >100 species of invertebrates, fish, amphibi-
ians, reptiles, mammals, and birds listed as prey (Ernst and Ernst 2003. Snakes of the Unites States and Canada. Smithsonian Press, Washington, D.C., 668 pp.). However, this is the first record of an A. contortrix being consumed by an A. piscivorus. A photograph of both snakes was deposited in the AUM digital database (AHAP-D 42). The A. piscivorus was released at its point of capture and the palpated copperhead was left next to the cottonmouth.

We thank the C. Guyer for comments on this note. This observation was made while conducting research funded by NIH grant R01-A149724 to T. Unnasch under ADCNR Permit 4268.
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**BOTHROPS INSULARIS** (Golden Lancehead). **MAXIMUM LENGTH.** Bothrops insularis is a viperid endemic to Queimada Grande Island (0.43 km²), approximately 35 km from the coast of São Paulo State, Brazil (Amaral 1922. Mem. Inst. Butantan 1:39–44). Bothrops insularis is considered critically endangered (IUCN 2007. Red List of Threatened Species; Machado et al. 2005. Lista da Fauna Brasileira Ameaçada de Extinção. Fundação Biodiversitas. Belo Horizonte. 157 pp.) and may be declining (Martins et al. 2008. South Am. J. Herpetol. 3:168–174). In December 1995, one of us (OAVM) found a female B. insularis that weighed 391 g and measured 1093 mm total length (SVL = 950 mm; tail length = 143 mm). In December 2007 we found a male that weighed 185 g and measured 912 mm total length (SVL = 775 mm; tail length = 137 mm). Of the 520 individuals observed over 15 years of fieldwork on the island, the specimens above represent the largest individuals of each sex. The size of these snakes also exceeds the length of all preserved specimens (250 males and 400 females) from the herpetological collection of the Instituto Butantan.

In 1920, Amaral (1922) collected a female B. insularis (IB 1900) that reportedly measured 1180 mm in total length. The current total length of this specimen is 992 mm (SVL = 882 mm; tail length = 110 mm). When re-measured, we found other specimens cited by Amaral (1922) to be smaller than originally reported. This incongruence in lengths suggests that the specimens either shrank substantially over time or were measured incorrectly at the time of collection. Regardless of the status of specimens collected by Amaral (1922), the lengths reported here likely represent the maximum sizes currently attained by male and female B. insularis in the field.

We thank Valdir Germano from Herpetological Collection of Instituto Butantan, and J. D. Willson for suggestions on the manuscript. Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Fapesp) provide financial support.
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**CONOPSIS LINEATA** (Large-nosed Earthsnake). **LITTER SIZE.** Little is known about reproduction of Conopsis lineata (Fitch 1970. Univ. Kansas Mus. Nat. Hist. Misc. Publ. 52:1–214). Anecdotal information indicates that litter sizes range from 2–3 for individuals from Hidalgo, Mexico (Goyenechea 2003. Herpetol. Rev. 34:63), 3–5 for individuals from Veracruz, Mexico (Greer 1966. Copeia 1966:371–373), and 2–6 for individuals from Mexico City (Uribe-Peña et al. 1999. Anfibios y Reptiles de Las Serranías del Distrito Federal. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. 118 pp.). On 14 June 2008 we found a dead gravid female C. lineata on the road from Municipality of Mineral de la Reforma, Mexico (20.09011°N, 98.71064°W, datum: WGS84; elev. 2431 m; xerophytic vegetation). The female measured 225 mm SVL, weighed 17.5 g, had a litter size of seven well-developed embryos (stage 40). Measurements of embryos (mean ± SE, range) are as follows—mass: 0.78 ± 0.02 g, 0.70–0.84 g; SVL: 64 ± 7.5 mm, 34.6–77.6; total length: 82.4 ± 7.9 mm, 51.4–101.1.

We thank A. Leyte-Manrique for his logistic help. This study was supported by the projects SEP-PROMEP-1103/03/1130, CONACYT-S 52552-Q, and FOMIX-CONACYT-43761.
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On 17 November 2008, at 2040 h, in Parque Nacional dos Campos Amazônicos, Amazonas state, Brazil (8.05°S, 61.58°W; datum WGS84; elev. ca. 100 m), we observed a female C. hortulanus (SVL = 1040 mm; tail length = 290 mm) on the ground and four newborns (SVL: 462, 465, 460, 467 mm; tail lengths: 121, 122, 119, and 126 mm) on vegetation (40–250 cm high) nearby. The specimens were photographed, measured, and immediately released. This observation extends the known birthing season for the species and possibly suggests year-round reproduction or geographic variation in timing of reproduction for C. hortulanus in Brazil.
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**CROTALUS DURISSUS** (South American Rattlesnake). **ARBOREAL HABITAT USE.** Crotalus durissus is the most widespread rattlesnake species and is the only one to reach South America. It occurs in all mainland countries except Ecuador and Chile, with a discontinuous distribution from Colombia to Argentina (Campbell and Lamar 2004. Venomous Reptiles of the Western Hemisphere.
**CROTALUS OREGANUS LUTOSUS** (Great Basin Rattlesnake).

**ELEVATION.** On 18 June 2007 we observed a *Crotalus oreganus lutosus* at an elevation of 3962 m near the summit of Wheeler Peak, Great Basin National Park, White Pine County, Nevada, USA (38.986°N, 114.314°W, datum: WGS1984). A color slide of the snake was cataloged as a photo voucher (BYU 49442; verified by Jack Sites) (Fig. 1). The snake was found well above the treeline, in rocky, talus, fell-field habitat. It rattled upon approach and crawled over a snowfield. In July 2000 we observed three *C. o. lutosus* at 3090 m in south-facing, rocky, low sagebrush (*Artemisia arbuscula*) steppe habitat, in Great Basin National Park. *Crotalus o. lutosus* was previously reported at a maximum elevation of 3063 m (Klauber 1972. Rattlesnakes: Their Habits, Life Histories, and Influence on Mankind. Volume 1. 2nd ed. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, 740 pp.). To our knowledge, these observations represent the maximum elevations reported for *C. o. lutosus*. Wheeler Peak is the second highest point in the Great Basin, at 3982 m, suggesting that *C. o. lutosus* may occur at all elevations within its range.

**Submitted by** BRYAN HAMILTON, Great Basin National Park, Baker, Nevada 89311, USA (e-mail: bryan_hamilton@nps.gov); and DALE RICHARD, Box 17, Heffley Creek, British Columbia, Canada.

**DIADOPHIS PUNCTATUS PUNCTATUS** (Southern Ring-necked Snake). **DIET.** *Diadophis punctatus* eats a variety of small animals, including insects, slugs, earthworms, snakes, lizards, anurans and salamanders (Ernst and Ernst 2003. Snakes of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C. 668 pp.). In addition to the salamandrid *Taricha torosa*, plethodontid salamanders in the genera *Aneides*, *Batrachoseps*, *Desmognathus*,
Eurycea, and Plethodon have been reported as prey of Diadophis, as well as salamander eggs. Specifically, Eurycea bislineata, E. cirrigena, E. longicauda, and E. quadridigitata are known to be constituents of the diet of D. punctatus. Uhler et al. (1939. Trans. N. Am. Wildl. Conf. 4:605–622) reported that salamanders made up 80% by volume of the diet of Virginia D. punctatus. We report a novel species of Eurycea, E. lucifuga (Cave Salamander), in the diet of D. punctatus.

At 1545 h on 25 April 2009, one of us (KTN) found an adult ring-neck snake crawling ~2 m inside the entrance to Pettijohn’s Cave on Pigeon Mountain, Walker Co., Georgia, USA (34.663947°N, 85.363547°W; WGS 84). At 1610 h, the snake regurgitated an adult E. lucifuga weighing 3.37 g and measuring 67 mm SVL (total length = 157 mm), swallowed headfirst. At least 15 live E. lucifuga had been observed in crevices and on rock faces immediately surrounding the cave entrance, as well as at least two Plethodon petraeus, so presumably these salamanders represent a fairly abundant and predictable source of food.
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On 20 April 2008, at 2010 h, a juvenile female D. r. rufozonatum (SVL = 462 mm; tail length = 122 mm; 33.8 g) was found at the edge of a field that had been tilled and left to lay fallow in Santzepu, Sheishan District, Chiayi County, Taiwan (23.4308667°N, 120.4845333°E; datum: WGS84; elev. 64 m). Palpation revealed an object in the stomach and the snake was induced to regurgitate the object; a reptilian egg (length = 9.3 mm; width = 5.3 mm; 0.1 g). Two days later, the remains of four additional eggs were found in the excrement of the snake. Plestiodon (= Eumeces) elegans and Takydromus kuhnei are very common lizards in this area. Eggs of P. elegans are larger (mean length ± SD = 15.25 ± 1.89 mm; width = 10 ± 0.82 mm; 1.25 g; Mao et al. 2008. Sauria 30:51–54) than those of T. kuhnei (mean length = 10.25 ± 0.69 mm; width = 5.93 ± 0.23 mm; 0.23 ± 0.05 g). Thus, based on the size, we believe that the eggs retrieved from the D. r. rufozonatum were those of T. kuhnei. The fact that no other prey remains were found leads us to believe the snake preyed only on the eggs. To our knowledge this is the first report of D. r. rufozonatum predation on reptilian eggs.
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From 1998–2006, we monitored four D. couperi populations at Fort Stewart Military Installation in the lower Coastal Plain of southeastern Georgia. For the purposes of this note, we define a D. couperi population as an overwintering adult D. couperi occupying a discrete sandhill area known to support G. polyphemus that is separated >6.0 km from a similar sandhill area. Distances between populations in this study ranged from 6.5–27 km. We monitored these populations by surveying for snakes from mid-November through mid-March (see Stevenson et al., op. cit. for additional details). During the seven-year period we captured and marked 77 individual D. couperi (51 males, 26 females). We recaptured 33 of these snakes (20 males, 13 females) in at least one additional survey year, and we recaptured 17 individuals (12 males, 5 females).
in 3–5 different survey years. Of these multi-year captures, we documented only a single instance of a snake moving between populations.

On 8 November 2002, we captured an adult male *D. couperi* (SVL = 140 cm; 1.86 kg) in sandhill habitat along Beards Creek, Long Co., Georgia, USA. The snake was marked with a passive integrated transponder (PIT) implant and was released at the site of capture. On 23 December 2003, the snake was recaptured 22.2 km linear distance NE of its initial capture site in sandhill habitat N of the Canoochee River, Bryan Co., Georgia, USA. The snake was twice recaptured (at different tortoise burrows) the following fall/winter at the same sandhill site in Bryan Co.

The snake may have moved in search of mating opportunities; the Long County site where it was originally captured seems to support a very small *D. couperi* population, with only four males and no females found during eight-year survey, while the site it moved to supports a larger population (9 adult females captured). If this snake moved between sites in a direct route (ca. 22 km), it would have traversed extensive areas of mesic pine flatwoods dotted with depressional wetlands and would have crossed numerous blackwater creek swamps. Because of poorly-drained soils, *G. polyphemus* are very uncommon and locally distributed on this part of Fort Stewart. Alternatively, a less direct route (ca. 27 km) would have the snake traveling north along a north-south trending upland terrace, and then traveling east (parallel to the Canoochee River), often through sandy uplands populated by *G. polyphemus*.

Interpopulation dispersal in snakes is generally thought to be low (Parker and Plummer 1987. *In Seigel et al. [eds.], Snakes: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology*, pp. 253–301. McGraw Hill, New York, New York), and even short distances (e.g., 1.6 km) of unsuitable habitat can potentially restrict gene flow (Prior et al. 1997. *Conserv. Biol.* 11:1147–1158). This type of long-distance movement by an imperiled species underscores the importance of conserving large tracts of land and the value of maintaining habitat connectivity and dispersal corridors between populations.

We thank David C. Rostal for first capturing the snake, and M. Rebecca Bolt, Kevin M. Enge, and John G. Palis for helpful comments on the manuscript.
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**DRYMARCHON COUPERI** (Eastern Indigo Snake). DEATH FEIGNING. At 1100 h on 5 November 2008, I captured an adult female *Drymarchon couperi* (SVL = 1080 mm) ca. 10 km SW of Townsend, McIntosh Co., Georgia, USA. When found, the snake was on the ground, moving through open-canopied sandhill habitat, vegetated with scattered clumps of Saw Palmetto (*Serenoa repens*); numerous Gopher Tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*) burrows were located within 100 m of the capture site. Upon capture, the snake actively struggled in my hands, ratted its tail, flattened its neck vertically, and released musk — characteristic defensive behaviors for wild *D. couperi* when threatened (Stevenson et al. 2008. *In Jensen et al. [eds.], Amphibians and Reptiles of Georgia*, pp. 339-341. University of Georgia Press, Athens). Ambient temperature at the time of capture was 18°C, following an overnight low of 12°C; skies were cloudy and a very light, misty rain was falling. The snake had recently shed its skin, and appeared in good health.

When I attempted to pose the snake for photographs, it became motionless, rolled its head and neck to one side, and gaped (Fig. 1). It lay on the ground stationary and immobile, its mouth continuously open in the same position, for ca. 5 minutes. Occasionally, its tail would slowly and weakly twitch back and forth. Similar to Gehlbach’s (1970. *Herpetologica* 26:24–34) description of death-feigning in the Western Coachwhip (*Masticophis flagellum testaceous*), the head and neck of the *D. couperi* were rigid while the posterior part of the snake was limp, and a bend in the neck caused the snake’s head to face downward. When I moved to a distance of 5 m, within 45 seconds the *D. couperi* closed its mouth, fully righted itself, and crawled off normally. Twice, I captured the snake and elicited the behavior described above — both times followed by the snake again closing its mouth, righting itself, and crawling away within ca. 45 seconds when I retreated. It never struck or made any attempt to bite during the entire time it was being handled.

On 3 December 2008, I observed a second adult female *D. couperi* (SVL = 1295 mm; Wheeler Co., Georgia, USA) exhibit the same behavior. This snake, eyes opaque and nearing a shed event, was captured as it emerged from a tortoise burrow at 1210 h on a clear, sunny day (temperature at time of collection = 10°C) following a cold night (2.2°C) and frost event. The snake displayed the behavior for ca. 1 minute during my processing and marking; it moved slowly, albeit normally, when released at its capture burrow.

I interpret this behavior as death-feigning (thanatosis), a defensive strategy that has not previously been reported for *D. couperi*. Over a 15-year period (1992–2008), I have captured and handled over 150 *D. couperi* in southern Georgia as part of population moni-

**FIG. 1.** An adult female Eastern Indigo Snake (*Drymarchon couperi*) exhibiting death-feigning behavior (McIntosh Co., Georgia, USA).
toring studies (Stevenson et al. 2003. Southeast. Nat. 2:393–408), and had never observed this behavior. Similar death-feigning behavior has been observed in Eastern Coachwhips (M. f. flagellum) in North Carolina (Palmer and Braswell 1995. Reptiles of North Carolina. Univ. of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill. 412 pp.) and in the Coastal Plain region of southeastern Georgia, including the Wheeler Co., Georgia site mentioned above (D. Stevenson, unpubl. data).

I thank Jeff Beane for reviewing the manuscript. Eastern Indigo Snake studies in Georgia are supported by Georgia Department of Natural Resources Scientific Collecting Permit 29-WBH-08-206, issued to Christopher L. Jenkins.
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**FARANCI A ABACURA REINWARDTII** (Western Mudsnake). FEEDING BEHAVIOR. Rossman (in Dundee and Rossman 1989. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Louisiana. Louisiana State Univ. Press, Baton Rouge. 300 pp.) recounts witnessing a captive Western Mudsnake chewing “vigorously back and forth along the trunk” of a Western Lesser Siren (Siren intermedia nettingi) “—inflicting deep gashes— before swallowing it head first.” During mid-morning on 28 June 1995, in a riparian area near the town of Kisatchie, Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana, USA, a repeated faint “yelp” drew my attention to a shallow puddle measuring about 0.3 m². Protruding out of the water, near the middle of the puddle, I saw the anterior 15–18 cm of an F. abacura that I judged to be about 75 cm total length. The snake had an S. intermedia ca. 18–20 cm total length, which was the source of the yelps, grasped by the midsection in its jaws. Most of the snake was completely concealed by the thick layer of dead hardwood leaves covering the bottom of the puddle. As I watched, the F. abacura proceeded to chew methodically back and forth along the trunk of the siren before finally swallowing it headfirst. Shortly after swallowing its prey, the snake withdrew the exposed portion of its body straight back into the opening in the submerged leaf litter, completely disappearing from view. This observation confirms that the captive behavior witnessed by Rossman was not unique, and may represent a typical feeding behavior in Farancia abacura.
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The H. angulatus was gravid with 17 vitellogenic follicles (mean length = 11.6 mm) and 37 previtellogenic follicles. The reproductive cycle for this species has been reported to last from February to November (Martins and Oliveira, op. cit.) with clutch sizes ranging from 11 to 18 (Ford and Ford, op. cit.). The observation of anuran predation in a vitellogenic female is further indication of the opportunistic foraging behavior of H. angulatus.

We thank the 19o Batalhão de Caçadores, for the permits to work at federal property. The Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Naturais Renováveis – IBAMA issued permits for the collection of snakes for our study.
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On 28 September 2006 (1056 h) two adult (total length of each ca. 70 cm) H. polylepis were observed consuming opposite ends of a freshwater eel, likely Synbranchus marmoratus (Fig. 1). A photograph was taken of the event (UTADC 1927), which occurred in a small stream 50 m N of the port at Lake Sandoval (approx. 12.6041667°N, 69.0472222°W; datum: WGS 84; 190 m elev.). Lake Sandoval is located in the Provínicia Tambopata, ca. 3 km E of the Rio Madre de Dios in southeastern Peru. Both the snakes and their prey were identified from the photo and H. polylepis was distinguished from other Helicops by having paravertebral spots less than 3 scales long (Rossman, op. cit.). Duellman (2005, op. cit.) conjectured that this species likely feeds on fish and/or tadpoles and Synbranchus marmoratus has been found to be eaten by H. infrataeniatus and H. leopardinus (Aguir and Di-Bernardo 2004. Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 39:7–14; Ávila et al. 2006. J. Herpetol. 40:274–79).
We thank J. A. Campbell for cataloguing the photograph and Jose Koechlin and Inkaterra for logistical support.
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LAMPROPELTIS GETULA (Eastern Kingsnake). SCAVENGING AND DIET. Lampropeltis getula is an ophiophagous colubrid known to consume other colubrid, viperid and elapid snakes (Ernst and Ernst 2002. Snakes of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C. 661 pp.). Here we report evidence that L. getula may venture out onto roads to scavenge dead snakes that have been killed by vehicles, in turn exposing themselves to road mortality. Additionally, we report a novel diet record for L. getula, Pantherophis guttatus (Red Cornsnake).

