Possible reproductive benefits to female Carpetan rock lizards of pre-sensory bias towards chemical signals

GONZALO RODRÍGUEZ-RUIZ*,º, PILAR LÓPEZ and JOSÉ MARTÍN

Department of Evolutionary Ecology, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, José Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

Received 17 December 2018; revised 30 March 2019; accepted for publication 1 April 2019

Female Carpetan rock lizards (*Iberolacerta cyreni*) might assess the quality of males from their chemical signals. Females select areas scent marked by males with secretions containing high proportions of provitamin D to ensure mating with high-quality males. However, an alternative explanation might be that females are not choosing a mate, but that females have a pre-sensory bias for chemical cues of vitamin D in the food and are, in fact, assessing habitat quality to obtain direct benefits of increasing the intake of vitamin D. We evaluated experimentally the possible benefits of a nutritional supplement of provitamin D or vitamin D in pregnant females for their clutches and offspring. However, we did not find large differences between treatments, except in the lower body condition of juveniles of mothers supplemented with provitamin D. We also tested the chemosensory interest of females in the scent of males to study the existence of a sensory bias; this response decreased when the amount of dietary vitamin D exceeded their needs. The results suggest that there are no reproductive benefits in the intake of additional vitamin D and that the potential sensory bias to scents of males could be related to the physiological needs of the females.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: chemoreception – eggs – honest signals – mate choice – sensory bias – tongue flick – vitamin D_o.

INTRODUCTION

Many researchers have asked about the origin and evolution of sexual signalling in animal communication and about why the signals of males are attractive to females (Dawkins & Krebs, 1978; Johnstone, 1997; Endler & Basolo, 1998; Bradbury & Vehrencamp, 2011; Stevens, 2013). Against the classical adaptive approach (Dawkins & Guilford, 1996), mainly focused on honest signalling of male quality (Zahavi, 1975), there are other theories focusing on signal perception (Ryan 1990; Endler, 1992). These theories postulate that, originally, the receiver had a sensory bias towards the stimulus, even if it was not coding a signal. The bias for environmental perception in the receptor, without any sexual function, might have been used by the sender to implement the message (Endler & Basolo, 1998). Given that there is no agreement about whether the sensory bias is exploited by the sender or if the receiver falls into a sensory trap, the 'sensory bias hypothesis' has been proposed as a theoretical

framework that integrates both approaches (Fuller *et al.*, 2005).

The sensory bias hypothesis was conceived to explain mating preferences without taking into account direct benefits to females (Dawkins & Guilford, 1996). However, if the sensory bias of the females was, for example, to dietary resources, and the sexual signals of the male reflected food availability, the sexual signalling could also have been originated in relationship to direct benefits. Females would achieve direct benefits by inhabiting a high-quality breeding territory (e.g. Giaquinto et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2011; Chouinard, 2012). Nevertheless, it is also plausible that the pre-existing bias could have been originated by another type of stimulus sensory by-product (Kirkpatrick, 1987; Kuijper et al., 2012) and not necessarily as a result of the direct benefits from the resources available and signalled by males.

In a mate-choice context, chemical signals, which are used as territorial scent marks in many species, have an important role in assessment of the traits of potential mates (Johansson & Jones, 2007), such as quality (Moore, 1988), reproductive or health state

^{*}Corresponding author: gmrodriguezruiz@gmail.com

(Kavaliers & Colwell, 1995), or genetic compatibility (Penn, 2002). Male lizards mark their territories with faeces and femoral gland secretions (López et al., 1998; Martins et al., 2006). Femoral secretions are composed of proteins and many lipophilic compounds, forming a waxy mixture. The inter-individual variability in the proportions of compounds is the source of chemical information used in mate choice (Alberts, 1993; Martín & López, 2014). For example, females of the Carpetan rock lizard, Iberolacerta cyreni, seem able to assess the quality of males from their femoral secretions (Martín & López, 2015) and prefer to use areas scent marked by high-quality males, such as those that are older (López et al., 2003), more symmetrical (Martín & López, 2000; López et al., 2002) or with a higher immune response (López et al., 2006). The composition of scent marks is condition dependent, suggesting that male quality can be signalled by the proportions of specific compounds (Martín & López, 2015). Male I. cyreni lizards that have achieved their metabolic requirements for dietary vitamin D can increase the amount of cholesta-5,7-dien-3-ol (provitamin D₂) allocated to secretions (Martín & López, 2006a, 2015). Additionally, these males with high proportions of provitamin D have higher immune responses (Martín & López, 2010, 2012). Given that females are attracted to areas scent marked by these high-quality males, it is thought that females consider associating with these areas to enhance the probability of mating with them (Martín & López, 2006a, b, 2012).