Historically, carrion feeding by snakes has been largely downplayed or ignored, despite recent work suggesting that scavenging is a common component of snake behavior (Sazima and Straussmann 1990. Rev. Brasil. Biol. 50:463–468; Shivik and Clark 1997. J. Exp. Zool. 279:549–553) and the observation that nearly all snake species will readily accept pre-killed prey in captivity (e.g., Rossi 1992. Special Issue: 7th Annual Conference, Assoc. of Rept. and Amph. Vets. 107–108). At least 26 snake species from five families have been observed scavenging carrion from at least 35 species of vertebrate taxa (reviewed in DeVault and Krochmal 2002. Herpetologica 58[4]:429–436). However, because scavenged carcasses are difficult to differentiate from live prey through stomach content or fecal surveys, the majority of scavenging events that take place in the field are likely overlooked. The costs associated with exploiting carrion as a food source are generally low compared to other costs incurred through foraging on live prey (e.g., stalking, production of venom, and potential injury during prey capture). However, the risk of scavenging carcasses from roads is relatively high, especially considering the lengthy amount of time snakes take to consume large food items. Additionally, while the use of carrion as a major food source may be unrealistic for many endothermic scavengers due to its ephemeral and unpredictable availability, snakes’ exceptionally low metabolic demands make it possible for them to capitalize on these irregular, energy-rich meals (DeVault and Krochmal, op. cit.).

At 1130 h on 2 April 2006, we found a road-killed female L. getula (SVL = 575 mm SVL; total length = 675 mm) on Turney Anderson Road, 0.15 road mi. E of the junction with Arline Road in Jefferson County, Florida, USA (30.581152°N, 83.844966°W, WGS84; elev. 56.4 m). Protruding from the mouth of the L. getula was a dead female Pantherophis alleghaniensis (Eastern Ratsnake; SVL = 365 mm; total length = 455 mm), ca. 70 mm of which had been ingested by the L. getula. Additionally, protruding from the stomach through the body wall of the L. getula was a partially digested segment of a Pantherophis guttatus (Red Cornsnake) of indeterminable sex, measuring at least 240 mm SVL (Fig. 1). Surprisingly, P. guttatus has not been documented in the literature as a food item for L. getula, although at least nine other colubrid species including conspecifics and P. alleghaniensis have been recorded (Ernst and Ernst, op. cit.).

Our findings suggest potential direct costs (mortality) for snakes scavenging roadkill or foraging on roads and reports a new aspect of the feeding ecology of L. getula.
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LIOPHIS ALMADENSIS (NCN). PREDATION. Liophis almadensis is a small terrestrial xenodontine snake that occurs from the southern side of the Rio Amazonas (Marajó Island) to the Rio
Grande do Sul in Brazil, south of the Paraguayan Chaco (Dixon 1991. Texas J. Sci. 43:225–236). This species feeds on anurans and possesses red ventral coloration contrasting with a predominantly gray dorsum (Marques et al. 2005. Serpentes do Pantanal. Holos Editora, Ribeirão Preto, SP. 179 pp.). There are no published records of predation on _L. almadensis_. At 1700 h on 29 July 2008, I observed a _Furnarius rufus_, a large ovenbird (Rufous Hornero, Furnariidae), handling a _L. almadensis_ along an unpaved road that crosses a pasture (30.2042°S, 50.8700°W; datum Córrego Alegre; elev. 50 m) in a rural area in municipality of Viamão, State of Rio Grande do Sul, south Brazil. On my approach, the bird flew off, leaving the snake (juvenile male; SVL = 190 mm; total length = 204 mm) on the ground. Examination of the snake revealed that the top of its head, between the eyes, was deeply perforated by bird’s beak. Five more perforations and additional peck marks were present on the snake’s dorsum and part of the digestive tract was exposed. The snake was still showing motor reflexes, indicating that it had been recently attacked.

This observation reports a novel predator for _L. almadensis_. The record is even more interesting because _F. rufus_ is known to eat only invertebrates (arthropods and earthworms) and seeds (Sick 1988. Ornitologia Brasileira: uma Introdução. Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF. 828 pp.) and the red ventral coloration of _L. almadensis_ may mimic a sympatric coral snake (_Micrurus altirostris_). The _L. almadensis_ voucher (MCP 18412) was deposited in the Coleção Herpetológica do Museu de Ciência e Tecnologia da PUCRS.

I thank Gláucia M. F. Pontes for snake identification, Marcio Borges Martins and Carlos Roberto R. Oliveira for suggestions on the manuscript.
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**NERODIA ERYTHROGASTER** (Plain-bellied Watersnake). 

**FORAGING BEHAVIOR.** Published observations of watersnake foraging behavior in nature are few (Gibbons and Dorcas 2004. North American Watersnakes: A Natural History. Univ. Oklahoma Press, Norman. 438 pp.). On 3 June 2006, I observed a _Nerodia erythrogaster_ (total length = 76 cm) in a shallow (< 3 cm), 1.5 m x 3 m, muddy-water pool in a floodplain forest, Union County, Illinois, USA. As I entered the pool, the snake began to make a series of circular loops, first in one direction, then in the other. Initially, I assumed the snake was making an ineffectual attempt to escape. As I watched, however, I realized it was foraging for prey.

The snake foraged as follows: with head and body just under the water’s surface, the snake rapidly coiled in one direction one or more times, ducked its head beneath its coiled body, repeated the coiling motion in the opposite direction, ducked its head beneath its coiled body, repeated the coiling motion in the opposite direction, etc. (Fig. 1). Forward movement, including change of direction, was continuous. The overall pattern created by the snake was that of a series of figure eights. However, because the loops did not follow the same path each time, the snake foraged over a different area with each change in direction. Foraging behavior was confirmed when, after performing a series of figure eights, the snake raised its head above water holding a small fish in its mouth. Four species of fishes (Amelius natalis, Aphredoderus sayanus, Esox americanus, and Notemigonus crysoleucus; all ≤ 75 mm total length) and Rana clamitans tadpoles (≤ 25 mm total length) inhabited in the pool.

Evans (1942. Chicago Nat. 5:53–55) described watersnakes (Nerodia spp.) moving, open-mouthed, “through the water…in a series of figure eights, the entire body following the path of the head.” Although Evans assumed the snakes were foraging, he never saw snakes actually capture prey while engaging in the behavior. Although I could not see if the snake foraged open-mouthed due to the turbidity of the water, it is likely that the foraging behavior I observed is that described by Evans. My observation of prey capture supports Evans’ contention that he observed watersnakes foraging.

I thank J. W. Gibbons for providing a copy of the Evans reference, and E. L. Palmer for rendering the figure.
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**OPHEODRYS AESTIVUS** (Rough Greensnake). 

**FORAGING.** _Opheodrys aestivus_ is an entomophagous colubrid known to consume spiders, harvestmen, millipedes, isopods, land snails, small hylid frogs and insects from several orders (Coleoptera, Ephemeroperta, Lepidoptera, Odonata, Orthoptera; Ernst and Ernst 2002. Snakes of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C. 661 pp.). Captive feeding trials on orthopterans have suggested that vision is the sole sense used to detect moving prey; motionless insects were overlooked despite tongue flicking (Goldsmith 1986. Southwest. Nat. 31:246–249). Here, we report evidence that _O. aestivus_ will forage on visually concealed, gall-living insects (Price et al. 1987. Environ. Entomol. 16:15–24).

At 1445 h on 26 July 2007, one of us (KPD) spotted an adult _O. aestivus_ in a Water Tupelo (_Nyssa aquatica_) along a boardwalk trail at the Virginia Marine Science Center, Virginia Beach, Virginia, USA (36.816144°N, 75.987989°W, WGS84; elev. <1 m). The trail is adjacent to the southern shore of Lake Rudee, a brackish water estuary that empties into the Atlantic Ocean via Rudee Inlet. The
The snake was probing the surface of a large (>30 cm diameter) decayed woody “crown gall” identified as being caused by a bacterium, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Smith and Townsend 1907. Science 25:671–673; Stafford 2000. Bot. Rev. 66:99–118). After several minutes, the snake inserted the anterior third of its body through a concealed hole in the gall and withdrew a minute later with a live insect, which it immediately swallowed. We watched and photographed the snake for 15 minutes as it repeated this behavior (Fig. 1) before it departed.

We thank S. Covert (University of Georgia) and S. Fraedrich (U.S. Forest Service) for their assistance in identifying the gall. There is a report of bat predation by *Myotis nigricans* (Little Brown Bat), a small bat of the family Vespertilionidae, that had been eaten head first by the snake. The prey was nearly intact and weighed 7 g. This insectivorous bat species is widespread in Central and South America and inhabits open habitats, including urban areas (Emmons and Feer 1999. Neotropical Rainforest Mammals, a Field Guide. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois. 307 pp.). The ingestion of bats by snakes is seldom reported in the literature, but may not be infrequent because bat colonies can represent a potential concentration of food that is constantly available (Esberárd and Vrcibradic 2007. Rev. Bras. Zool. 24:848–853). There is a report of bat predation by *Philodryas viridissimus*, which is primarily arboreal (Otto and Miller 2004. Herpetol. Rev. 35:277).

To our knowledge, this is the first record of bat predation by the mainly terrestrial *P. nattereri*. The snake and the bat are deposited together in the herpetological collection of Universidade Federal do Ceará (CHUF 3087).

We thank the Fundação Cearense de Amparo a Pesquisa (FUNCAP) for financial support, the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Naturais (IBAMA) for the license (License number: 18596-1) to collect snake samples in the studied area.

Submitted by JEAN-JAY MAO (e-mail: jjmao@niu.edu.tw), CHIN-LIANG HSU, WEI-HAN CHEN, and TIEN-SHIU HSIEH, Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, National Ilan University. No. 1, Sec. 1, Shen-Lung Rd., Ilan, Taiwan 260; and GERRUT NORVAL, Applied Behavioural Ecology & Ecosystem Research Unit, Department of Nature Conservation, UNISA, Private Bag X6, Florida, 1710, Republic of South Africa.

**OVOPHIS MONTICOLA MAKAZAYAZAYA** (Taiwan Mountain Pitviper). **DIET.** *Ovophis monticola* feeds primarily on small rodents and insectivorous mammals (Zhao et al. 1998. Fauna Sinica-Reptilia Vol. 3. Science Press, Beijing. 522 pp.). However, little natural history or dietary information has been published regarding *O. monticola makazayazaya*, a subspecies inhabiting Taiwan. This is likely a consequence of nocturnal and secretive behavior, activity during periods of light rain or fog, and occurrence in montane forests (Mao, pers. obs.).

On 24 November 2007, a female *O. m. makazayazaya* (SVL = 498 mm; tail length = 72 mm) was found in a funnel trap along a drift fence in Minchi, Yilan County, Taiwan (24.6442°N, 121.4631°E; datum WGS84; elev. 1130 m). The snake had an obvious bulge at mid-body, which slightly reduced its mobility. We palpated a partially digested *Niviventer coxingi* (Spinosous Country Rat; 15.4 g), which had been ingested head-first. Based on a comparison with specimens in the National Museum of Natural Science (NMNS), Taiwan, we believe the rat had a body length ca. 95–130 mm. The snake was released.

*Niviventer coxingi* is endemic to Taiwan and occurs throughout the country in mountainous forests below 2200 m elevation (Pei 1995. Zool. Stud. 34:55–58) and appears to decrease activity in response to strong moonlight (Lin et al. 1995. Notes and Newsletter of Wildlifers 3:11–13). Although the moon was nearly full at the time of these observations, dense fog may have reduced the lunar effect on the rat’s activity pattern.

We thank Y.-J. Chen (NMNS) for assistance.

Submitted by ANDREW M. DURSO, Odum School of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA (e-mail: amdurso@gmail.com); and KEVIN P. DURSO, College of Natural Resources, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA (e-mail: kpdurso@ncsu.edu).

**PHILODRYAS NATTERERI** (Paraguay Green Racer). **DIET.** The diet of the medium-sized colubrid snake *Philodryas nattereri* is relatively well studied and includes lizards and mammals (Vitti 1980. Pap. Avul. Zool. 34:87–98.). On 24 November 2008, at 1400 h, we found an adult female *P. nattereri* (SVL = 879 mm; 175 g after dissection) that had been killed by local farmers, in a fish farm near Pentecoste, Ceará, Brazil (3.82145°S, 39.33824°W; datum WGS 84). Upon dissection, we found a *Myotis nigricans* (Little Brown Bat), a small bat of the family Vespertilionidae, that had been eaten head first by the snake. The prey was nearly intact and weighed 7 g. This insectivorous bat species is widespread in Central and South America and inhabits open habitats, including urban areas (Emmons and Feer 1999. Neotropical Rainforest Mammals, a Field Guide. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois. 307 pp.). The ingestion of bats by snakes is seldom reported in the literature, but may not be infrequent because bat colonies can represent a potential concentration of food that is constantly available (Esberárd and Vrcibradic 2007. Rev. Bras. Zool. 24:848–853).

There is a report of bat predation by *Philodryas viridissimus*, which is primarily arboreal (Otto and Miller 2004. Herpetol. Rev. 35:277). To our knowledge, this is the first record of bat predation by the mainly terrestrial *P. nattereri*. The snake and the bat are deposited together in the herpetological collection of Universidade Federal do Ceará (CHUF 3087).

We thank the Fundação Cearense de Amparo a Pesquisa (FUNCAP) for financial support, the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Naturais (IBAMA) for the license (License number: 18596-1) to collect snake samples in the studied area.
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Psomophis spp. are restricted to South America and P. joberti is the only species that occurs in northeastern Brazil, which is dominated by caatinga vegetation (França et al. 2006. Occas. Pap. Oklahoma Mus. Nat. Hist. 17:1–13). On 3 July 2008, we collected a P. joberti (Co-0826) in São Gonçalo do Amarante Municipality (3.574167°S, 38.886611°W; datum WGS84), state of Ceará, Brazil, that displayed a defensive behavior after being collected—bending its body and pressing the tail spine against the collector’s hands, causing slight pain, but not inflicting any damage to the skin. The same behavior was observed in another specimen (Co-0829) collected on 12 September 2008 from Itapipoca Municipality, Brazil (3.4175°S, 39.6919444°W; datum WGS84). Psomophis are said to not bite defensively when touched (Carvalho and Nogueira 1998. Cad. Saúde Pública. 14:753–763). Lima-Verde (1991. Unpublished thesis, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil) collected 57 specimens of (1991. Unpublished thesis, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil) collected 57 specimens of P. joberti in northeastern Brazil and described the species as diurnal, oviparous, and gentle. Although the defensive behavior reported here has not been noted previously, all works mention the presence of the tail spine. Tail spines and spine-pressing behavior have been reported in snakes of the genera Typhlops (Richmond 1955. Am. Mus. Novitates 1734:1–7), Farancia (Ernst and Ernst 2003. Snakes of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington DC. 668 pp.), and Carphophis (Ernst and Ernst, op. cit.).
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PYTHON MOLURUS BIVITTATUS (Burmese Python). CLUTCH SIZE. Through instances of pet release or escape, a reproducing population of Python molurus bivittatus, native to Southeast Asia, has recently become established in and around Everglades National Park, Florida, USA (Snow et al. 2007. In Henderson and Powell [eds.], Biology of the Boas and Pythons, pp. 416–438. Eagle Mountain Publishing, Utah). On 2 March 2007, an adult female P. m. bivittatus (EVER 055842; SVL = 4240 mm; total length = 4710 mm; 56.69 kg) was captured near Ficus Pond (25.3749°W, 80.8274°W; datum WGS 1984) in Everglades National Park, Florida, USA. This specimen contained 85 large vitellogenic follicles (44.4 to 53.5 mm diameter) and 7.18 kg of fat bodies. Of these follicles, 48 were located in the right ovary (weight 2.49 kg) and 37 in the left ovary (weight 1.90 kg). A previous study reports a mean clutch size for P. m. bivittatus in Florida of 35.75 ± 3.35 (N = 8; range 19–46; Brien et al. 2007. Herpetol. Rev. 38:342). This finding of 85 follicles marks the largest recorded clutch size for P. m. bivittatus found in Florida.
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PYTHON MOLURUS BIVITTATUS (Burmese Python). DIET. Although Burmese pythons are native to southeastern Asia, both juvenile and adult specimens have been collected in Everglades National Park and surrounding areas in Florida since the mid 1990s (Snow et al. 2007. In Henderson and Powell [eds.], Biology of the Boas and Pythons, pp. 416–438. Eagle Mountain Publishing, Utah). On 20 March 2006, an adult female P. m. bivittatus (SVL = 4320 mm; total length = 4870 mm; 69 kg) was captured near Ficus Pond (25.3739°N, 80.8241°W; datum: WGS 1984; elev. <1 m) in Everglades National Park, Florida, USA. This specimen was deposited in the Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida (UF 146019). While examining gut contents, we found hair and four hooves of a fawn White-tailed Deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Python m. bivittatus is a dietary generalist known to eat a wide variety of mammalian, avian, and crocodilian prey (Snow et al., op. cit.). This observation constitutes the first record of White-tailed Deer predation by P. m. bivittatus.
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RHINOBOTHRYUM LENTIGINOSUM (Ringed Tree Snake). DIET AND MAXIMUM SIZE. The Rhinobothryum are poorly known (Greene 1997. Snakes: the Evolution of Mystery in Nature. Univ California Press, Berkeley. 351 pp.). Rhinobothryum lentiginosum is a nocturnal lizard specialist that seems to prefer riparian forest or edges of creeks or streams, as has been noted for R. bovalli (Solorzano 2004. Snakes of Costa Rica: Distribution, Taxonomy, and Natural History. Inbio, San José, Costa Rica 791 pp.). Here I report a R. lentiginosum that had consumed a juvenile green iguana (Iguana iguana) at Vitória do Xingu, Pará State, Brazil. At 2100 h on 11 July 2007, a large R. lentiginosum was found moving close to a fishing camp on the banks of the Xingu River and was killed. A visitor of the camp, Ian-Arthur Sulocki (IAS), took several photographs of the snake and the juvenile green iguana contained in the snake’s gut (Fig. 1). Based on the description and photographs by IAS, the snake was at least 1800 mm total length, substantially
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larger than the largest (total length = 1498 mm) reported by Cunha and Nascimento (op. cit.). Thus, this event is noteworthy not only because it reports a new prey species and additional case of sauraphagy in *R. lentiginosum*, but also because the snake likely represents the maximum size for this species. Additionally, this note documents a novel predator for juvenile *Iguana iguana* (Greene et al. 1978. J. Herpetol. 12:169–176).

I thank Ian-Arthur Sulocki for reporting the event and contributing photographs.
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**FIG. 1. Rhinobothryum lentiginosum** (decapitated) with juvenile *Iguana iguana* that it had consumed.

**SIBON ANNULATUS** (Ringed Snaileater). **MAXIMUM SIZE.** *Sibon annulatus* is a small snake for its genus and has previously been recorded to a maximum total length of 557 mm (Savage 2002. Amphibians and Reptiles of Costa Rica: A Herpetofauna Between Two Continents, Between Two Seas. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois. 934 pp.). At 2230 h on the 31 December 2004, during a nocturnal visual encounter transect for amphibians, we found an adult female *S. annulatus* in Manicaria swamp forest at Caño Palma Biological Station (8 km N of Tortuguero, Limón Province, Costa Rica). The snake was found at a perch height of 4.5 m in a Loreya sp. (Melastomataceae) tree. The live snake measured 362 mm SVL, 214 mm tail length, and weighed 8.8 g. At 576 mm total length, this specimen is the longest known *S. annulatus*. The specimen was collected under permit (Resolucion No. 171-2004-OFAU) and deposited in the herpetological collections at Universidad de Costa Rica.

We thank the Canadian Organization for Tropical Education and Rainforest Conservation (COTERC) for permission to study at Caño Palma Biological Station, Xavier Guevara at the Ministerio de Recursos Naturales Energía y Minas (MINAE) for permits, Federico Bolaños of Universidad de Costa Rica for access to collections, and Farnborough College of Science and Technology for assistance with literature.

Submitted by TODD R. LEWIS, Westfield, 4 Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 4PJ, United Kingdom (e-mail: lewistr@hotmail.com); GABRIEL DAVID, 3819 Shelbourne St. Apt. 401, Victoria, British Colombia, V8P 5N3, Canada; PAULB. C. GRANT, 4901 Cherry Tree Bend, Victoria, British Colombia, V8Y 1S1, Canada; THOMAS C. LADUKE, East Stroudsburg University, 200 Prospect Street, East Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18301-2999, USA, and COLIN RYALL, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey, KU1 2EE, United Kingdom.

**SISTRURUS CATENATUS EDWARDSII** (Desert Massasauga). **DIET, PREY SIZE, AND FEEDING-RELATED MORTALITY.** *Sistrurus catenatus edwardsii* feeds on lizards, small mammals, small snakes, centipedes, and anurans (Holycross and Mackessy 2002. J. Herpetol. 36:454–464). Whiptails of the genus *Aspidoscelis* have been reported to be in the diet of *S. c. edwardsii*, however *A. marmorata* (Marbled Whiptail) has not yet been identified as prey (Holycross and Mackessy, op. cit.). This is rather intriguing, given the overlap that exists for both species’ known geographic ranges (Degenhardt et al. 1996. Amphibians and Reptiles of New Mexico. Univ. New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. 431 pp.). Furthermore, little published information exists regarding prey size for *S. c. edwardsii*. Here, I report feeding-related mortality of an *S. c. edwardsii* following consumption of an adult *A. marmorata*.

At 1018 h on 7 August 2008, I discovered a deceased female *S. c. edwardsii* (TCWC 93556) lying in the bottom of a large sand dune blowout in Lea County, New Mexico, USA (32.7847°N, 103.8089°W; datum WGS 84; elev. 1173 m). The individual was lying dorsal up, appeared bloated, and had recently deceased. Both lizard and snake tracks were observed near the dead snake. The individual was collected and dissected to determine what was causing the large bulge in the snake’s mid-section. Upon dissection, I discovered that the snake had consumed a female *A. marmorata* (TCWC 93557). The lizard’s girth had caused a 35 mm long rupture in the snake’s stomach which was likely the ultimate cause of death for the snake.

The *S. c. edwardsii* measured 310 mm SVL and 18 g mass post mortem and the *A. marmorata* measured 100 mm SVL and 21 g mass post mortem. This indicates that the snake attempted to ingest a prey item 1.17 times its own mass. This measurement is slight in comparison to the record for snakes (relative prey mass = 1.72; Mulcahy et al. 2003. Herpetol. Rev. 34:64); however, perhaps it illustrates a differential consumption/mortality threshold exists among species or individuals.

This observation is noteworthy for two reasons. First, although *S. c. edwardsii* and *A. marmorata* overlap in geographic range, their trophic relationship had not yet been clarified. Second, it indicates the consumption of large prey items may result in increased energy stores for *S. c. edwardsii*, but the potential threat of death due to a ruptured stomach exists.

Submitted by DANIEL J. LEAVITT, Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Science, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-2258, USA; e-mail: dlea886@neo.tamu.edu.
**Tantilla gracilis** (Flat-headed Snake). **Arachnid Predation.** *Tantilla gracilis* is found in the south-central region of the United States and is known to have 20 species of mammalian, avian, and snake predators (Ernst and Ernst 2003. Snakes of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. 668 pp.). Because of the highly fossorial nature of this species, much of its life history remains unknown (Cobb 2004. Copeia 2004:397–402) and spider predators have not been reported. Here we report the first arachnid predation documented for *T. gracilis*.

On 27 September 2007, at ca.1000 h, a juvenile (SVL = 74 mm; tail length = 22 mm; 0.095 g) *T. gracilis* (UTA R-55476) was observed in the web of a spider (0.034 g) of the genus *Steatoda* (Theridiidae); the snake was being consumed from behind the neck by its captor. At its lowest point, the juvenile *T. gracilis* was ca. 23 cm from the floor. It is highly unlikely that the snake fell or climbed into the web and therefore it is probable that the spider hoisted the snake to this position. While in the web, the snake’s tail was tethered to its neck with webbing. Photographs were taken of the event, which occurred in the shower room of a large outdoor restroom at Camp Tyler, Smith County, northeastern Texas, USA (32.256672°N, 95.184778°W; datum WGS 84; elev. 129 m). This restroom hosts a group of >50 adult spiders of the family Theridiidae.

We thank J. A. Campbell and C. J. Franklin for cataloguing the photograph and specimen, D. Formanowicz for identifying the spider to genus, and V. A. Cobb for reviewing this manuscript. We also thank Alan and Jenny Byboth, Jim Connally, and the Camp Tyler Foundation for giving us a welcome place to live at Camp Tyler during much of our stay at Tyler. The specimen was collected under Texas Parks and Wildlife scientific permit no. SPR-0691-418.

Submitted by **Jake A. Pruett**, Department of Biology, University of Texas at Tyler, Tyler, Texas 75799, USA (e-mail: jpruett2@patriots.uttylers.edu); and **Robert C. Jadin**, Amphibian and Reptile Diversity Research Center and Department of Biology, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA.

**Tantilla oolitica** (Rim Rock Crowned Snake). **Defensive Behavior.** At 0950 h on 25 May 2007 we captured a *Tantilla oolitica* (total length = 199 mm; 1.65 g) resting in an elongate coil under a rotting board in Dove Creek Hammock, Key Largo, Florida, USA, during a study by The Institute of Regional Conservation to determine the conservation status of this species. The ambient temperature was 25.2°C and the relative humidity was 80%. Upon capture, the snake began to feign death by remaining limp in our hands with its mouth open and tongue slightly extended. It continued to behave this way for about 10 minutes as we measured and weighed the snake. The act was very convincing and the three of us present at the time believed it to be dead or dying. We were particularly convinced of this when it continued the act even when placed upon a rock. It remained as posed for several minutes before slowly starting to crawl away. When we started to handle the snake again, it repeated the process of feigning its death. This cycle continued for 30 minutes until the snake was deemed healthy and was released. The ecology of this species is poorly-understood and whether this behavior represents a common defensive response or an isolated instance remains unknown.

Submitted by **Mike Rochford**, University of Florida, Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center, 3205 College Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, 33314-7719, USA (e-mail: mikerochford@hotmail.com); **Kirsten Hines**, The Institute for Regional Conservation, 22601 SW 152 Avenue, Miami, Florida 33170 USA (e-mail: hines@regionalconservation.org); and **Frank J. Mazzotti**, University of Florida, Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center, 3205 College Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, 33314-7719, USA (e-mail: f Jama@ufl.edu).

**Thamnophis sirtalis** (Common Gartersnake). **Scavenging.** Although snakes often accept dead food items in captivity, observations of scavenging by wild snakes are relatively rare. In a recent review, DeVault and Krochmal (2002. Herpetologica 58:429–436) suggested that scavenging may be a deliberate feeding strategy in some snake species rather than just an opportunistic event.

*Thamnophis sirtalis* is considered to be a dietary generalist and studies have indicated that it preys upon invertebrates, fishes, amphibians, mammals, and birds (Rossman et al. 1996. The Garter Snakes, Evolution and Ecology. Animal Nat. Hist. Series, vol. 2. Univ. of Oklahoma Press, Norman. 332 pp.). Published records of scavenging by wild *T. sirtalis*, however, are rare, and to date only six reports exist, all involving birds and anurans as prey. Gray (2002. Herpetol. Rev. 33:142–143) suggested that scavenging of dead anurans by *T. sirtalis* may be common but scavenging of birds is rare. However, there are four reports of this species scavenging birds (Ruthven 1908. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus. 61:1–201; Feldman and Wilkerson 2000. Herpetol. Rev. 31:248; Gray, op. cit.; Sajdak and Sajdak 2007. Herpetol. Rev. 30:229–230) and only two on frogs (Ruthven, op. cit.; Brown 1979. Brimleyana 1:113–124). The latter two reports lack detail and only Ruthven (op. cit.) specified the scavenged anuran species (*Rana clamitans*; Green Frog). Given the abundance of *T. sirtalis* in many areas and high levels of road mortality often suffered by frogs and other prey items, frequent opportunities for scavenging should occur. Thus, the scarcity of reports suggests that scavenging by *T. sirtalis* is either rare in nature or frequently goes unreported. Here, I report two additional instances of scavenging of anurans by *T. sirtalis*.

At 1815 h on August 8 2008, I observed a *T. sirtalis* (total length ca. 30 cm) consuming a small (SVL ca. 3.0 cm) recently road-killed *Anaxyrus terrestris* (Southern Toad) on Road 161 (gravel) in Francis Marion National Forest, Berkeley County, South Carolina, USA (33.1853°N, 79.6946°W; datum WGS84). The incident occurred on the road surface approximately one meter from the heavily vegetated road shoulder. When discovered, the snake had already ingested the anterior one-third of the toad and continued to ingest it in my presence; complete ingestion took an additional six minutes.

At 1515 h on 17 September 2008, I observed another adult *T. sirtalis* (total length ca. 60 cm) attempting to engulf a road-killed *Lithobates pipiens* (Northern Leopard Frog; SVL ca. 7.5 cm) on Lyman Rd. (blacktop) bordering the southern edge of Big Bend State Fish and Wildlife Area, Whiteside County, Illinois, USA (41.6332°N, 90.0417°W; datum WGS84). The incident occurred...
on the blacktop about 50 cm from the road shoulder, which was heavily vegetated. In this case, the frog was not freshly killed and desiccation of the skin indicated that it was killed about an hour before the observation. The anterior portion of the frog was stuck to the road surface and the snake was rather awkwardly attempting to ingest the left rear leg. The snake released it upon my approach. Following this observation, three more adult *T. sirtalis* and four more road-killed adult *L. pipiens* were observed on the road near the shoulder within 20 m of the location where the scavenging incident occurred. Despite observing the road for about 30 minutes, no other incidents of scavenging were noted.

Submitted by ALLAN L. MARKEZICH, Department of Natural Sciences and Engineering, Black Hawk College, Moline, Illinois 61265, USA; e-mail: markezicha@bhc.edu.

**TOXICOCALAMUS STANLEYANUS** (Stanley’s Forest Snake).

**DIET.** Snakes of the genus *Toxicocalamus* are widespread in New Guinea, with published reports suggesting that the species *T. stanleyanus* is relatively common in the Purari basin region of Papua New Guinea (PNG; O’Shea 1996. A Guide to the Snakes of Papua New Guinea. Independent Publishing, Port Moresby, PNG. xii + 239 pp.). There is some evidence that *Toxicocalamus* spp. prey on earthworms (O’Shea, *op. cit.*). However, because of the secretive nature of these snakes, little is known of the ecology of many of the species and no data are available on the size of earthworms typically consumed for any species. While conducting herpetological censuses on 1 December 2008, at 1216 h (Australian EST), I captured a *Toxicocalamus stanleyanus* (total length ca. 670 mm) active in a disturbed area of lowland rainforest in the Purari basin of PNG (7.4955556°S, 145.3594444°E; datum WGS84; elev. 110 m). Immediately following capture, the snake was placed in a bag in a cooler maintained at 24°C. On checking the snake five hours later, it was revealed that the specimen had regurgitated a large, partly digested earthworm (Class Oligochaeta) measuring 150 mm in total length and 17 mm in width at mid-body. Following proper identification, the snake was released.

Submitted by BRETT A. GOODMAN, School of Marine & Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, 4811, Australia. Current address: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Ramaley N122, Campus Box 334, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA; e-mail: Brett.Goodman@colorado.edu.

**TYPHLOPS BRONGERSMIANUS** (Brongersma’s Threadsnake).


A gravid female *T. brongersianus* (MZUESC 6531; SVL = 350 mm), captured on 21 December 2007 in a pit-fall trap in a cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) plantation on the campus of Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, southern Bahia, Brazil, was held in captivity until it began ovipositing eggs twelve days later. Fifteen eggs measuring (mean ± SD) 22.5 ± 2.2 mm in length and 13.0 ± 0.3 mm in width were laid. Four of the 15 eggs hatched after 34 days, while the others failed to hatch. The four hatchlings (MZUESC 6532) averaged 91.0 mm SVL and weighed 1.2 g. The hatchlings were light blue in color at birth but changed into the characteristic brown coloration of adults after 12 days. One of the hatchlings shed its skin in a continuous slough and not in pieces as reported by Kley (*op. cit.*).

Ávila et al. (*op. cit.*) reported four clutches from female *T. brongersianus* originating from the Pantanal of Mato Grosso, Brazil. Clutch size averaged 4.67 eggs (range 4–5) and averaged 4.11 mm in length and 8.27 mm in width. The eggs reported here are 172% larger and 215% broader than those reported by Ávila et al. (*op. cit.*), perhaps reflecting geographic variation in reproductive strategy and/or local environmental conditions.

We thank G. E. Iack-Ximenes, A. Argólo, and I. R. Dias for assistance. IBAMA issued the collecting permit (003/07 – IBAMA/RAN).

Submitted by EUVALDO MARCIANO JUNIOR, JULIANA ALVES DE JESUS, and MIRCO SOLÉ (e-mail: mksole@uesc.br), Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC), Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Rodovia Ilhéus-Itabuna, km 16, Salobrinho, CEP 45662-000, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil.

TYPHLOPS ANOUSIUS (NCN). **MAXIMUM SIZE.** On 8 March 1978, N. Drosdov collected a male *Typhlops anousius* (CZACC 4.5530; Colecciones Zoológicas del Instituto de Ecología y Sistemática, Cuba) from Tortuguilla, San Antonio del Sur municipality, Guantánamo province, Cuba. The specimen measured 273 mm SVL and 5 mm tail length. It had 24 scale rows anteriorly, reducing to 22 posteriorly at 7.6% TL or 49” middorsal scales row, 460 middorsal scale counts, 13 dorsal and 14 ventral caudal counts, snout sharp-pointed, rostral broad in dorsal aspect, 0.82 widest part of the posterior rostral to the internasal sutures in dorsal view / standard length of the rostral from the internasal sutures to the posterior tip in dorsal aspect (RWD/RLD). The body was straight-sided and very acuminate posteriorly, and lacked pigmentation. The specimen was previously identified as *T. biminiensis* (by the collector and also by Orlando H. Garrido). I have re-identified the specimen as *T. anousius*. The specimen is a toptype and the first specimen of *T. anousius* reported since the species was described by Thomas and Hedges (2007. Zootaxa 1400:1–26). The previously-reported maximum length for this species is 193 mm SVL. Thus, this record represents a substantial increase in maximum size for the species and extends the range of variation in middorsal scale counts from 465–513 to 460–513 and RWD/RLD from 0.74–0.77 to 0.74–0.82 (Thomas and Hedges, *op. cit.*).

Submitted by MICHEL DOMÍNGUEZ, Ave. de Niza 3, 6ºA, Alicante, 03540, Spain; e-mail: micheldd@hotmail.es.