Therefore, the attraction of females to the scent of males might have a purely sexual component, but the origin of the current attraction to these compounds might be attributable to a pre-existing sensory bias related to food stimuli (Martín & López, 2008). This was suggested because hungry females responded more strongly than satiated ones both to the chemical stimuli of provitamin D alone and to scent marks of males, which also contain this compound (Martín & López, 2008). Thus, males could be using this bias of females as a sensory trap to attract females to their home ranges and copulate with them. Conversely, females might use the scent marks of males as a source of public information (Valone, 1989) about the habitat quality, which has been reported in the timber rattlesnake Crotalus horridus (Clark, 2007).

Cholesta-5,7-dien-3-ol is the precursor of vitamin D_3 (Fraser, 1995; Holick, 1995). Vitamin D_3 is an essential compound in the metabolism of lizards and many other vertebrates, but its precursor, provitamin D_3 , is inactive in metabolism (Allen *et al.*, 1999; Laing & Fraser, 1999). Given that vitamin D_3 is involved in calcium absorption in the intestine (Holick, 2007) and calcium accumulation in the yolk and eggshell (Stewart & Ecay, 2010), we could expect that availability of vitamin D_3 would be a relevant factor for reproduction in female lizards. Females could be choosing the area of a given male because of the quality of the resources, such as prey with a high content of vitamin D_3 or its precursor, and not because of the quality of the male that lives there (Calsbeek & Sinervo, 2002; Martín & López, 2012). This alternative explanation to the simple sexual interest in male signals fits with the 'sensory bias hypothesis' framework.

Here, we made a first attempt to test the hypothesis that female lizards could use the scent marks of males not only as sexual signals but also as a source of environmental information related to food resources. We designed this study using two complementary approaches. First, we looked for direct benefits of increasing the food resource that might be signalled by high-quality males: provitamin D₃. To test this, we provided in the diet supplementary provitamin D₃ or vitamin D₃ to gravid female I. cyreni. Although the attraction of females is for provitamin D₂ and males do not secrete vitamin, we used both compounds because the isomerization from provitamin D₃ to vitamin D₃ when ultraviolet light from the sun hits the skin (Holick, 2003) would have additional costs to females in terms of energy. Second, we studied the 'chemosensory interest' of supplemented females in the sexual signals of males to check whether the sensorial perception is biased or not.

By addressing the metabolic functions of vitamin D and calcium in pregnant female lizards, and according to previous studies about the benefits of a supplementary intake of vitamin D₂ (e.g. Mattila et al., 2004; Sahin et al., 2009; Oonincx et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2017), we expected potential benefits in the following: (1) the condition and nutritional status of females; (2) the characteristics of their clutches; and (3) the condition and growth rate of their offspring. We hypothesized that having more vitamin D₃ available would be better for the health of females and their offspring. Therefore, we expected that females supplemented with vitamin D₃ or provitamin D₃ would have larger and heavier eggs and that their body condition after laying the eggs would be better. Previous studies have found a direct correlation between egg size and incubation time (Sinervo, 1990); therefore, we predicted a longer incubation time for clutches from supplemented females. We also predicted that eggshells of supplemented females would have a higher calcium content, being thicker and heavier than those of control females and, probably, that this extra availability of calcium might have an indirect effect, increasing hatching rate. Finally, juveniles born from supplemented mothers would have larger reserves of vitamin D inside their eggs and, for this reason, we expected these juveniles to be bigger and in better condition and to have faster growth rates than juveniles born from control females.