At ca. 1700 h on 31 January 2009, at Howell Woods, ca. 14 km ESE of Four Oaks, Johnston Co., North Carolina, USA (35.371°N, 78.307°W; datum WGS84), CEM heard rustling in the leaf litter 10 m away from and below his elevated position 5 m off the ground. The air temperature was ca. 6°C with a light wind (5–10 mph). Approximately 20 sec later, a Tufted Titmouse (*Baeolophus bicolor*) perched at the spot of the rustling in a small plant just above the ground surface. The bird then flew straight up to a tree branch ca. 5 m above the ground, carrying what later was identified as a *Virginia v. valeriae*. The titmouse immediately began pecking the snake and continued for 60 sec. The bird then flew to a branch 25 m above the ground while still carrying the snake, and resumed the pecking behavior. Between 5 and 10 min later, the bird was observed perched at the same spot, but without the snake. It swiped its bill as birds often do following predation. At ca. 1820 h, CEM scanned the area beneath the perch and located the remains of the snake. The head had been completely removed and punctures, likely from the bird’s bill and claws, were scattered over the snake’s body. The remains of the snake measured 19.69 cm in total length and weighed 2.1g.

This observation is particularly interesting because the Tufted Titmouse is such a small bird—averaging 17 cm long, 20 g in weight (Grubb and Pravosudov 1994. In A. Poole [ed.], The Birds of North America Online. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York; http://bna.birds.cornell.edu.ww.lib.ncsu.edu:2048/bna/species/086). It eats primarily arthropod (e.g., caterpillars, beetles, ants, wasps, spiders, and scale insects) and vegetable foods, and typically forages by gleanng foliage and probing bark crevices (Grubb and Pravosudov, op. cit.). Although titmice generally forage in high vegetation, they may also spend considerable time feeding on or near the ground, especially on cold, windy days (Grubb and Pravosudov, op. cit.). To our knowledge, this represents the first report of a titmouse, or any similarly-sized passerine, as a predator of *V. valeriae*. The specimen is deposited in the North Carolina State Museum of Natural Sciences (NCSM 75790). It also represents a new county record and an early date of activity for the species in North Carolina (Palmer and Braswell, op. cit.).

Submitted by **CHRISTOPHER E. MOORMAN**, Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences Program, Department of Forestry and Environmental Resources, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA (e-mail: chris_moorman@ncsu.edu); and **JEFFREY C. BEANE**, North Carolina State Museum of Natural Sciences, Research Laboratory, MSC # 1626, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1626, USA (e-mail: jeff.beane@ncdenr.gov).


On 26 December 2007 an adult *X. scalaris* was observed and photographed consuming a subadult *Leptodactylus didymus* at Reserva Amazónica, Peru (UTAD 1954–55). The snake was found with the left leg of the anuran in its mouth. Over the next few minutes the snake engulfed the frog with its mouth, however the final ingestion of the prey item was not observed. The *L. didymus* was distinguished from *L. bolivianus*, also found at Reserva Amazónica, by having a creamy white longitudinal stripe across the thighs (Duellman 2005, op. cit.). Reserva Amazónica occurs in the Provincia Tambopata, ca. 12 km E of Puerto Maldonado on the north shore of the Rio Madre de Dios in southeastern Peru (ca. 12.5416667°N, 69.0527778°W; datum WGS 84; elev. 195 m). During our surveys from 18 December 2007 to 9 January 2008 we found *L. didymus* to be the most common anuran encountered. In addition, three *X. scalaris* were observed during surveys and the abundance of *L. didymus* may represent a large part of the prey base for *X. scalaris* in the area.

We thank J. A. Campbell for cataloguing the photograph and Jose Koechlin and Inkaterra for logistical support.
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Additionally, this geographic distribution section does not publish “observation” records. Records submitted should be based on preserved specimens that have been placed in a university or museum collection (private collection depository records are discouraged; institutional collection records will receive precedence in case of conflict). A good quality photograph (print, slide, or digital file) may substitute for a preserved specimen only when the live specimen could not be collected for the following reasons: it was a protected species, it was found in a protected area, or the logistics of preservation were prohibitive (such as large turtles or crocodilians). Photographic vouchers must be deposited in a university or museum collection along with complete locality data, and the photographic catalog number(s) must be included in the same manner as a preserved record. Before you submit a manuscript to us, check Censky (1988, Index to Geographic Distribution Records in Herpetological Review: 1967–1986; available from the SSAR Publications Secretary), subsequent issues of Herpetological Review, and other sources to make sure you are not duplicating a previously published record. The responsibility for checking literature for previously documented range extensions lies with authors.
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Electronic submission of manuscripts is required (as Microsoft Word or Rich Text format [rtf] files, as e-mail attachments). Refer to inside front cover for e-mail addresses of section editors.


NOTOPHTHALMUS VIRIDESCENS VIRIDESCENS (Red-spotted Newt). USA: OHIO: BELMONT CO.: Wayne Township, Raven Rock (39.88036°N, 81.04077°W; WGS 84). 04 April 2006. Cincinnati Museum Center (CMC 8957–8958). Vouchers for a second Belmont Co. location were also obtained (CMC 8998–8999). SHELBY CO.: Washington Township, Lockington Preserve (40.21596°N, 84.25667°W; WGS 84). 12 August 2008. CMC 11283. All material collected by Jeffrey G. Davis and Greg Lipps Jr. and verified by John W. Fenner. First county records (Pfingstgen and Matson 2003. Ohio Salamander Atlas, Ohio Biological Survey Misc. Contrib. No. 9, Columbus). Submitted by JEFFREY G. DAVIS, Cincinnati Museum Center – Fredrick and Amy Geier Research and Collections Center, 1301 Western Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45203-1130, USA (e-mail: anura@fuse.net); and GREG LIPPS JR., 1473 County Road 5-2, Delta, Ohio 43515, USA (e-mail: GregLipps@aol.com).


Submitted by GÁBOR SZÉLENYI, Middle-Danube-Valley Inspectorate for Environmental Protection, Nature Conservation and Water Management, 1072 Budapest, Nagydiófa u. 10–12, Hungary; ISTVÁN KISS, Szent István University, Department of Zoology and Animal Ecology, 2100 Gödöllő, Páter K. u. 1, Hungary (e-mail: kiss.istvan@mkk.szie.hu); and JUDIT VÖRÖS, Hungarian Natural History Museum, 1088 Budapest, Baross u. 13, Hungary.

ANURA – FROGS


ACRIS CREPITANS (Northern Cricket Frog). USA: TENNESSEE: LAWRENCE CO.: Tennessee Amphibian Monitoring Program (TAMP) Route 820513, Stop 10, Dry Creek Rd. 0.39 km S of intersection with County Line Rd (35.43605°N, 80.722462°W;
Submitted by BRIAN STEWART, 850 Lion Parkway, Columbia, Tennessee 38401, USA.


Submitted by RAQUEL STOTLER, Colorado Division of Wildlife, 7405 U.S. Highway 50, Salida, Colorado 81201, USA; e-mail: Raquel.Stotler@state.co.us.


Submitted by EDNILZA MARANHÃO DOS SANTOS, Unidade Acadêmica de Serra Talhada, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, 56900-000, Serra Talhada, PE, Brazil; and FABIANA OLIVEIRA DE AMORIM, Rua Eng. Gercino de Pontes, n.129, apto.101, Iputinga, 50800-110, Recife-PE, Brazil.


Collection permit issued by Bangladesh Forest Department (CCF[wildlife]/2M–37[part 3]/2007/1047). MKH and MFK would like to thank Mushfiq Ahmed for lab assistance and SM would like to thank Barry Clarke (BMNH) for permitting access to collections.

Submitted by MD. KAMRUL HASAN, Jahangirnagar University Herpetological Group, Department of Zoology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh (e-mail: hasan_wildlifeju@yahoo.com); STEPHEN MAHONY, Madras Crocodile Bank Trust, Post Bag 4, Mamallapuram, Tamil Nadu 603 104, India (e-mail: stephennahony2@gmail.com); and MD. MOFIZUL KABIR, Jahangirnagar University Herpetological Group, Department of Zoology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

GASTROPHYNE OLIVACEA (Western Narrow-mouthed Toad). USA: NEBRASKA: WEBSTER CO.: 8.0 km S Red Cloud (40.017017°N, 98.51895°W; NAD 83). 21 and 23 July 2008, Keith Geluso and Greg D. Wright. Verified by Thomas E. Labeled, University of Nebraska State Museum, Lincoln, Nebraska (UNSM ZM 23866–23867). New county record. Represents only second county in Nebraska with observations. Previous records reported from Gage Co. (Lynch 1985. Trans. Nebraska Acad. Sci. 13:33–57). Multiple individuals observed at night feeding on tiny ants on moderately vegetated slopes with small limestone rocks. An additional specimen from this location was collected on 03 June 1985 (UNSM ZM 8814).

Submitted by KEITH GELUSO (e-mail: gelusok1@unk.edu) and GREG D. WRIGHT, Department of Biology, University of Nebraska–Kearney, Kearney, Nebraska 68849, USA.
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TESTUDINES – TURTLES
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GRAPTEMYS GIBBONSI (Pascagoula Map Turtle). USA: MISSISSIPPI: PIKE CO.: Bogue Chitto River, 50 m upstream of Route 98 bridges (31.1773722°N, 90.2795194°W; no datum available).


Submitted by PETER V. LINDEMAN, Department of Biology and Health Services, 150 Cooper Hall, Edinboro University of Pennsylvania, Edinboro, Pennsylvania 16444, USA; e-mail: plindeman@edinboro.edu.


Submitted by RICHARD MCCARTHY, Macalester College Ordway Natural History Study Area, 9950 Inver Grove Trail, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota 55076, USA; e-mail: rmccarth@macleaster.edu.

SQUAMATA – LIZARDS
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CHALCIDES SELINEATUS BISTRIATUS (NCN). SPAIN: CANARY ISLANDS: La Palma Island: Los Llanos de Aridane (28.639326°N, 17.928760°W, datum: WGS84; elev. 158 m). 08 September 2008, Two adults and two juveniles deposited in collection of Department of Animal Biology of La Laguna University (adults: DZUL 3018–3019; juveniles: DZUL 3020–3021). Verified by A. Martín. Species endemic to northern Gran Canaria Island. At least 60 individuals were brought to La Palma Island over 50 years ago. At the time, the species was absent from the island (Izquierdo et al. 2004. Lista de Espécies Silvestres de Canarias [Hongos, Plantas y Animales Terrestres]. Gobierno de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife. 384 pp.). Presence of juveniles suggests an established population. This species appears to occur over a small area (ca. 4 ha) dominated by intensive banana cultivation. Both native and introduced predators such as kestrels (Falco tinnunculus), lizards (Gallotia spp.), and feral cats (Felis silvestris catus) are known to eat skinks (Santana et al. 1986. Vieraiana 16:113–117; Barbadillo 1987. La Guía Incano de los Anfibios y Reptiles de la Península Ibérica, Islas Baleares y Canarias. Incaño, S.A., Madrid). These facts, together with the proximity of this population to villages and roads could affect the possible spread of the species to the rest of the island.

I thank A. Martín and M. Nogales for suggestions on the manuscript and J. A. Campbell for editorial assistance.
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The previously known distribution of Darevskia brauneri szczerbaki is limited to a small territory on the northeastern coast of the Black Sea in Krasnodar Region, Russia. This subspecies is endemic of Crimea-Novorossisk subprovince of the East Mediterranean Province in Caucasus and inhabits a narrow coastal zone from Anapa town to the Cape of Utrish. New record is 297 km SE of previously documented locations. The Myussers Hills are probably the southernmost border of D. b. szczerbaki distribution.
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There are only 26 records of Heloderma suspectum from California over the past 153 years. The most recent photographic documentation from the Vulcan Mine area was 1968. The most recent record in California was 2006 from an area 100 km to the north of Vulcan Mine (Lovich and Beaman 2007. Bull. So. California Acad. Sci. 106[2]:39–58).
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HEMIDACTYLUS FRENATUS (Common House Gecko). MEXICO: BAJA CALIFORNIA SUR: Municipality of Comondu: Ciudad Constitución, Hotel Oasis (25.036472º N, 111.6795º W; WGS84; elev. 54 m) 25 October 2008. J. B. Granados. Verified by Oscar Flores-Villela. Herpetological collection of the Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, La Paz, Baja California Sur, México (CIBNOR 0721–22). First records for the municipality. Bridges a distributional gap between La Paz (208 km SE) and Loreto (149 km NE) (Grismer 2002. Amphibians and Reptiles of Baja California: Including its Pacific Islands and the Islands in the Sea of Cortez. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, California. 399 pp.). Both juveniles were collected at night on the hotel wall, and because adults were also observed from the same location, this exotic species is assumed to be well-established there.

Submitted by VÍCTOR H. LUJÁ (e-mail: lujastro@yahoo.com), JAVIER BRUNO GRANADOS, and RICARDO RODRÍGUEZ-ESTRELLA, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), Mar Bermejo #195 Colonia Playa Palo de Santa Rita, La Paz, Baja California Sur, 23090, México.

HEMIDACTYLUS MABOUIA (Wood Slave). USA: FLORIDA: ST. LUCIE CO.: Fort Pierce, 2100 Elizabeth Avenue (27.40840°N, 89.7711°W; NAD27; elev. 410 m). 22 August 2004. Daniel Ariano-Sánchez and Gilberto Salazar. Verified by Carlos Vásquez and Theodore Papenfuss. Museo de Historia Natural de la Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala (USAC 1128). Second record, but first documented locality for this species in Guatemala, extending the range ca. 5 km NE of an individual reported from Rosario, Guatemala (Acevedo 2006. Biodiversidad de Guatemala, Vol. 1. Univ. del Valle de Guatemala, Guatemala. 524 pp.), and ca. 110 km NW from the nearest confirmed record in Imposible National Park, El Salvador (YPM 12436). The lizard was found at 1100 h as it crawled through a dry gulley within tropical dry forest dominated by the columnar cacti, Stenocereus pruinosus, and the thorn shrub, Mimosasacapana, a vegetation formation similar to that depicted by Köhler (2008. Reptiles of Central America. Herpeton, Verlag Elke Köhler, Offenbach, Germany. 400 pp.).

Submitted by DANIEL ARIANO-SÁNCHEZ (e-mail: darianosanchez@gmail.com); ANTONIO URBINA, and GILBERTO SALAZAR, Research and Conservation Projects Direction, Asociación Zootropic, 12 Calle 1-25 Zona 10, Edificio Geminis 10 torre sur, nivel 18 oficina 1801A, Guatemala.
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PLESTIODON MULTIVIRGATUS EPIPLEUROTUS (Variable Skink). USA: TEXAS: SCHRLECHER CO.: Eldorado, 12.9 air mi NW of jct U.S. Hwys 277 and 190, along Schleicher County Road 426 (30.94115°N, 100.79705°W; WGS84) 2486 ft elev. 07 April 2006. M. S. Price and S. G. Price. Verified by Travis J. LaDuc. Texas Natural History Collections (TNHC 78101–78102). Five additional specimens were captured at this location between 4 July and 11 August; the animals were measured, tagged with Passive Integrated Transponders (PIT), blood samples were taken, and they were released at the site. County record. An additional location within Kleberg County yielded two more specimens (TNHC 78103–78104). All specimens were caught in glue traps. The collection sites are ca. 1.6 air km apart and both are adjacent to San Fernando Creek and ca. 1.5–2 km S of the Nueces-Kleberg county line. Extends the range south one county (Dixon 2000. Amphibians and Reptiles of Texas, 2nd ed. Texas A&M Univ. Press. College Station. 421 pp.).
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Submitted by MICHAEL S. PRICE, San Angelo Nature Center, 7409 Knickerbocker Road, San Angelo, Texas 76904, USA (e-mail: michael.price@sanangletexas.us); CHRISTOPHER R. HARRISON, Biology Department, Northwest Vista College, San Antonio, Texas 78251, USA (e-mail: chrarrison@sxst.rr.com); and DAVID LAZCANO, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Laboratorio de Herpetología, Apartado, Postal – 513, San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León, C.P. 66450, México (e-mail: dlazcanov@hotmail.com).

SCELOPORUS JARROVII (Yarrow’s Spiny Lizard). USA: NEW MEXICO: GRANT CO.: 2.3 km S of Pinos Altos, 17 Sanctuary Road (32.84231°N, 108.22386°W, datum WGS84). 03 August 2008. Shawn White. Verified by J. Lee. Western New Mexico University, Gila Natural History Collection (WNMU 14782, small adult female, 57 mm SVL). Second county record. Increases the range by ca. 37 km. An additional large adult male (90 mm SVL) was found nearby in a boulder pile in a wooded lot and one juvenile (41 mm SVL) was found inside a residential structure. Both locations within Grant Co. are widely separated and at different elevations. This indicates the spread of a lizard into suitable habitat which it could not historically invade because of inhospitable terrain. Grant County has numerous mountain ranges interspersed with lower elevation plateaus which create the so called “sky islands.” We believe the second record represents expansion by human efforts.
beyond the historical range and therefore has value in documenting this type of movement. This species has been recorded numerous times in neighboring Hidalgo Co.
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SQUAMATA – SNAKES
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DIADOPHIS PUNCTATUS ARNY (Prairie Ring-necked Snake). USA: TEXAS: Schleicher Co.: Eldorado, 12.8 air mi NW of jct U.S. Hwys 277 and 190, along Schleicher County Road 426 (30.941017°N, 100.79505°W; WGS 84; elev. 2486 ft.). 07 April 2006. M. S. Price and S. G. Price. Verified by Travis J. LaDuc (photographic voucher TNHC 73400). First county record and fills a distributional gap (Dixon 2000. Amphibians and Reptiles of Texas, 2nd ed. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, Texas. 421 pp.).
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Submitted by ADRIANO LIMA SILVEIRA. Setor de Herpetologia, Departamento de Vertebrados, Museu Nacional / Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Quinta da Boa Vista, São Cristóvão, 20940-040, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil (e-mail: biosilveira@yahoo.com.br); DAVOR VRCIBRADIC (e-mail: davor@centroin.com.br), CARLOS FREDERICO DUARTE ROCHA, Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, ca. 120 km NE of Rio de Janeiro, ca. 220 km ENE from the closest previous record, Parati. Both localities are within the Atlantic Forest biome.