In addition, to test whether the sensory bias theory could explain female preferences, we measured the chemosensory response of supplemented females to femoral secretions of males by means of a tongueflick (TF) bioassay (Cooper & Burghardt, 1990). We constructed our predictions based on previous studies showing that hungry females have stronger chemosensory responses than satiated ones (Martín & López, 2008). In this study, using supplementation, we tried to fulfil the vitamin requirements of females. We expected a relationship between the requirement for vitamin D₃ and the 'interest' of the females in the scents of the males, such that supplemented females would elicit lower chemosensory responses to the secretions of the males. However, if the interest of females in the secretions of males was not dependent on the availability of vitamin D₃, this would call into question a sensory bias operating at present.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

STUDY ANIMALS

During June 2016, we collected by noosing 37 live gravid female Iberian rock lizards (I. cyreni) in a 3 km² area around 'Puerto de Navacerrada' > 1800 m a.s.l. (Guadarrama Mountains, Central Spain). Lizards were transported to 'El Ventorrillo' field station, 5 km from the capture site. We housed lizards in outdoor individual plastic boxes (60 cm × 40 cm × 44 cm, $length \times width \times height)$ until females laid the clutch. Given that the field station is very close to the capture site, the environmental conditions and the day-night cycle were similar to the habitat of the lizards. The terraria contained coconut fibre as a substrate, a brick for shelter and water ad libitum. We fed the lizards daily with crickets (Achaeta domestica) and mealworms (Tenebrio mollitor), dusted every 2 days with calcium powder without vitamin D_3 (Exoterra; KG Hagen Deutschland, Holm, Germany).

EXPERIMENTAL SUPPLEMENTATION OF THE DIET OF THE FEMALES

We assigned randomly each female to each of three experimental treatments: 'provitamin D' (N = 13), 'vitamin D' (N = 13) and 'control' (N = 12). Females received daily dietary supplementation until they laid the eggs (mean \pm SE = 21 \pm 1 days). A linear model, with the duration of treatment as the response variable and treatment as the explanatory factor, revealed no significant differences: (F = 0.88, d.f. = 2, 20, P = 0.43) Females in the provitamin treatment and vitamin treatment were, respectively, fed daily with 0.20 µg of provitamin D₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) or

0.20 μ g (= 8 IU) of vitamin D₃ (Myprotein, The Hut. com Ltd, UK), both dissolved in 0.25 mL of sunflower oil. We decided the dose of provitamin and vitamin D₃ based on previous studies conducted with the same species (Martín & López, 2006a). Females in the control treatment were fed 0.25 mL of sunflower oil alone, which does not contain vitamin D. To ensure that all lizards ingested the same amount of the supplement solution, we gently handled females and used sterile plastic syringes with a cannula to deliver the oil into their mouth.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CLUTCH AND THE JUVENILES

Every day, we inspected females to detect changes in their body shape that could indicate that they had laid eggs. Then, we gently looked for eggs buried in the coconut fibre substrate of the terraria. The eggs were removed from the terrarium, measured (see next paragraph) and individually placed into plastic containers (170 mL) filled with moistened perlite (1:1 proportion of water and perlite) and covered with a lid to maintain the humidity. These containers with eggs were maintained in an incubator (FRIOCELL FC-B2V-M/FC404) at 27.5 °C until hatching (Monasterio *et al.*, 2011). Females were released at their original sites of capture after laying the eggs.

Each egg (N = 156) was weighed using digital scales (to the nearest 0.001 g). Egg length and width were measured with digital callipers (to the nearest 0.01 mm). These measurements were used to calculate an ellipsoid as an estimation of egg volume [$V = (4/3)\pi\alpha b^2$, where α and b are half of the width and length of the egg, respectively; Mayhew, 1963].