Submitted by ADRIANO LIMA SILVEIRA, Setor de Herpetologia, Departamento de Vertebrados, Museu Nacional / Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Quinta da Boa Vista, São Cristóvão, 20940-040, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil (e-mail: biosilveira@yahoo.com.br); DAVOR VRCIBRADIC (e-mail: davor@centroin.com.br), CARLOS FREDERICO DUARTE ROCHA, Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, ca. 120 km NE of Rio de Janeiro, ca. 220 km ENE from the closest previous record, Parati. Both localities are within the Atlantic Forest biome.
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the type locality), ca. 90 km to the SE (Downs 1967. Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Univ. Michigan [131]:1–193). Both snakes were found under rocks of a fallen stone wall in a clearing surrounded by pine-oak forest.
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These two records, together with the 2007 Baker Co. record (Stevenson et al. 2009. Herpetol. Rev. 40:247–249), represent the first reports of this species from the Dougherty Plain region of Georgia. The previous dearth of records may be due to limited sampling effort. The majority of non-agricultural land in the Dougherty Plain is under private ownership, creating accessibility issues for large-scale sampling. This may indicate that other species with poorly documented distributions within this region could be found in larger numbers with a concerted search.

Submitted by ANDREW M. DURSO, Eastern Illinois University, Department of Biological Sciences, Charleston, Illinois 61920 (e-mail: andurso@gmail.com); and KERRY T. NELSON, University of Georgia, Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA (e-mail: kerrynelson@gmail.com).

LAMPROPELTIS TRIANGULUM MULTISTRIATA (Pale Milksnake). USA: NEBRASKA: GARDEN CO.: 100 m ESE Crescent Lake National Wildlife Refuge Headquarters (41.760556°N, 102.435556°W; WGS 84). 11 May 2007. Florida Museum of Natural History (UF 155187). Verified by K. L. Krysko. Third record for Garden County. Captured in pitfall trap by J. B. Iverson. ca. 14 km N of previous record (Converse and Baker 2000. Herpetol. Rev. 31:186). Previous records were from areas of rock outgroups, but new record is from sandhill country, at least 10 km (NW) from the nearest meager exposure of sandstone along an intermittent tributary of Blue Creek. This new specimen is also the first for the Crescent Lake Refuge despite field work at the site by Iverson over 29 years (ca. 46 field months, including 900–1100 m of drift fence with funnel traps and bucket traps run during most months), and 78 years of activity by Refuge personnel (including drift fences for snakes operated in 1939–1942 by Imler [1945. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 9:265–273]; and 7.4 km of drift fence run from 1987–94 [e.g., Smith and Iverson. 1993. J. Herpetol. 27:333–335]).
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LAMPROPELTIS ZONATA (California Mountain Kingsnake). USA: CALIFORNIA: ALAMEDA CO.: Camp Ohlone Regional Park, 8 km E of Calaveras Rd., .394 m elev. (37.49°N, 121.74°W; WGS84). 23 May 2009. Dino Labiste. Verified by Mitchell F. Mulks (University of California, Santa Cruz). MVZ Obs-Herp 1. New county record. Extends the range ca. 11 km N of the nearest documented record for the species (CAS 190487). At ca. 1200 h an adult male (78.8 cm total length, 110.5 g) was observed moving along an exposed, rocky streambed of a semipermanent creek. The surrounding east–west running valley is an extensively grazed, riparian mixed oak woodland, with sandstone outcrops dominating the southern-facing slopes, habitat more commonly associated with the Lampropeltis getula rather than L. zonata (Hubbs 2004. Mountain Kings: A Collective Natural History of California, Sonoran, Durango and Queretaro Mountain Kingsnakes. Tricolor Books, Tempe, Arizona. 324 pp.). Although in certain regions, the co-occurrence of these two species may not be uncommon (R. E. Staub, pers. comm.).

The occurrence of L. zonata throughout the Diablo Range is poorly documented, and little is known about populations occupying this region. There are undocumented observations of this species at Camp Ohlone and ~ 10 km NW near Arroyo del Valle Creek, Alameda Co. (M. F. Mulks, pers. comm.; Hubbs 2004, op. cit.). Museum specimens confirm that the species occurs at Mount Hamilton (CAS-SUR 1812, MVZ 229892), Alum Rock Park (CAS 190487), and from Henry Coe State Park (MVZ 229890–91). However, suitable habitat is present elsewhere in the Diablo Range and the lack of records may reflect a lack of sampling rather than a disjunct distribution as figured in currently published maps (Hubbs 2004, op. cit.; Stebbins 2003. A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians, 3rd ed. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Boston, Massachusetts. 560 pp.).

This research was funded by a National Geographic Society/Waitt Grant #W17-08 to Rulon W. Clark, San Diego State University. Scientific collecting permit (SC-9704) was issued by the California Department of Fish and Game.
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Fieldwork financed by the iSimangaliso Threatened Species Project and Operation Wallacea, with permission from Ezemvelo KwaZulu-Natal Wildlife (EKZNW SR/014).
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Central American dry and pine-oak forests (Dinerstein et al. 1995; Olson et al. 2001) are among the most imperiled (Olson and Dinerstein 2002) yet understudied ecoregions (Sánchez-Azofeifa et al. 2005) within the Mesoamerican hotspot (Mittermeier et al. 2004; Myers et al. 2000). Less than 2% of the original dry forests and only 6% of pine-oak forests remain intact, with very little of either (< 5%) set aside in protected areas (Dinerstein et al. 1995; Janzen 1988). Accordingly, these forests formerly covered nearly all of southern Honduras, including the departments of Choluteca and Valle, but the persistent human need for subsistence agriculture, cattle production, and timber extraction has degraded or destroyed most of them, and many of the remaining patches are small and unprotected (AFE-DAPVS 2006; Carrillo and Vaughan 1994; Dinerstein et al. 1995; McCranie and Wilson 2002; Vreugdenhil et al. 2002). Despite this history of pervasive environmental degradation, the herpetofauna of the region has been reasonably well documented (McCranie 2007; McCranie and Wilson 2002; Sasa and Bolaños 2004; Wilson and McCranie 1998). Fifty-one species have been reported in this region, but like dry and pine-oak forests elsewhere in the country and across Central America, the herpetofauna has received little attention compared to that for other forest types. To remedy this deficiency, we sought to assess the herpetofaunal diversity of the only terrestrial protected areas in southern Honduras (AFE-DAPVS 2006). During 26 days in November 2005 and January and June 2006, we inventoried three Multiple Use Areas (MUAs) in the departments of Choluteca and Valle (Montaña La Botija, Cerro Guanacauce, and Isla del Tigre). Our surveys resulted in the documentation of 51 species of amphibians (15) and reptiles (36) (Lovich et al. 2006). We documented seven reptile species that were unknown from, but expected in the region (Ameiva undulata, Lampropeltis triangulum, Ninia sebae, Oxybelis fulgidus, Scolecosphys atrocinctus, Senticolis triaspis, and Siplotes pullatus) and five others (two amphibians, three reptiles) that were unexpected (Craugastor laevissimus, Ptychohyla hypomykter, Anolis cupreus, Hemidactylus frenatus, and Sceloporus malachitinus), based on published accounts (IUCN, Conservation International, and NatureServe 2006; Köhler 2001, 2008; Köhler et al. 2006; McCranie 2007; McCranie and Wilson 2002; Villa 1972; Wilson and McCranie 1998) and unpublished field notes of Roy McDermid (pers. comm.). Two additional species (Inclius coccifer and Oxybelis aeneus), although known from the region, represent new records for Isla del Tigre, a volcanic island 1.9 km from the mainland, which contains the only protected dry forest in the Department of Valle. Museum acronyms follow Leviton et al. (1985). All vouchers were verified by J. R. McCranie unless otherwise noted. Locality coordinates were taken with a GPS device using map datum WGS84. If no English common name is available for a species, then the Spanish common names for amphibians are those found in McCranie and Castañeda (2007) and reptile common names are from one of the above listed publication sources in either Spanish or in English.

Anura – Frogs

Craugastor laevissimus (Ranita de Arroyo de Piel Lisa). CHOLUMTECA: Quebrada La Fortuna, Cerro Guanacauce MUA, 15 km ESE Choluteca (13.259949°N, 87.068716°W), 350 m elev. 7 January and 4 June 2006. Mason Ryan and Walther Monge. Verified by Jay Savage. UNAH 5152, UNAH 5156, SDSNH 72860–72861. First records for the department of Choluteca, with the closest localities occurring in the departments of El Paraíso, Honduras (McCranie 2007) and Nueva Segovia, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001). One non-vouchered specimen from the same locality was sacrificed to test for the fungus, Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, and the result was negative. All frogs were found among rocks and leaf
litter along a riparian habitat.

*Incilius coccifer* (Southern Roundgland Toad). VALLE: Isla del Tigre: La Laguna, ca. 1 km SSE Amapala (13.282400°N, 87.654717°W), 10 m elev. 14 June 2006. Robert Lovich. UNAH 5235. First record for Isla del Tigre, with the closest records occurring on the mainland in the departments of Valle and Choluteca (McCrane 2006), and in the neighboring departments of La Unión, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006) and Chinandega, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001, 2008). The frog was found at night along the shoreline of La Laguna.

*Ptychohyl a hypomnycter* (Rana Trepadora Común). CHOLUTECA: Finca Jayacayan, Quebrada del Horno, Montaña La Botija MUA, 8 km SSW San Marcos de Colon (13.359615°N, 86.832380°W), 1000 m elev. 9 January 2006. Mason Ryan. Verified by Jay Savage. UNAH 5155. First record for the department of Choluteca, with the closest recorded localities occurring in the neighboring departments of El Paraiso, Honduras (McCrane 2007), and Esteli, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001). The frog was found in riparian vegetation on a tree branch overhanging a stream.

**Squamata – Lizards**

*Hemidactylus frenatus* (Common House Gecko). CHOLUTECA: La Fortuna, Cerro Guanacuara MUA, 15 km ESE Choluteca (13.259949°N, 87.068716°W), 350 m elev. 3 January 2006. Thomas Akre, Robert Lovich, Antonio Robinson, Mason Ryan, and Norm Scott. SDSNH 72726; UNAH 5185–5186. First records for the department of Choluteca and confirm the presence of a population in Cerro Guanacuara MUA. While the species is reported as “widely introduced” on the Honduran mainland (McCrane et al. 2005, 2006), the nearest published records are from the department of Atlántida (Franklin 2000). Introduced to several locations in Central America (Köhler 2001, 2008), this species was first collected in Honduras in 1997, although its colonization since has been poorly documented (McCrane et al. 2006). The closest reported localities to our records are in the neighboring departments of La Libertad, El Salvador (Greenbaum 2002), and Chinandega, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001). All specimens were collected on buildings at night.

*Sceloporus malachiticus* (Lagartija Espinosa Verde). CHOLUTECA: Quebrada de la Florida, Montaña La Botija MUA, 11 km SSW of San Marcos de Colon (13.335833°N, 86.840000°W), 1263 m elev. 9 January 2006. Robert Lovich. SDSNH 72765. Quebrada del Horno, Montaña La Botija MUA, 8 km SSW San Marcos de Colon (13.359615°N, 86.832380°W), 1000 m elev. 9 June 2006. Thomas Akre. UNAH 5136. Las Moras, Montaña La Botija MUA, 9 km SSE San Marcos de Colon (13.356667°N, 86.760000°W), 1600 m elev. 10 January 2006. Walther Monge. UNAH 5125. First published records for the department of Choluteca (Köhler 2008, Wilson and McCranie 1998), with the closest known records being from the departments of Nueva Segovia, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001) and San Miguel, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The lizards were collected either on rock outcrops or logs exposed to sunlight in mixed deciduous forest.


**Squamata – Snakes**

*Lampropeltis triangulum* (Milksnake). CHOLUTECA: Tres Piedras, Hwy 3, 7 km WNW of Nicaragua (13.086389°N, 87.009444°W), 70 m elev. 26 November 2005. Thomas Akre. LACM PC 1448. San Marcos de Colón (13.428899°N, 86.804722°W), 1000 m elev. 13 June 2006. Robert Lovich. UNAH 5044. First records for the department of Choluteca, with UNAH 5044 confirming the presence of the species in the newly declared Montaña La Botija MUA. Nearest published records are from the departments of Francisco Morazán, Honduras (Wilson and Meyer 1985), Jinotega and Matagalpa, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001), and San Miguel, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). LACM PC 1448 was found on a road in disturbed tropical deciduous forest and UNAH 5044 was found in an urban setting.

*Ninia sebae* (Red-backed Coffee Snake). CHOLUTECA: Quebrada de la Florida, Montaña La Botija MUA, 11 km SSW San Marcos de Colon (13.337639°N, 86.846111°W), 1250 m elev. 9 January 2006. Thomas Akre and Norman Scott. SDSNH 72801; UNAH 5278. Las Moras, Montaña La Botija MUA, 9 km SSE San Marcos de Colon (13.356667°N, 86.760000°W), 1600 m elev. 10 June 2006. Soña Nuñez. UNAH 5280. First records for the department of Choluteca, with the nearest published localities being from
the departments of Francisco Morazán and El Paraiso, Honduras (Wilson and Meyer 1985), Jinotega and Matagalpa, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001), and Morazán, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The specimens from Quebrada de la Florida were found under rocks in riparian habitats, whereas the Las Moras snake was beneath a rock near a cattle pond surrounded by disturbed cloud forest.

*Oxybelis aeneus* (Brown Vinesnake). VALLE: Playa Negra, 4 km S of Amapala, Isla del Tigre (13.251892°N, 87.649656°W), 25 m elev. 15 June 2006. Robert Lovich. UNAH 5267. First published record for Isla del Tigre, with the closest record known from nearby Isla Zacata Grande, Valle (Wilson and Meyer 1985). Near-est records in neighboring countries are from the departments of Chinandega, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001), and Morazán, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The snake was caught during the day on a roadside tree branch in disturbed dry forest vegetation, ca. 1.5 m above the ground.

*Oxybelis fulgidus* (Green Vinesnake). CHOLUTECA: Tres Pilas, Montaña La Botija MUA, 0.5 km SSE Santa Rita (13.362283°N, 86.732517°W), 1140 m elev. 7 October 2003. Charles Mayer. Verifi- ed by Kent Beaman. LACM PC 1455. First record for the department of Choluteca and increases the known evelational range by ca. 440 m (Köhler 2001, 2008). This species is known from the departments of Francisco Morazán, Honduras (Wilson and Meyer 1985), Chinandega, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001), and Esteli, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001), and San Miguel, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The snake was photographed along a trail in a pine-oak forest.

*Scolecephis atrocinclus* (Ringed Centipede Snake). CHOLUTE- CA: La Isnaya, Montaña La Botija MUA, 0.5 km SSE Santa Rita (13.362283°N, 86.732517°W), 1140 m elev. 7 October 2003. Charles Mayer. Verifi- ed by Kent Beaman. LACM PC 1455. First record for the department of Choluteca and increases the known evelational range by ca. 440 m (Köhler 2001, 2008). This species is also known from the nearby departments of Francisco Morazán, Honduras (Wilson and Meyer 1985), Esteli, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001), and San Miguel, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The snake was photographed along a trail in a pine-oak forest.

*Stictosaurus triaspis* (Green Ratsnake). CHOLUTECA: La Isnaya, Montaña La Botija MUA, 0.5 km SSE Santa Rita (13.356667°N, 86.766220°W), 1160 m elev. Norman Scott. UNAH5265. First re- cord for the department of Choluteca, with the closest localities found in neighboring departments of Francisco Morazán and Paraíso, Honduras (Wilson and Meyer 1985). The species is known from the departments of Matagalpa, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001) and San Miguel, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The snake was photographed along a trail in a remnant cloud forest.

*Spilotes pullatus* (Tropical Teesnake). CHOLUTECA: La Isnaya, Montaña La Botija MUA, 0.5 km SSE Santa Rita (13.362283°N, 86.732517°W), 1140 m elev. 11 January 2006. Charles Mayer. Verifi- ed Kent Beaman. UNAH 5275. First record for the department of Choluteca, with the closest localities found in neighboring departments of Francisco Morazán and Paraíso, Honduras (Wilson and Meyer 1985). The species is known from the departments of Matagalpa, Nicaragua (Köhler 2001) and San Miguel, El Salvador (Köhler et al. 2006). The snake was found on a tree branch ca. 2 m above the ground in mixed oak woodland.
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Despite several extensive surveys and a wealth of historical records chronicling the distribution of reptiles and amphibians in Washington (for review see McAllister 1995), there are still large gaps in the documentation of species occurring within the southeastern portion of the state. This region comprises some 8800 km² and includes Asotin, Columbia, Franklin, Garfield, and Whitman counties. Most records for species reported to occur in this area are nearly half a century old, with several over 70 years old (Metter 1960; Svihla and Svihla 1933). Given concerns over the documented decline of many amphibian species around the globe (Alford and Richards 1999; Beebee and Griffiths 2005), a current knowledge of species’ distributions is of utmost importance for the implementation of conservation strategies. Indeed, the need for further surveys reconfirming the presence of species in this area of Washington State is warranted, as recent surveys have revealed several novel county records (Dornburg and Weaver 2007a, 2007b; Weaver and Dornburg 2007).

In this note we report several first county records, in addition to noteworthy distribution records for reptile and amphibian species in southeastern Washington State. The records reported here were collected during 2005–2007 and verified by Kelly M. Cassidy at the Conner Museum, Washington State University (CMWSU). Coordinates listed use NAD83/WGS84 datum recorded with a hand-held Garmin® geographic positioning system (GPS) unit. The nomenclature used follows Crother et al. (2008). All specimens or photo vouchers were deposited within the Herpetology Collection, Department of Biological Sciences, Central Washington University, Ellensburg Washington.

Anura – Frogs

*Anaryxus boreas* (Western Toad). *Garfield Co.*., Almota-Ferry Road (46.6708833°N, 117.5054°W). Two individuals (CWU 1685 and 1686) were observed on this road on 14 May 2007. These are the first verified reports of this species for this county in 50 years.

*Spea intermontana* (Great Basin Spadefoot). *Asotin Co.*, Peola Grade Road (46.3852167°N, 117.112483°W). Four specimens were found along this road during a precipitation event on 02 May 2007. (CWU 1670–1674) These specimens represent the first verified reports for this county in 34 years. *Columbia Co.*, Tucannon River Road (46.4820167°N, 117.934833°W). A total of four specimens were collected on 26 June 2005. (CWU 1677–1681) An additional specimen (CWU 1682) was collected on 10 June 2007. These are the first verified reports of this species from this county in 50 years. *Whitman Co.*, State Route 127 (46.61255°N, 117.790483°W). A single individual was observed (CWU 1687) on 17 April 2007. These represent the first verified reports for this species from this county in 54 years.

Squamata – Lizards

*Pllestiodon skiltonianus* (Western Skink). *Asotin Co.*, Asotin Creek (46.314833°N, 117.25335°W). A single individual was observed under the same rock along the Palouse River within the state park on 07 June 2004. (CWU 1669) This is the first verified report of this species from this county in 69 years. *Franklin Co.*, Palouse Falls State Park (46.653133°N, 118.2246°W). An adult female and male (CWU 1683 and 1684) were observed under the same rock along the Palouse River within the state park on 07 June 2007. These represent first county records. *Whitman Co.*, State Route 127, (46.669933°N, 117.803383°W). Two individuals (CWU 1688) were observed on 17 April 2007. These are the first verified reports of this species for this county in 56 years.
Scoloporus occidentalis (Western Fence Lizard). Columbia Co., Tucannon River Hatchery (46.003°N, 117.711111°W). Several individuals (one collected, CWU 1676) were observed basking on rocks along the river’s edge on 27 July 2006. These are the first verified reports of this species from this county in 54 years.

Squamata – Snakes

Charina bottae (Northern Rubber Boa). Asotin Co., Asotin Creek Road (46.3290833°N, 117.1006667°W). A specimen was collected (CWU 1695) dead-on-road on 21 July 2008. This is the first verified report of this species from this county in 88 years. Columbia Co., Tucannon River Road (46.3412°N, 117.682°W). A single individual was observed alive-on-road (CWU 1675) on 16 October 2007. This is the first verified report of this species from this county in 77 years.

Hypsiglena chlorophaea (Desert Nightsnake). Asotin Co., Asotin Creek Road (46.3007167°N, 117.265333°W). An adult female was collected (CWU 1696) alive-on-road on 07 September 2007. Two additional specimens were collected (CWU 1697–1698) on 10 September 2007 along this same stretch of road. These represent first county records.

Acknowledgments.—We thank Kelly Cassidy for verification of these records. We thank David M. Darda for allowing us to deposit specimens and photo vouchers at Central Washington University. Specimens were collected by a Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife scientific collecting permit issued to REW.
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The extinction and decline of amphibians is either approaching or it has already reached a precipitous wall. Authors Collins and Crump deliver an informative and accessible resource about global amphibian declines in their recently published book Extinction in Our Times: Global Amphibian Decline. This book is well-organized, well-researched, and presented in a format that can be read by naturalists, students, and scientists who are interested in the recent history of amphibian declines. Understanding the cause and nature of declines while navigating through the science, government, and civil society is critically important to those who want to address this great challenge.

The foreward written by Thomas Lovejoy highlights the most important aspects of the book in a few brief pages. His message is that the response to amphibian declines requires more than a science-as-usual approach. Addressing and understanding amphibian declines requires scientific teamwork, organizational learning, community involvement, educational programs, and socio-political engagement on biodiversity issues. This book covers these topics within the context of scientific studies that identify causes of global amphibian declines.

The book starts with an overview and introduction to biodiversity, conservation biology and key issues of amphibian decline. Collins and Crump adopt Noss and Cooperrider’s (1994) definition for biodiversity, which includes and considers the evolving interactions, relations, and processes shared among genes, individuals, populations, and species. Adaptations and the sustaining functions of ecosystem services are supplied from all levels biodiversity.

Collins and Crump do not make clear the distinction between species extinction and population declines. The distinction is necessary so that conservation perspective and priorities address issues of decline to scale (Luck et al. 2003). The authors write that they will focus on and consider only the species level because it is “…easier, faster and cheaper (p. 1).” This assessment runs counter to the analysis by Naidoo et al. (2008) suggesting that species...
targets as the conservation priority do not conserve optimal levels of global ecosystem services. Green (1997), Hugues et al. (1997), Molnar et al. (2004), and Wood and Gross (2008) also focus on and discuss the importance of conserving population levels of demographies and diversity. Contrary to the authors’ statement that they will focus on the species level, examples of population decline are well described throughout the book. Collins and Crump even suggest, “…we need to monitor as many populations as possible (p. 45).” Researchers should not be monitoring population declines only to determine what species are threatened, but because population diversity holds the largest reservoir of ecological energy and biomass. Population levels of biodiversity are the main supplier of global ecosystem services (Luck et al. 2003).

Collins and Crump note that climate change, disease and pollution have reached beyond ‘protected’ boundaries of national parks and other ‘pristine’ areas, which was “historically the best way to ensure a species’ survival (p. 88).” The ‘historical’ approach as based on species centered conservation and continues to be used as many conservation biologists seek to contain as many endangered species into protected and networked areas as possible for the least amount of cost (Kareiva and Marvier 2003; Molnar et al. 2004). Orchard (1999) also cites one of the significant dangers with the species conservation approach preventing researchers’ eligibility for funding unless species are red-listed in their jurisdiction. Conservation efforts must shift the focus, effort and fund research on population declines (Molnar et al. 2004). Local populations are more familiar and meaningful to people than abstract species binomials for animals living in a far off place. “A more profound way to encourage public appreciation for wetlands and for the animals that live in them is to familiarize people with ponds, marshes, and lakes (p. 34).” Although there are few amphibian species in Canada, for example, the abundance of amphibian populations provides many places where conservationists can educate and communicate about the extinction process in a direct way (e.g., Green 1997). While Collins and Crump steer toward a species level approach, their book is a valuable resource for educators and conservationists living in places where there are few amphibian species.

Chapter 2 covers the history of organizational and institutional responses to amphibian declines since 1989. The history is informative and interesting to read with large amounts of personal insight into scientific meetings, organizations and government initiatives aimed at putting amphibian conservation plans into action. The third chapter reviews case examples by describing the behind-the-scenes work of researchers and organizations working together to face difficult challenges as they unravel the mystery and communicate on the nature of amphibian declines. Through their combined history, Collins and Crump have intimate knowledge and lots of personal anecdotes that bring to light some of the more interesting yet unpublished aspects of the research and response into amphibian declines.

Subsequent chapters use accessible language in reviewing scientific details that unravel the mystery behind the major threats and declines in amphibian populations around the globe. They book includes some surprising statistics on the economics of introduced amphibian species, amphibian commerce in the pet-trade, and amphibians for food and lab specimens. The authors relate this information back to conservation management and declines. Although it is mentioned on p. 197 that the Global Amphibian Assessment (2008) identifies habitat loss and degradation as the greatest threats by far, the treatment of this topic by the authors is disproportionately small. There is a small section on land use change, but less discussion on the magnitude of declines caused by habitat fragmentation (e.g., Eigenbrod et al. 2008; Green 1997; Puky 2005). The conservation and management of populations poses significant challenges in context of roads, forestry, and urban development. The conservation topics chosen by Collins and Crump, in context of declines, include agricultural contaminants (although not others such as road de-icing contaminants), UV radiation, and emerging infectious disease. For each topic they explain how each issue relates to or is the cause of developmental abnormalities such as extra limbs or missing eyes. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) causing chytridiomycosis (aka, the chytrid fungus) is discussed in every chapter. Chapter seven is devoted solely to this topic with an extensive review about the spread, epidemiology, biology, and effects of the Chytrid fungus. This story is both interesting and holds great importance in relation to the issue of amphibian declines because the disease has caused rapid and massive die offs in Central America and has spread to many other populations worldwide (Lips et al. 2009). The authors highlight that chytridiomycosis has a unique and previously misunderstood relation to extinction; they call this the hyperdisease hypothesis (p. 200). Chytridiomycosis is indeed exceptional. With the single exception of the American chestnut blight no other infectious disease has been linked with or known to cause extinction.

Chapters 8 and 9 review the evolving and sometimes tense relationship between the scientist, the conservationist and the institutions they share. They explore the complex moral implications and challenges in decision-making among conservation biologists with respect to the spread of chytrid disease. Do we translocate wild animals to zoos and holding facilities when extinction is predicted via the path of a chytrid epidemic? Once the chytrid has spread it is likely to stay in the environment. This means that repatriation, difficult under ‘normal’ circumstances (Dodd and Siegel 1991), is unlikely to succeed. The authors address and review these and other challenges in context of the unique circumstances that the amphibian extinction crisis poses for conservation biologists. While the book raises some insightful points, clearer advice is needed for animal care committees, colleagues, scientific panels, government and granting agencies who may not weigh in appropriately on the morals, ethics, and priority in dealing with amphibian conservation research proposals. The authors mention a new field of ‘ecological ethics’ that is being established to address these problems (p. 188). This area of research is needed to put ecological consequences versus the individual rights of an animal into context. The debate surrounding the use of toe clips for mark-recapture studies (Funk et al. 2005) is recent example highlighting the importance of guidelines for ecological ethics.

The final chapter reviews the story of amphibian declines in context of what has been learned. The end of the chapter summary provides a list of questions in need of answers. In context of the types of questions being asked, Collins and Crump wonder if the ‘canary in the coal mine’ metaphor aptly describes the sensitivity of amphibians by noting that, “by the time amphibians respond, environmental quality has already deteriorated (p. 203).” The utility or truth to this metaphor is also questioned by Pechmann and
Wilbur (1994) who find no evidence to substantiate the proposal that amphibians are more susceptible to contaminants through their unique life history or because of their permeable eggs, skin, and gills.

In contrast to the arguments against the metaphor it may serve as a message to say that humanity must pay attention to amphibian declines as coal miners paid attention to a dying canary. A dead canary mobilized miners in much the same way that the ACAP has called for an unprecedented response to the declines (Gascon et al. 2007). In this framework, the metaphor is meaningful and serves a conservation utility. Metaphors can have different meanings and utilities (MacCormac 1985). Climatologists, for example, cite the canary in the coalmine metaphor in context of the rapid retreat of glaciers and climate change (Erdman 2009). With respect to amphibian declines, the metaphor delivers a message that we are in the midst of the sixth major extinction and amphibians are the predominant global extinction risk group (Wake and Vredenburg 2008). Amphibian declines are alerting us that there is a problem for life on earth. The loss of amphibians and their habitats threatens the steady supply of ecological services sustaining humanity, just as the mine atmosphere sustains a miner. Despite their contention with the metaphor, Collins and Crump do not lose sight of the message that “amphibians are a cautionary tale alerting us (p. 203)” or that “amphibians are a warning and a glimpse of possible future events (p. 203)”.

An alternate hypothesis stemming from the canary in coalmine metaphor suggests that amphibians serve as better sentinels, indicators, or a bellwether of environmental degradation and contamination compared to other organisms in a local context. This is the interpretation that Collins and Crump disagree with as they cite research suggesting that some amphibian species are not as sensitive an environmental indicator as other species. Moreover, as the scientific narrative in this book demonstrates, monitoring declines, deformities and causal stress factors is tough work that requires robust analytical and proven field methods to distinguish natural from abnormal fluctuations. Hence, amphibians may not serve as good indicators for monitoring the immediate local response often required in environmental assessments (e.g., Pearce and Venier 2009). As global indicators, however, amphibian declines are alerting humanity about a modern ecological process that is cataclysmic in magnitude (p. 203).

Extinction in our Times: Global Amphibian Decline is a highly recommended read for herpetologists, students of herpetology, conservation biologists, non-profit organizations, nature enthusiasts, and citizens who want to learn about the nature and response to the crisis. The message in the book is relevant, clear, and includes 28 pages of references and 23 pages of footnotes. The hardcover is affordably priced at US $29.95 with good binding and effective black and white images capturing details of amphibians in crisis situations. While enjoyable to read, this book is also an important addition to the literature of amphibian declines that highlights the moral imperative and action that is needed to address extinction in our times.
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This book would have been better titled “The Rise of Limbed Vertebrates” because the taxa called “amphibians” in the book include genuine amphibians (the largest clade which includes lissamphibians but not amniotes), stem-tetrapods, and reptiliomorphs (amniotes included). It is abundantly illustrated, and the color plates are nice, but the illustrations are generally of low resolution and appear to have been treated as grayscale images, even though they are really line art. This results in an annoying diffusion dither pattern, especially visible around the scale bars and lettering of the figures. The book is organized into fourteen chapters. The first chapter, on the history of earth and life, is nice and briefly covers topics from the Big Bang to the Cambrian explosion in biodiversity.

Chapter two, on “Advanced metazoans and the ancestry of vertebrates,” which also covers the evolution of primitively aquatic vertebrates, is not as good. Some statements are not supported by recent works. For instance, it states (p. 29) that anaspidas are “thought to be the ancestors of the modern lampreys,” and that bone “is lost in the living jawless fish.” Neither statement is supported by a phylogenetic analysis of early vertebrates (Janvier 1996a), which shows that hagfishes and lampreys are excluded from the smallest clade of vertebrates with a bony skeleton. Strangely, neither that paper, nor the most detailed, up-to-date review of early vertebrates (Janvier 1996b), are cited. The chondrichthians are reported to be divided into two “categories,” the sharks and the rays. Actually, the most fundamental division in chondrichthians is between the holoccephalans (chimaeras; not mentioned in the book) and the elasmobranchs, which include skates and rays (forming a clade), as well as “sharks,” a paraphyletic group, since skates and rays are nested within it (this paraphyly is not mentioned in the book). The book also states that early osteichthyans had a paired swim bladder used to control buoyancy (p. 31), but the taxonomic distribution of the lung (a primarily respiratory structure) and of the swim bladder (primarily involved in buoyancy control) suggests that the lung is more primitive, since most sarcopterygians (dipnoans and tetrapods) and the basalmost actinopterygians (polypterids) have a lung, whereas the swim bladder is restricted to part of Actinopterygii (Laurin 2008a). Carroll’s idiosyncratic view of phylogenetics peeks through in statements such as “These specializations of the feeding apparatus preclude lungfish from close affinities with terrestrial vertebrates.” (p. 32), which will puzzle many readers. Similar statements are found in other chapters, about Tiktaalik (p. 44), Ventastega (p. 59) Acherontiscus (p. 107), Ichthyostega and Acanthostega (both on p. 151), amniotes and “lepospondyls” (p. 160), and some of them (e.g. for Ventastega) lead Carroll to suggest relationships that are not most parsimonious and are contradicted by the most recent phylogenies. Although Hennig (1966) clearly demonstrated that autapomorphies are phylogenetically uninformative (at least under parsimony) beyond demonstrating the monophyly of a taxon, Carroll states that autapomorphies can refute sister-group relationships (for instance, p. 212 on archegosaurids; pp. 262, 301 on aïstopods, adelogyrinids, and lysorophians), or that a taxon is sufficiently primitive to be a sister-group (p. 301, on “microsaurs”). Elsewhere (p. 160, ch. 5), Carroll uses widespread plesiomorphies to refute some relationships supported by synapomorphies (in this case, between “microsaurs” and lysorophians). Such statements presumably reflect Carroll’s frequent confusion between sister-groups and ancestors, which surfaces in various sections of the book.

Chapter three provides a detailed description of the anatomy and evolution of the first limbed vertebrates and of their closest finned relatives. Some errors have crept in; for instance, figure 3.2 suggests that Eusthenopteron is more closely related to tetrapods than to Panderichthys, but this is contradicted both by the text and by conventional wisdom. The interpretation is sometimes naïve. For instance, the fact that Tiktaalik has been found in apparently freshwater deposits “indicates a major shift from marine to fresh water” (p. 41). In fact, sarcopterygians have frequently moved between both environments (Vermeij and Dudley 2000), and there is evidence of many marine limbed vertebrates (Laurin and Soler-Gijón 2006), including the barely more crownward Devonian Tulerpeton (Lebedev 1986), so this particular shift is unlikely to have been “major.” This chapter includes a useful review of gene expression patterns in developing fin and limb buds, but it does not incorporate recent work on the basal actinopterygian Polyodon, which shows that many patterns previously considered unique to tetrapods are probably osteichthyan synapomorphies. Their loss in Danio is presumably an autapomorphy (possibly of a much larger group of teleosts) that may be associated with the loss of the metapterygial axis in teleost fins (Davis et al. 2007). There are also errors in the coverage of Devonian taxa. For instance, Carroll (p. 59) calls Ventastega an “unquestioned tetrapod,” which is misleading given that digits are not preserved and that there is no guarantee that they were present (Laurin et al. 2000). The statement (p. 72) that “The retention of many primitive features of the skeleton confirms the position of Ossinodus near the base of tetrapods, and its divergence prior to the loss of the intertemporal bone and other derived features of Ichthyostega and Acanthostega” is contradicted by every phylogenetic analysis that has included Ossinodus (Warren and Turner 2004; Ruta and Coates 2007; Warren 2007).

Chapter four, on “the radiation of Carboniferous amphibians,” includes detailed descriptions of early stegocephalians (limbed vertebrates) that constitute a strong point of the book. The taxa are presented in stratigraphic order, which does not always seem optimal, as when the earliest “microsaurs” are described on pages 107–117, and more recent ones on pages 128–130. Similarly, aisto-
pods are described on pages 72, 97, and 130–141. The discussion of phylogenetic relationships is largely left to the next chapter, but many statements formulated in terms of ancestor-descendant relationships could have been replaced by statements of sister-group relationships (e.g., the statement on p. 141 that “No later amphibians are plausible descendants of this assemblage [aïstopods”).

The nomenclature is not formulated in phylogenetic terms either, or these terms have an idiosyncratic meaning. For instance, the statement (p. 91) that baphetids are “close to the base of the tetrapod stem” disregards the fact that this stem encompasses many finned sarcopterygians (all those that are closer to the limbed ones than to the dipnoans), such as Eusthenopteron and Osteolepis; Carroll actually means something like “along the tetrapod stem, close to the base of the clade of limbed vertebrates.” Several statements suggest that Linnaean categories have an objective reality and that research can lead to “correct” rank assignments. One example (p. 141) mentions that for aïstopods, “as more has become known of the relationships (e.g., the statement on p. 141 that “No later amphibians are plausible descendants of this assemblage [aïstopods”).