To estimate whether the provitamin and vitamin treatments had any effect on the females and their reproductive parameters, we measured other potentially relevant variables of the females immediately after the clutch was found, such as body length (snout-vent length, measured with a ruler to the nearest 1 mm), body mass (measured with digital scales to the nearest 0.01 g) and body condition (the regression residuals of log₁₀-transformed body mass and snout-vent length values). We also recorded clutch size, relative clutch mass (RCM; calculated as the ratio between the fresh clutch mass and the female mass after the clutch; Braña et al., 1991), hatching success, incubation period of each egg (i.e. number of days between laying the clutch and hatching), and hatching duration within each litter (i.e. number of days between hatching of the first and the last egg) as an indicator of synchrony (Marco & Diaz-Paniagua, 2008). Once the juveniles hatched (N = 113), we measured the dry mass of the eggshell

using digital scales (to the nearest 0.001 g) and the thickness of the eggshell with a spessimeter (to the nearest 0.01 mm).

At birth, we measured snout-vent length and tail length of the newborn juveniles with digital callipers (to the nearest 0.01 mm), and body mass with digital scales (to the nearest 0.001 g), and calculated body condition. We also estimated growth rate until 2 weeks after the birth by using the following formula: ln[(final mass/birth mass)/(age in days)] (Iraeta *et al.*, 2006). We maintained newborn lizards in captivity for 15 days to ensure that they were in good health before releasing them at the sites of capture of their respective mothers.

FEMALE RESPONSES TO CHEMICAL CUES OF MALES

To assess whether females supplemented with provitamin or vitamin D changed their chemosensory responses to femoral secretions of males, we used the TF rate, which is a common bioassay of chemical detection in reptiles (Cooper & Burghardt, 1990; Cooper, 1994). We compared the number of TFs elicited by female lizards in response to stimuli arising from cotton applicators bearing: (1) dichloromethane (DCM; Sigma-Aldrich Chromasol V plus for HPLC, purity > 99.9%) as a control; or (2) a mixture of femoral gland secretions of 20 I. cyreni males that were being used in another experiment. We collected the male secretions in a 2 mL glass vial that was closed with a Teflon-lined stopper and kept in the freezer (-20 °C) until the tests. One hour before starting the assay, the vial with the secretion was thawed at room temperature and refilled with DCM to dissolve the secretions (Khannoon et al., **2010**). We prepared stimuli by dipping the cotton swab attached to a long stick (50 cm) inside the corresponding glass vial. Control vials contained only DCM, whereas secretion vials contained the same volume of DCM with the dissolved femoral secretions. A new swab was used in each trial. To begin a trial, one of the experimenters slowly approached a cage containing a lizard and carefully moved the cotton swab to a position 2 cm anterior to the lizard's snout. Lizards usually allowed the approach of the swab and the test without fleeing. We recorded the latency to the first TF and, thereafter, the number of TFs directed to the swab for 60 s. Trials were conducted in outdoor conditions on 20 July, close to the end of the experimental supplementation period of females, between 11.00 and 13.00 h (GMT), when lizards were fully active. Every female was exposed to both stimuli (secretion and DCM), and the order of presentation was

Table 1. Mean $(\pm SE)$ values of the variables and reproductive traits measured in female lizards, their eggs and their offspring in the different supplementation treatments