Other examples can be found on pp. 91, 93, and 99. In fact, Linnaean categories are purely artificial and even undefined constructs required by rank-based nomenclature (Laurin 2008b).

More serious problems concern the lack of alternatives presented. For instance, the enigmatic Westlothiana is presented as a relative of amniotes (p. 102); there is no mention of the fact that the most comprehensive phylogenetic analyses suggest that it is either a stem-tetrapod (Laurin and Reisz 1999) or a basal “lepospondyl” (Ruta et al. 2003, Ruta and Coates 2007). Similarly, Carroll assumes that a tympanum was present in most temnospondyls, even those with massive stapes such as Balanerpeton (p. 102) or Dendrerpeton (p. 117). The stapes of Dendrerpeton is even described as “reduced” (p. 262, ch. 10), but it is much more massive than its homologue in tetrapods with a tympanum (Laurin and Soler-Gijón 2006: fig. 7); and the presence of a tympanum in most temnospondyls is highly debatable (Laurin 1998a; Laurin and Soler-Gijón 2006).

Several functional interpretations are dubious. For instance, we read (p. 93) that the largely cartilaginous endoskeleton of Crassigyrinus “would have increased buoyancy in the water.” Selective pressures to increase buoyancy are unlikely to have resulted in decreased ossification in an animal that presumably seldom came to the surface, and in which air in the lungs would instead create selective pressure to increase ossification to create a ballast, as we see in several aquatic tetrapods (Kriloff et al. 2008). The explanation is more likely to reside in the lower metabolic cost of producing and maintaining cartilage than bone.

Chapter five, on “adaptation, radiation, and relationships,” opens with a long, unnecessary discussion of gaps in the fossil record that fails to consider that Hennig developed cladistics as a way of inferring phylogeny even with a scanty (or absent) fossil record. It also makes the usual mistake of considering that cladistics assumes evolution to be parsimonious (p. 146), rather than just representing a way to choose between alternative hypotheses; in that respect, parsimony in the most general sense is part of the scientific method itself.

The chapter then presents several stegocephalian phylogenies in figure 5.1, but lissamphibians were pruned from most of them. Only Carroll’s (2007: fig. 77) phylogeny (reproduced in the book as figure 5.1D) includes lissamphibians. This is a poor choice, given that it shows temnospondyls (represented by “basal temnospondyls”, Branchiosauridae and Amphibamidae) as the stem-group of a clade encompassing lissamphibians, “lepospondyls”, and even amniotes. Even Carroll did not seem to accept this topology, since he presented another, less parsimonious, tree (Carroll 2007: fig. 78) in which temnospondyls form the stem of batrachians (anurans and urodeles) only. Fortunately, another figure (5.3) shows a stegocephalian phylogeny with lissamphibians, although Ruta et al. (2003) was used as the source, rather than the more recent tree derived from the expanded dataset of Ruta and Coates (2007). Even that tree was perhaps not the best choice, given that two recent doctoral theses (Pawley 2006, Germain 2008) contain reevaluations of its supporting matrix and have reached drastically different conclusions, with a monophyletic origin of lissamphibians among “lepospondyls” rather than among temnospondyls (Marjanović and Laurin 2009).

Most of the text that discusses these phylogenies (pp. 146–147) is either plainly wrong or difficult to understand. For instance, we read (p. 147) that “A number of taxa at the base, including Eusthenopteron and other osteolepiform fish, Acanthostega and/or Ichthyostega, whatcheerids, Crassigyrinus, and baphetids appear as a series of separate lineages, without indication of the specific relationships between one another.” This suggests a large basal polytomy, but that is not what the phylogenies show; instead, we simply have highly asymmetrical trees showing several sister-groups of crown-tetrapods. Even more misleading is the statement (p. 147) that “the cladograms of both Laurin and Reisz (1997) and Ruta et al. [2003] were concerned with the interrelationships of the modern amphibian orders, and yet were based on very few characters of these groups and so were unable to resolve their specific affinities with any of the Paleozoic taxa.” In fact, both studies were based on numerous parsimony-informative characters (154 and 308, respectively), and both provided well-resolved and robust (though mutually incompatible) phylogenies showing a monophyletic origin of Lissamphibia either among “lepospondyls” (Laurin and Reisz 1997) or among temnospondyls (Ruta et al. 2003). Similarly misleading statements are made (p. 147) about the phylogenies presented by Clack (2002) and Ahlberg and Clack (1998). Chapter five is best ignored.

Chapter six on “the zenith of amphibian diversity” thoroughly describes the Permian diversity of stegocephalians. As elsewhere in the book, the interpretations do not always represent all plausible alternatives. For instance, it mentions (p. 163) “freshwater sharks” being associated with various stegocephalians. Probably this refers to xenacanthids, but Schultz and Soler-Gijón (2004) showed that at least some xenacanthids were able to live in saltwater. Similarly, we read (p. 185) that “Nearly all branchiosaurid species are represented by gilled larvae, but almost none are known from terrestrial adults. This implies that most were neotenic, spending their entire life in the water.” Another equally plausible alternative is that the adults lived in another, more terrestrial, environment and were not preserved for that reason.

Chapter seven on amniotes does not present a phylogeny. The only amniote phylogeny is presented on page 3, and is an old-fashioned “bubble diagram” in which the width reflects the number of families. Such use of taxa of higher ranks as proxies of biodiversity has been strongly criticized because taxa of
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a given rank fail to share any objective properties (Bertrand et al. 2006; Laurin 2008b). This amniote phylogeny contains more artificial – paraphyletic – groups than clades. The former include mammal-like reptiles (non-mammalian synapsids), reptiles other than archosauromorphs (apparently lepidosaurs, turtles, and various unspecified extinct taxa), and archosauromorphs (normally a clade, but here redefined to exclude birds). In fact, paraphyletic taxa are enthusiastically used throughout the book.

Instead of a phylogeny, chapter seven presents a list of amniote characters sorted into autapomorphies, synapomorphies with other (unspecified) taxa, and primitive characters. The criteria for these polarizations are not given, although they appear to assume Carroll’s preferred phylogeny. For instance, the absence of an intertemporal, of labyrinthine infolding, of palatal fangs, of lateral-line canal grooves, and of an otic notch, which are synapomorphies of crown-tetrapods under some phylogenies (e.g. Vallin and Laurin 2004; Pawley 2006: 386, 393–394), are thus interpreted as autapomorphies of Amniota, which is misleading.

Carroll (p. 196) also suggests that “Of the Paleozoic tetrapods, only anthracosaurs are plausible sister-taxa of amniotes, but this affiliation may lie at the level of Tulerpeton, which indicates a very long ghost lineage…” In fact, this hypothesis has never been supported by a single published data matrix. Recent analyses (e.g. Ruta et al. 2003; Vallin and Laurin 2004) clearly show that diadectomorphs are the sister-group of amniotes, and that “lepospondyls” are the next sister-group of amniotes.

Chapter eight on stereospondyls starts with an interesting review of extinction events, especially the end-Permian event. It also reviews recent phylogenies on this large clade of temnospondyls and scenarios about its origin.

Chapter nine on “The enigma of modern amphibian origins” is mostly phylogenetic and contains several misleading statements. For instance, Carroll (p. 229) states that herpetologists think that extant amphibians form the clade Lissamphibia, but that “Paleontologists… have suggested that they may have evolved from two or three separate groups of ancestral amphibians.” This is a false dichotomy because many paleontologists consider lissamphibians to form a clade that excludes all known Paleozoic vertebrates. Carroll then erroneously states (p. 231) about the matrices presented by Laurin and Reisz (1997) and Ruta et al. (2003) that “none of the many unique derived features that distinguish each of the three groups of living amphibians from one another were included in these databases.” Both matrices included such characters; for instance, characters 33 and 34 of the matrix of Laurin and Reisz (1997) are autapomorphies of Gymnophiona (maxilla palatal shelf and tentacular foramen). Carroll adds (p. 232) that “Even if it were true that frogs, salamanders, and caecilians had an immediate common ancestry from a single antecedent stock, there would still be many problems of determining how and when the key characters of the living orders had evolved and what was the nature of the immediate common ancestor. Neither of these questions is even considered in the analyses of Laurin and Reisz or Ruta and his colleagues.” This is misleading because Laurin and Reisz (1997) focused on amniote origins, so lissamphibians were not extensively discussed, but a revised and expanded version of the matrix was presented by Laurin (1998a, b), and the two questions raised by Carroll were discussed there. Carroll states (p. 234) that “If the prior applications of PAUP have failed to resolve the specific patterns of interrelationships among the modern amphibian orders or to find their plausible ancestry among one or more Paleozoic lineages, what other means of analysis might be followed?” In fact, applications of PAUP have not failed; they have only failed to support Carroll’s hypotheses.

Fortunately, chapter nine provides anatomical and functional data on lissamphibians (about breathing, prey capture, and locomotion, among others), which makes the non-phylogenetic parts of this chapter worth reading. These do not enable the reader to understand lissamphibian origins, but they are interesting in their own right.

Chapter ten reviews “The ancestry of frogs.” It is framed in the age-old search for ancestors. Of course, the possibility that lissamphibians are monophyletic is not evoked in that context. An extensive list of nested synapomorphies assumes that anurans (alone) originated among amphibamid temnospondyls. This is inconsistent with the hypothesis of urodele origins among branchiosaurid temnospondyls, which are nested among amphibamids (Fröbisch and Schoch 2009). But the descriptive parts of the chapter are useful.

Chapter eleven, on “The ancestry of salamanders,” describes several Jurassic and Cretaceous urodeles. Carroll states that (p. 280) “In fact, it is the larvae that provide much of the data that enable us to trace the ancestry of salamanders into the Paleozoic.” In light of this assertion, the simplistic interpretations of such data are surprising. For instance, Carroll opposes the “gradual” pattern of ossification in branchiosaurids with the “complete ossification of the skull at a very small size” in other stegocephalians and “as far back as Eusthenopteron.” Yet, on the same page, he mentions that “In nearly all branchiosaurids that had been described previously, the smallest preserved specimens had already ossified nearly all the dermal bones of the skull.” On the next page, he adds “At present, no branchiosaurids other than those of the genus Apatéon clearly show the protracted sequence of ossification of the dermal skull.” From all this, he concludes that “the capacity of branchiosaurids to ossify the dermal bones of the skull in a slow, sequential manner evolved only later, between the Westphalian D and near the end of the Carboniferous.” Is it not much more likely that poorly ossified, weakly mineralized, tiny larvae have a low preservation potential and that they are thus generally not known? Hence, the absence of well-documented cranial ossification sequences in “lepospondyls” is almost certainly a taphonomic artifact. Developmental biologists usually consider that simultaneity in developmental events simply reflects lack of temporal resolution reflecting limitations in data, rather than true simultaneity (Bininda-Emonds et al. 2003: 341).

Chapters twelve covers “Eocaelia and the origin of caecilians”. For lack of space, the specific strengths and weaknesses of this chapter will not be discussed; they are similar to those of the other sections of the book. Chapter thirteen, “the success of modern amphibians”, covers the fossil record of lissamphibians and their phylogeny. Chapter fourteen, “the future of amphibians,” surveys
their fate in extinction events, especially in the current one caused by anthropic effects. These last two chapters are among the best in the book.

The bibliography is highly selective. For instance, Carroll cites Zhang et al. (2005), the first paper that provides molecular dating of the origin of Lissamphibia, Lee and Anderson (2006), a commentary, and other recent studies (San Mauro et al. 2005; Roelants et al. 2007). All these papers concluded an Early Carboniferous or Late Devonian origin of Lissamphibia, which is, as Carroll states (pp. 304–305), consistent with a polyphyletic origin of Lissamphibia. Yet, these ancient ages of Lissamphibia have been shown to reflect a suboptimal choice of dating constraints (Marjanović and Laurin 2007), and three dating techniques with very different assumptions point to a Permian origin (Marjanović and Laurin 2008), which is clearly incompatible with the polyphyly hypothesis. Molecular dating performed in another lab (Hugall et al. 2007: table 3) also concluded to a Late Carboniferous to Early Permian origin of Lissamphibia—even though no calibration points lay inside that clade, which is expected to lead to overestimation of all dates for nodes in it (Brochu 2004a, b; Marjanović and Laurin 2007), as Hugall et al. (2007:558) noted themselves. Omission of these papers from the bibliography will give readers the erroneous impression that molecular data unequivocally supports an Early Carboniferous origin of Lissamphibia and hence its polyphyly.

Similarly, Carroll cites Schoch and Carroll (2003), who concluded that developmental similarities between branchiosaurs and urodeles suggested an origin of the latter among the former, but he cites neither Schoch (2006), who showed that these similarities are primitive, nor Germain and Laurin (2009), who showed that these similarities are far fewer than initially proposed (although the second paper may have been published too late to be discussed in the book).

To sum up, this book contains much useful anatomical information, and is abundantly illustrated. It thus constitutes a valuable factual account of anatomical diversity of early stegoscephalians. However, the large-scale phylogenetic interpretations, especially those concerning the origin of lissamphibians, are best ignored. The book also indulges in extensive story-telling which is, fortunately, not representative of modern paleontological thought. For more objective and rigorous assessments of early stegoscephalan evolution and the origin of extant amphibians, the readers should consult some recent reviews (e.g. Anderson 2008; Coates et al. 2008; Marjanović and Laurin 2009).

I thank D. Marjanović for constructive comments on an earlier draft.
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There are many books about snakes. Just a few examples: a decade ago, Greene (1997) published one, illustrated with stunning photos by the Fogdens; half a decade earlier, Seigel and Collins (1993) informed “herpetologists, biologists, and others” about snake ecology and behavior; and half a decade earlier yet, Seigel et al. (1987) focused on the ecology and evolution of snakes. Do we need yet another one?

Early in their Introduction, Mullin and Seigel point out that habitat loss, caused by the seemingly endless increase in the human population and coupled with escalating technological abilities and standards of living, is causing the declines of many organisms. A decade ago, Gibbons et al. (2000) pointed out that in the rush to focus on declining amphibians – certainly a real and pressing problem – the roughly equal declines in reptile populations are mostly being ignored. Although a number of the snake books that have appeared since then include a conservation component— the attractive contribution from Henderson and Powell (2007) has seven conservation-related papers, for example, and both previous “snake” books (Seigel et al. 1987; Seigel and Collins 1993) had a chapter on the subject—none have focused on this important topic. Of the eleven numbered chapters in the current volume, seven include the word “conservation” in their title and all at least touch on the topic. Each chapter also contains a short “future directions” section identifying areas requiring additional attention.

The first half of the book (Chapters 1–4, pages 5–148) is methodological in nature. Whole books have been written about the material covered in these chapters. The extensive coverage provides for an excellent starting place for anyone designing a new snake study, but for details, especially of complex software packages or procedures, one will probably need to proceed to more specialized literature once an approach is chosen. Many advances have occurred since research methods were reviewed by Fitch in the first “snake” book (Seigel et al. 1987), and Chapter 1 starts with those but quickly goes beyond them. There is more overlap with the recent contribution by Ferner (2007; strangely missing
from the reference section). Some of the newer or more complex methods covered here, such as occupancy modeling, are not covered by either previous publication, however. The second chapter is focused on using molecular techniques to infer the processes that determined current distribution. The authors cover sources of DNA, the methods for constructing trees, and—most important, that is focused on population genetics. A long table—3.5 pages—detailing available microsatellite primers for snake species is likely to be a useful resource, though additional ones will doubtlessly be available before long. Tables listing studies and levels of intra-population (6 pages) and inter-population (3 pages) genetic variation will also be convenient references. The fourth chapter focuses on another new set of tools, habitat modeling. These have recently attracted much attention when they were carefully applied to predicting the potential final distribution of large constrictors that have invaded and are expanding their ranges and impacts in Florida (Roddia et al. 2008). The chapter touches not only on the models and their data requirements, but also on conservation applications. Unfortunately, it does not provide advice on how to respond to hysterical complaints from readers who do not like model outputs.

The second half of the text (Chapters 5–10, pages 149–280) offers reviews. The question of how behavioral data can be incorporated into conservation decisions is addressed in Chapter 5, which is arranged by study area (e.g., “invasive prey and predators”). Chapter 6 focuses on reproductive biology and its conservation implications—arguing that habitat protection, for a variety of reasons, simply isn’t enough and an understanding of reproductive processes is essential for long term population viability. Captive rearing, and what to do with the individuals produced, are covered in Chapter 7, which provides a useful review of snake translocation projects—few of them successful, unfortunately. Chapter 8 addresses a complaint made by Dodd in the second “snake” book (Seigel and Collins 1993): that the efficacy of habitat manipulation tools such as creation of corridors has not been carefully assessed. A five-page table summarizing existing studies suggests very mixed impacts on snakes, and many other studies that do not provide conclusive results. Managers, who often rush to act without ensuring funding for long-term assessment of impacts, need to hear the authors’ recommendation: “Until the safety and effectiveness of habitat manipulation is firmly established … [such] projects should be monitored experimentally.” The authors of Chapter 9 take an unusual tack: instead of always being on the defensive, given the bad name snakes generally have in the general public and among managers, why not show that they are useful? In this case, they are suggesting snakes can act as indicators of environmental health—a term that is often poorly defined. They explore how snakes could be appropriate in monitoring for chemical contamination, for example, but point out that such use “by resource managers has been almost nonexistent.” Chapter 10, which focuses on the widespread fear of snakes in many cultures, may both explain why this has been the case and suggest that it is not likely to change any time soon. The authors point out that familiarity breeds tolerance and focus on education as the only possible solution.

In the final chapter, the editors sum up where we are and consider where the future might lead. The chapter is divided into several sections, some of which summarize previous chapters and many that do not. Some of these are important for scientists with an interest in conservation to consider if they have not done so before. Two important examples: we need to acknowledge that some measure of uncertainty will remain no matter how much research we do, so we need to become better at learning when to feel secure enough to make recommendations for management; and we need to learn to better communicate these recommendations to managers. Among the priorities they identify for future work: add studies of processes, rather than individual species; examine the impacts of roads; better integrate molecular tools; and rely more on experimental, rather than correlational, inference. The summary is followed by over 60 pages of references, ranging from the 1500s to 2008, a five-page taxonomic index, and a shorter subject index.

This is not a book for the general public, but managers and scientists, both beginning and well-established, will find something of value. Upper-level undergraduate and graduate students may particularly benefit from this book as they begin to conduct their first research projects. Regardless, one topic we wished was covered is urban ecology. Conservation biologists have unfortunately long treated urban environments as worthless, something the extensive urban herpetology volume (Mitchell et al. 2008) shows to be true. Not only do urban settings offer the only growth industry in our field—as pointed out by the first line of the Introduction—but they also offer a great opportunity to educate a public increasingly disassociated from anything “natural.” We feel this book may have also benefited from a chapter reviewing our current understanding of the natural histories of each major clade, and what conservation measures may be unique to these groups. The editors acknowledge the need for “research on a much broader array of snake taxa.” Scolopendromorphs, for example, comprise around 10% of ophidian diversity yet are granted only three sentences in the entire book. These caveats aside, don’t let the unassuming cover photo dissuade you from getting a copy of this book if you study or manage snakes—it belongs in your bookcase.

This is manuscript T-9-1177 of the College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Texas Tech University.
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The next paragraph describes the amount of hardcore, off-trail work needed to efficiently locate the pine woods herpetofauna: the logs to be rolled, the amount of ground to be covered, and the value of dumping tin sheets and cover boards about the landscape. The literal reader will be quite frustrated attempting to see a Pine Barrens Treefrog or Blue-tailed Mole Skink from the trail. “Locating suitable habitats” begins with a summary of state and federal sites that maintain natural pine stands, and follows with a summary of microhabitats and how some species of amphibians and reptiles use them. Absent from the list of state-owned pine lands are Louisiana’s Wildlife Management Areas, including Sandy Hollow and Sicily Island Hills.

The species accounts are segregated into generalists, then flatwoods, savanna, ridge and rockland specialists, and finish with an “other” category of species not restricted to pine woods, but often found therein. Each species account begins with a topical essay—one that is pertinent to that species but also covers a broader concept such as cooperative agreements, land development, scientific discovery, field techniques, biogeography, captive management, invasive species, etc. A morphological description follows the introductory essay, always very detailed, including descriptions of larvae for amphibians. All but two of the 38 taxa are illustrated by a color photograph, and many are accompanied by specific habitat photographs as well.

Distribution Notes discusses the historic range, which is compared to recent records of occurrence. A map is associated with this section (except for the “other” species), which can be misleading if the reader has not read pages 6–7. The maps show existing pine woods enclaves currently inhabited by each species rather than the actual range of the species. Additional sections cover Habitat, Ecology and Natural History, and Conservation, and each account ends with a Summary. The habitat is described in terms of hydrologic series and dominant tree species, followed by microhabitat use by the animal. Aspects of natural history covered include feeding habits and reproduction, and there are frequent recurrences of microhabitat discussion.

For some taxa, Reichling discusses physiological adaptations and responses such as thermal preferences and dehydration rates. In these latter sections, he presents much information about the interactions of each species with their immediate environment. The essays are modestly referenced, and many of the details seem novel. At times, I wished I could put the book down, dash off to a Pine Barrens Treefrog or Blue-tailed Mole Skink from the trail.

Discussions under “Conservation” are inconsistent between species: for Plethodon kisatchie only habitat preferences are reiterated; for Pantherophis slowinskii I gather that forest cutovers and trash dumps are positive conservation measures; for Terrapene carolina major we learn how to properly keep them as pets. For other species, conservation is discussed in terms of threats, typically direct human take, timber harvest practices or land development.

“I trekked through this landscape in a perpetual state of anticipation.” Thus, the author describes his first foray into the southern pine woods. These words aptly describe my own first experiences as well—anxious to see a unique herpetofauna previously known to me only from books. I had the unique opportunity to explore the pine woods herpetofauna as a salaried biologist with a perspective on management. I was repeatedly told that the woods needed to be managed. Ecologists, foresters, naturalists… all knew how the forests functioned and were sure of the keys to managing them: ‘lightning-caused fires are vital,’ ‘fires by indigenous people had to supplement lightning,’ ‘a high stocking rate keeps a closed canopy, thereby preventing hardwood succession,’ ‘herbicide must replace fire,’ ‘prescribed burns in winter…or in summer,’ one-by-one they offered clues, often conflicting, about pine woods management. Thus, when I opened Reichling’s book, I looked first for a chapter on managing the southern pine woods. There was none.

Instead, the book begins with two introductory chapters and ends with an epilogue. The remaining 200 pages contain species accounts of amphibians and reptiles that characterize the Pine Woods herpetofauna. Reichling begins the Introduction with a wise disclaimer that assembling a catalogue of species that are defined by an ecotype is subjective. He then presents his criterion for how each selected species: they are restricted primarily to what was, or remains of, woods dominated by four species of fire-resistant pines in the coastal plain from North Carolina to eastern Texas. Chapter One characterizes the Southern Pine Woods in terms of geography, stand structure, hydrology and other factors. The woods are subdivided into hydrologic series (flatwoods, ridge, savanna and rockland), and into areas of regional endemism (e.g., central Florida ridges, Apalachicola), each of which is further described in terms of the geographic and environmental factors that have produced and maintained each series. A section on pine plantations is included, and each of the categorized pine woods types is illustrated by a color photograph.

Chapter Two, “Observing amphibians and reptiles,” begins with conflicting guidance. Reichling starts by encouraging the reader to seek out the pine woods herpetofauna, but follows with a plea that animals not be collected and a frightening paragraph on how destructive one’s footfalls are to the fragile ecosystem of the litter layer—the basis for his next plea—to remain on established trails. The next paragraph describes the amount of hardcore, off-trail work needed to efficiently locate the pine woods herpetofauna: the logs to be rolled, the amount of ground to be covered, and the value of dumping tin sheets and cover boards about the landscape. The literal reader will be quite frustrated attempting to see a Pine Barrens Treefrog or Blue-tailed Mole Skink from the trail. “Locating suitable habitats” begins with a summary of state and federal sites that maintain natural pine stands, and follows with a summary of microhabitats and how some species of amphibians and reptiles use them. Absent from the list of state-owned pine lands are Louisiana’s Wildlife Management Areas, including Sandy Hollow and Sicily Island Hills.

The species accounts are segregated into generalists, then flatwoods, savanna, ridge and rockland specialists, and finish with an “other” category of species not restricted to pine woods, but often found therein. Each species account begins with a topical essay—one that is pertinent to that species but also covers a broader concept such as cooperative agreements, land development, scientific discovery, field techniques, biogeography, captive management, invasive species, etc. A morphological description follows the introductory essay, always very detailed, including descriptions of larvae for amphibians. All but two of the 38 taxa are illustrated by a color photograph, and many are accompanied by specific habitat photographs as well.

Distribution Notes discusses the historic range, which is compared to recent records of occurrence. A map is associated with this section (except for the “other” species), which can be misleading if the reader has not read pages 6–7. The maps show existing pine woods enclaves currently inhabited by each species rather than the actual range of the species. Additional sections cover Habitat, Ecology and Natural History, and Conservation, and each account ends with a Summary. The habitat is described in terms of hydrologic series and dominant tree species, followed by microhabitat use by the animal. Aspects of natural history covered include feeding habits and reproduction, and there are frequent recurrences of microhabitat discussion.

For some taxa, Reichling discusses physiological adaptations and responses such as thermal preferences and dehydration rates. In these latter sections, he presents much information about the interactions of each species with their immediate environment. The essays are modestly referenced, and many of the details seem novel. At times, I wished I could put the book down, dash off to a Pine Barrens Treefrog or Blue-tailed Mole Skink from the trail.

Discussions under “Conservation” are inconsistent between species: for Plethodon kisatchie only habitat preferences are reiterated; for Pantherophis slowinskii I gather that forest cutovers and trash dumps are positive conservation measures; for Terrapene carolina major we learn how to properly keep them as pets. For other species, conservation is discussed in terms of threats, typically direct human take, timber harvest practices or land development.

GREGORY J. WATKINS-COLWELL
Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History, 170 Whitney Avenue, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA
e-mail: gregory.watkins-colwell@yale.edu

and

ALEX DORNBURG
Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA
e-mail: alex.dornburg@yale.edu

Rattlers, Peepers, and Snappers represents the first complete DVD field guide to all of New England’s breeding reptiles and amphibians, focusing on the general ecology, life history, and conservation concerns of each of the region’s 52 species. This is presented in two main sections, which may be viewed as a whole or as individual “adventures” (chapters) covering amphibians and reptiles respectively. The program includes narrations from ten researchers and conservation specialists working with various New England species in addition to over an hour and a half of extra features not in the primary film segments.

The primary amphibian segment has a run time of 38 minutes and is partitioned into six chapters. These draw on the research and experiences of Jim Andrews (The Vermont Reptile and Amphibian Atlas), Tom Tyning (Berkshire Community College), Aram Calhoun (University of Maine), Rebecca Chalmers (Vermont Department of Conservation), and Sean Blomquist (University of Maine). It opens with a discussion of amphibian spring migrations and introduces the common theme of the DVD: how the complex ecology and natural history of these organisms is often at odds with anthropogenic factors. The program next takes the viewer from the topic of spring migrations to the impact of road crossing mortality on amphibian populations, giving a first hand account of the challenges these organisms face when moving between habitats. Habitats are discussed in more detail in the next three sections that in turn cover species most often associated with vernal pools, streams, or bodies of permanent water. The program ends with a chapter on wood frog responses to various degrees of deforestation around vernal pool breeding sites featuring the research of Sean Blomquist, a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Maine.

The primary reptile segment runs for 49 minutes across nine chapters hosted by Jim Andrews, Liz Willey (University of Massachusetts), Tom Tyning, Chuck Smith (University of Connecticut), Dave Paulson (University of Massachusetts), Steve Parren

Remedies must be inferred.

The ten species considered in the “other” pine woods species category receive only a page or two that serve to introduce the species, then discuss some aspect of its biology; e.g., *Sceloporus undulatus* inhabits sites where it can bask; the defensive behavior of *Masticophis* is detailed; *Lampropeltis elapoides* thermoregulates under pine bark. I agree with the author about the subjectivity of *undulatus* species, then discuss some aspect of its biology; e.g.,

Many of the 100 color photos are too dark (e.g., plates 1.19, 3.4, burning on page 234, etc.

My wish list for this book, some directed at the publisher, includes better photographic reproduction and more anecdotes. Many of the 100 color photos are too dark (e.g., plates 1.19, 3.4, 6.14). The paucity of personal stories leaves me wondering how many of these species Reichling has found afield, and makes his personal affection for the pine woods seem a little distant. A few instances of strict adherence to the metric system are awkward: “approximately 324 ha;” “storm surge was 61–122 cm” (stick with 2–4 feet).

*Rattles and Amphibians of the Southern Pine Woods* is less a textbook and more a story of the pinelands and their herpetofauna. After reading the book, I ventured into familiar pine woods with a revitalized spirit, with a modified perspective, as if a fresh set of eyes were pointing out things I had never realized, renewing in me the boundless enthusiasm that Reichling and I both experienced in our respective first trips to the pines.

The primary reptile segment runs for 49 minutes across nine chapters hosted by Jim Andrews, Liz Willey (University of Massachusetts), Tom Tyning, Chuck Smith (University of Connecticut), Dave Paulson (University of Massachusetts), Steve Parren
(Vermont Wildlife Division of Non-Game), and naturalist Alcott Smith. This program follows a similar theme of depicting the delicate balance of reptilian life history and ecological needs with their current and future conservation concerns. It opens with Jim Andrews guiding a field group through several continuous habitats to find multiple species of native snake and New England’s only lizard species, the five-lined skink. The central themes are carried through the next sections on box turtle habitat use, the state of timber rattlesnake populations in New England, and cryptic suburban populations of wormsnakes. These sections are followed by a chapter focusing on habitat mitigation for racers in Vermont, demonstrating to the viewer that primary research can be incorporated into conservation use by non-wildlife state agencies. Chuck Smith’s section on copperheads is next, followed by three sections covering fall migration, man-made turtle tunnels to prevent high levels of road mortality, and a concluding section on turtle nesting along the shores of the heavily developed Lake Champlain.

The DVD contains many additional features that enhance its educational value. Fact sheets for each species, including introduced species, highlight the conservation status of each of the species in the six individual New England states. These also contain an extra video discussing identification, natural history, and conservation concerns for each species. The video quizzes are designed for use in classroom settings and test the viewer’s ability to visually identify several common species and frog calls. A chapter on taxonomy narrated by Jim Andrews explains that the taxonomy follows the Scientific and Standard English Names list published by SSAR (Crother 2008). Finally, a section entitled “what you can do,” provides a downloadable pdf list of resources and suggested conservation projects that may give the viewer direction for further involvement.

Errors are minimal and include a typographical error on a quiz answer (e.g., Spadefott vs. Spadefoot), and editing errors such as video of an eastern gartersnake release during a section on sex, size and gender roles, evolution of sexual size dimorphism. In the macro-pattern part are chapters dealing with several northeastern species, many of which are declining. This is exemplified by the repeated appearance of Jim Andrews, whose presence provides not just visual continuity, but also a contagious, near-childlike enthusiasm for these animals that is coupled with an appreciation for the various habitats in which they reside. He manages to avoid alienating the viewers with subjects as potentially off putting as road kill (in which he stacks crispy snake and frog wafers he found while walking along a small stretch of road) or taxonomy where the presence of a Star Trek mug on the shelf behind his head further endears him to the viewers.

What may be the most complete DVD of amphibians and reptiles for any region in North America, Rattlers, Peepers, and Snappers, provides teachers, educators, and interested individuals with a resource from which to gain insights into the lives of these often misunderstood animals. Although most segments were filmed in Vermont, the overall messages apply to a broader stage and are most certainly applicable to the rest of the New England region if not larger sections of North America. The species fact sheets and included quizzes act as teaching aids and greatly enhance the potential value of the primary film segments for teachers and educators wanting a new way of engaging students’ interests in local biodiversity. To conclude, the film segments are well thought out and clearly represent a labor of love. We highly recommend this DVD.

**LITERATURE CITED**
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This intriguingly titled book outlines the author’s ‘Snake Detection Theory’ which attributes a significant role to snakes as a driving force in the evolution of primates. Constricting snakes are argued to have evolved roughly concomitantly with primates and to have played a role in the increased role of vision over olfaction in these mammals and in the evolution of larger brain size. More specifically, catarrhine primates, including anthropoid apes, are part of a lineage that evolved along with venomous snakes and developed the most sophisticated visual system and the largest brains of any primates (versus, for example, the smaller-brained, more olfactory lemurs of venomous snake-free Madagascar). The author links changes in diet and sensory modalities to brain size and an enhanced ‘fear module’ that are key elements in the evolutionary arms race between primates and their ophidian predators. The underlying neurological bases of the theory are outlined, testable predictions are presented, and the relationship between the theory and human fears and mythology are explored in this extensively referenced book. The book is accessible to a general readership and, although focused on the mammalian perspective, will be of equal interest to herpetologists concerned with the evolution of snakes.


The 20 chapters in this volume are derived from a workshop on sexual size dimorphism (SSD) held in Switzerland in 2005. The book is divided into three main sections exploring macro-patterns, micro-patterns, and mechanisms. Five of the chapters are herpetological in focus. In the macro-pattern part are chapters dealing with amphibians (by Alexander Kupfer) and reptiles (by Robert Cox, 127
Marguerite Butler, and Henry John-Alder) in general. The former chapter examines ontogenetic patterns in dimorphism, whereas the latter explores phylogenetic and geographic trends in SSD and considers the roles of selection and constraint in the patterns observed. Studies by Evgeny Roitberg on variation in dimorphism in the widespread Palearctic lizard *Lacerta gaulis* and by Lukáš Kratochvíl and Daniel Frynta on SSD in eublepharid geckos are more fine-scaled analytical investigations. Finally, at the mechanistic level, John-Alder and Cox consider the role of testosterone in influencing SSD through growth rates. Other chapters deal with birds, mammals, insects, spiders, and even plants. The book as a whole includes an extensive list of approximately 1000 references and a glossary of nearly 100 terms. The contributed chapters represent a spectrum of approaches to the topic of SSD and the book should be of particular value to herpetologists with interests in behavioral and evolutionary ecology, as well as physiology and endocrinology.


This is the autobiography of Minnesota’s greatest naturalist, Walter Breckenridge, who died in 2003 at the age of 100. His notes for the autobiography were transcribed and organized by his daughter, Barbara Breckenridge Franklin, and have been published by the Bell Museum, with which he was affiliated starting in 1926. Breckenridge’s detailed reminiscences paint a detailed portrait of both his personal and professional life. His 300+ publications (1929–1999) are enumerated in an appendix compiled by John Moriarty. Although most of his work was ornithological, Breckenridge’s interests were varied and he published 34 papers on amphibians and reptiles and is known to most herpetologists for his 1944 book *Reptiles and Amphibians of Minnesota*, based on his Ph.D. dissertation — a pioneering work for the upper Midwest. The text provides some anecdotes about this research, as well as later work on toads and softshell turtles. Although known for his studies in Minnesota, Breckenridge traveled widely in the U.S. and Canada as well as the Neotropics. Beginning as a taxidermist at the Bell Museum he rose to become director. Aside from his academic and administrative activities, he also lectured widely to the general public across America and was a talented natural history artist. Forty-four color plates illustrate 67 of Breckenridge’s paintings (most ornithological, but including two reptiles). This inexpensive book will be of special interest to herpetologists with an historical bent and those working or living in Minnesota, Breckenridge’s native Iowa, or elsewhere in Midwest.

**Erratum**

In the September 2009 issue (vol. 40, no. 3, page 367), the range extension note for *Storeria dekayi texana* by Streicher used the term “longitudinal gap,” when it should have appeared as “latitudinal gap.”
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