Variable	Cont	rol	Provi	itamin	Vitar	nin
	\overline{N}	Mean ± SE	\overline{N}	Mean ± SE	N	Mean ± SE
Maternal body mass after laying (g)	8	5.08 ± 0.38	6	6.09 ± 0.57	9	5.31 ± 0.55
Maternal body condition after laying	8	-0.02 ± 0.05	6	0.08 ± 0.10	9	-0.04 ± 0.06
Clutch size	8	5.88 ± 0.55	6	5.00 ± 0.73	9	5.11 ± 0.35
Relative clutch mass	8	33.52 ± 0.69	6	25.27 ± 2.88	9	30.62 ± 2.07
Hatching duration (days)	8	4.38 ± 0.60	6	2.83 ± 0.60	9	2.78 ± 0.43
Clutch hatching success (%)	8	90.00 ± 7.56	6	77.08 ± 10.30	9	68.57 ± 7.44
Eggs						
Weight (g)	61	0.45 ± 0.01	43	0.42 ± 0.01	52	0.46 ± 0.01
Length (mm)	61	12.70 ± 0.13	43	12.98 ± 0.13	52	13.28 ± 0.14
Width (mm)	61	8.31 ± 0.07	43	7.90 ± 0.06	52	8.16 ± 0.08
Volume (mm ³)	61	221.88 ± 4.00	43	214.89 ± 2.87	52	227.65 ± 4.07
Shell dry mass (g)	47	0.01 ± 0.00	29	0.01 ± 0.00	35	0.01 ± 0.00
Shell thickness (mm)	47	0.03 ± 0.00	29	0.02 ± 0.00	35	0.02 ± 0.00
Incubation time (days)	48	36.3 ± 0.30	27	36.8 ± 0.20	36	36.1 ± 0.20
Offspring						
Snout-vent length (mm)	48	26.63 ± 0.12	27	26.18 ± 0.18	36	26.87 ± 0.20
Tail length (mm)	48	40.89 ± 0.41	27	40.46 ± 0.52	36	41.01 ± 0.48
Body mass (g)	48	0.50 ± 0.01	27	0.50 ± 0.01	36	0.51 ± 0.01
Body condition	48	0.04 ± 0.12	27	-0.34 ± 0.14	36	0.20 ± 0.16
Growth rate (g)	47	0.00 ± 0.00	25	0.00 ± 0.00	36	-0.01 ± 0.00

alternated between them. We allowed 1.5 h between the first presentation of one stimulus and the second presentation of the other stimulus to allow females to rest and to avoid habituation.

DATA ANALYSES

To analyse morphological variables of eggs and juveniles, we used mixed models, with the experimental treatment as a fixed factor and the identity of the mother as a random factor, using the *lme4* package (Bates et al., 2015) in R (R Development Core Team, 2017). We \log_{10} -transformed the response variables to ensure normal distributions, except for count, for which we fixed Poisson distributions. We chose the best models following the protocol of Zuur et al. (2009) from a beyond optimal model, which included as covariates the treatment duration, incubation time, mother mass and clutch size, and their interactions. We selected the models according to the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) and keeping the treatment factor as explanatory. To evaluate the significance of the chosen models, we compared them with the null models using the likelihood ratio test (Imtest R package v.0.9-36; Zeileis & Hothorn, 2002) and, only if the model was significant, the parameters for each descriptor variable were computed. Fixed effects significance was tested using ANOVA and Tukey's tests for pairwise comparisons. In those cases in which canonical assumptions could have been violated, we performed a parametric bootstrap and computed the confidence interval to ensure significance.

Variables related to mothers and reproductive biology were analysed with linear models or generalized models. The model with the lowest AIC was chosen, and its explanatory power was evaluated with R^2 and its significance with an *F*-test. In the event of statistical significance in the treatment, Tukey's tests were used for pairwise comparisons.

For the chemosensory tests, the experimental design was not orthogonal but of repeated measures. Thus, differences in the number of TFs elicited between treatments were tested using a repeated measures mixed model (Wang & Goonewardene, 2004), with treatment and stimuli as fixed factors and female identity as a random factor. To ensure normality, data were \log_{10} -transformed.

RESULTS

REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY AND MORPHOMETRIC MEASURES

Relative clutch mass differed significantly among experimental groups (Tables 1 and 2), with a relatively

Correlation 0.40-0.45Results of statistical analyses comparing the response variables of reproductive biology and female state among the supplementation treatments P-value 0.001 0.001 0.03414.73 23.43 0.244.04E F-test 2,20 d.f. 0 Relative clutch mass Clutch size Treatment Treatment Predictors P-value 0.00050.034 $0.16 \\ 0.18$ $0.77 \\ 0.73$ 8.39 4.04 $3.73 \\ 1.89$ 0.320.27E Model F-test 4, 18 **4, 20** 2, 3 2, 20 2, 2220 d.f. ດົ Body condition after laying Body mass after laying Clutch hatching success **Relative clutch mass** Hatching duration Clutch size Response સં Table

lower weight for clutches from females in the provitamin group (Tukey's tests: control vs. provitamin, P = 0.027; control vs. vitamin, P = 0.52; provitamin vs. vitamin, P = 0.17; Fig. 1A). We did not find any significant differences among treatments in hatching duration and other mother-related variables, such as clutch size, body condition after clutch and clutch hatching success, nor in female body mass after laying the clutch, which appeared instead to be explained by the covariates clutch size (r = 0.40, t = -2.00, d.f. = 21, P = 0.06) and RCM (r = -0.45, t = -2.30, d.f. = 21, P = 0.03) (Tables 1 and 2). The graphical exploration of the relationship between body mass after laying and RCM showed some opposing trends in supplemented and control females (Fig. 1B), but an ANCOVA parallelism test did not reach significance (F = 3.00, d.f. = 2, 17, P = 0.077). Hatching success tended (Fig. 1C), although

marginally insignificant, to be higher in the control group (χ^{2} = 5.33, d.f. = 2, P = 0.07; Tables 1 and 2). We also tested the relationship between RCM and average body condition per clutch as covariance (-0.15) with a Pearson correlation (r = -0.40, t = 0.18, d.f. = 21, P = 0.90) and with a linear model including the experimental treatment (F = 1.02, d.f. = 5, 17, P = 0.44), but both were non-significant. In the same way, we tested the correlation between RCM and hatching success (r = 0.20, t = 0.90, d.f. = 21, P = 0.40) and also the linear model including the treatment (F = 0.74, d.f. = 5, 17, P = 0.60), with non-significant results. We can conclude that there were no relationships between RCM and average body condition or hatching success. For details see the Supporting Information (Table S1).

We did not find any significant effect of treatment on the morphology of eggs and juveniles (Table 2), but the



Figure 1. A, box-plots of relative clutch mass (in grams). B, body mass of females after laying the eggs (log) against relative clutch mass (RCM) for each of the supplementation treatments. Smooth lines were adjusted using linear models for each level factor: control (F = 6.72, d.f. = 1, 6, P = 0.041), provitamin (F = 1.49, d.f. = 1, 4, P = 0.29) and vitamin (F = 2.41, d.f. = 1, 7, P = 0.16). C, box-plots of hatching success (as a percentage) for each of the supplementation treatments.





Figure 2. Mean (± SD) of residuals of body condition of juveniles for each of the supplementation treatments fitted by the mixed model.

body condition of juveniles at birth was significantly affected by the treatment, with lower condition in the juveniles born from females in the provitamin group (Tukey's tests: control vs. provitamin, P = 0.056; control vs. vitamin, P = 0.97; provitamin vs. vitamin, P = 0.033; Fig. 2). We included in the models other potentially relevant covariates, and some of them explained a high proportion of the variance. Covariates such as clutch size, incubation time and maternal traits, such as snout-vent length or body mass, appeared to be important, because their contributions to some models were significant (Tables 2 and 3). For details see the Supporting Information (Table S2).

RESPONSES TO CHEMICAL CUES

We found significant differences in the latency to the first TF (*F*-test to repeated measures linear mixed model: F = 3.80, d.f. = 2, 25, P = 0.036; Fig. 3). There was no difference between females supplemented with provitamin or vitamin, and both started tongue-flicking at longer times after presentation of the stimulus than control females (control vs. provitamin, P = 0.04; control vs. vitamin, P = 0.07; provitamin vs. vitamin, P = 0.93) independently of the type of stimulus (*F*-test to repeated measures linear mixed model including interaction of stimulus × treatment: F = 0.97, d.f. = 5, 36, P = 0.16).

We also found significance in the model of TFs directed to the stimuli (*F*-test to repeated measures

linear mixed model including interaction of stimulus × treatment: F = 0.97, d.f. = 5, 36.2, P = 0.014). Significance was found in the treatment factor, but not in its interaction with the stimuli (treatment, F = 6.46, d.f. = 2, 25, P = 0.005; stimuli, F = 0.01, d.f. = 1, 25, P = 0.91; treatment × stimuli, F = 2.06, d.f. = 2, 25, P = 0.149). Pairwise tests revealed that the total number of TFs elicited by the control group in response to both stimuli was higher than in the provitamin and vitamin groups, which did not differ between them (control vs. provitamin, P = 0.01; control vs. vitamin, P = 0.06; provitamin vs. vitamin, P = 0.99; Fig. 4). Models including the duration of treatment as a covariate were not significant for latency and direct TFs; for details see the Supporting Information (Table S3).

DISCUSSION

Overall, we could not find direct benefits of the vitamin D supplement treatment for pregnant females. The lack of differences among treatments in body condition of mothers after laying the eggs suggests that vitamin D has no positive effect on energy storage by the mothers. However, we detected a slightly positive relationship between maternal body mass and clutch size, and a slightly negative relationship between maternal body mass and RCM. Despite the non-significant results, Figure 1B suggests that these relationships could be different among treatments. The trends appear to be reverses in supplemented females and control females and, according to the lower RCM in the provitamin group, we could consider an effect of vitamin supplementation on the transfer of nutrients to the eggs. However, our results are not conclusive for egg morphology, incubation time and eggshell characteristics. We do not know the cause of the lower RCM or whether it implies some kind of disadvantage, nor the relationships with other physiological aspects. It is plausible that supplementation might play a role in maternal energy storage and in the transfer of nutrients to the eggs. However, this explanation of the link between maternal investment and RCM would be too speculative, because the general relationship between RCM and reproductive effort remains unclear (e.g. Vitt & Congdon, 1978; Vitt & Price, 1982; Shine, 1992; Du et al., 2005).

Offspring from the supplemented groups were expected to be bigger, with better body condition and faster growth rates than the control offspring, but there were no differences between these treatments. However, offspring from mothers in the provitamin treatment had lower body condition than juveniles born from control and vitamin-supplemented females. Although we cannot demonstrate any type

\mathbf{rs}	
the	
moi	
ofı	
nts	
ner	
atn	
tre	
on	
ati	
ent	
em	
pldc	
Ins	
he	l
lg t	
non	
an	
uits	
tra	
ile	
/en	
juv	
pu	
s, S	
660	
of	
les	
ab	
ari	
se v	
ons	
dse	
e re	
th	1
ing	
ar.	
lui	
55 %	
/se	
ualy	
an	
ica.	
ist	1
itat	1
of s	1
\mathbf{ts}	1
Inse	I
${ m Re}$	1
ŝ	1
ble	I
Ta	I

Responsed.f. χ^2 P -valuePredictorsEgg mass5, 20.480.79Mother massEgg length12, 725.120.0007Mother massEgg length12, 725.120.0007Treatment daysEgg length7, 225.120.012Preatment daysEgg width7, 28.820.012Treatment daysEgg width7, 28.820.012Treatment daysEgg width7, 28.820.012Treatment daysEgg width7, 45.220.027Treatment daysEggshell dry mass7, 45.220.027Eggshell dry mass7, 45.020.042Egg mass7, 320,210.005Incubation time7, 320,210.005Shout-vent lengthEgg massShout-vent length7, 415,74Shout-vent length7, 415,74Shout-v	d.f. F <i>P</i> -value 1, 18.4 0.65 0.43 1, 22.8 1.18 0.29 1, 15.6 2.88 0.11 1, 15.6 2.88 0.11 1, 22.5 5.31 0.037 2, 22.45 0.18 0.84 2, 7.07 3.00 0.11 1, 18 2.98 0.101 1, 25 7.58 0.011 2, 18.8 0.06 0.94 2, 18.8 0.06 0.94 2, 18.8 0.06 0.94 1, 25 7.58 0.011 1, 25 7.58 0.094	Correlations -0.30 0.26 0.38 -0.78 0.25 0.25 0.005 -0.1
Bgg mass $5, 2$ 0.48 0.79 Reg length $12, 7$ 25.12 0.0007 Mother massEgg length $12, 7$ 25.12 0.0007 Mother massEgg width $7, 2$ 8.82 0.012 Treatment daysEgg width $7, 2$ 8.82 0.012 Treatment daysEgg volume $8, 5$ 6.83 0.23 Treatment daysEgg volume $8, 5$ 6.83 0.23 Treatment daysEggshell thickness $7, 4$ 5.22 0.012 Treatment days $7, 4$ 5.22 0.012 Treatment daysLucubation time $7, 3$ $20, 21$ 0.005 Mother snout-vent lengthBout-vent length $7, 4$ 15.74 0.0034 Mother snout-vent lengthShout-vent length $7, 4$ 15.74 0.0034 Mother snout-vent lengthShout-vent length $7, 4$ 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass	1, 18.4 0.65 0.43 1, 22.8 1.18 0.29 1, 15.6 2.88 0.11 clutch size $1, 15.6$ 2.88 0.11 $1, 15.6$ 2.88 0.11 $1, 22.5$ 5.31 0.031 $1, 7.61$ 6.33 0.037 $2, 22.45$ 0.18 0.84 $2, 7.07$ 3.00 0.11 $1, 18$ 2.98 0.101 $1, 18$ 2.98 0.101 $2, 7.07$ 3.00 0.11 $2, 7.07$ 3.00 0.11 $2, 7.07$ 2.98 0.101	-0.30 0.26 0.38 0.25 0.25 0.05 -0.1
Egg width7,28.820.012Treatment days × clutch sizeEgg volume8,56.830.23TreatmentEgg volume8,56.830.23TreatmentEggshell dry mass7,45.220.027Treatment daysEggshell dry mass7,40.900.042Treatment daysEggshell dry mass7,40.900.042Treatment daysEggshell dry mass7,40.900.042Treatment daysIncubation time7,320,210.005Treatment daysMother snout-vent lengthEgg massMother snout-vent lengthSnout-vent length7,415.740.0034Mother snout-vent length × egg massSnout-vent length7,415.740.0034Mother massSnout-vent length7,415.740.0034Mother mass	Lutch size 1, 22.5 5.31 0.031 \times clutch size 1, 7.61 6.33 0.037 $2, 22.45$ 0.18 0.84 $2, 7.07$ 3.00 0.11 $1, 18$ 2.98 0.101 $1, 25$ 7.58 0.011 $2, 18.8$ 0.06 0.94	-0.78 0.25 -0.1
Egg width7, 28.820.012TreatmentEgg volume $8, 5$ 6.83 0.23 Egg shell thickness $7, 4$ 5.22 0.027 Eggshell dry mass $7, 4$ 0.90 0.042 Treatment daysEgg mass $7, 3$ $20,21$ 0.005 Treatment daysIncubation time $7, 3$ $20,21$ 0.005 Treatment daysShout-vent lengthFgg massTreatment daysShout-vent lengthFgg massTreatment daysShout-vent length7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Shout-vent length $7, 4$ 15.74 0.0034	2, 7.07 3.00 0.11 1, 18 2.98 0.101 1, 25 7.58 0.011 2, 18.8 0.06 0.94	0.005 -0.1
Egg volume8,56.830.23Eggshell thickness7,45.220.027Eggshell dry mass7,40.900.042Treatment daysEgg mass7,320,210.005Treatment daysIncubation time7,320,210.005Treatment daysMother snout-vent lengthEgg massMother snout-vent lengthSnout-vent length7,415.740.0034Mother mass	1, 18 2.98 0.101 1, 25 7.58 0.011 2, 18.8 0.06 0.94	0.005 -0.1
Eggshell dry mass 7,4 0.90 0.042 Treatment days Eggshell dry mass 7,4 0.90 0.042 Egg mass Incubation time 7,3 20,21 0.005 Treatment days Incubation time 7,3 20,21 0.005 Treatment days Incubation time 7,3 20,21 0.005 Treatment days Rout-vent length 7,4 15.74 0.0034 Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Snout-vent length 7,4 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass	1, 18 2.98 0.101 1, 25 7.58 0.011 2, 18.8 0.06 0.94	0.005 -0.1
Egg mass Egg mass Incubation time 7, 3 20,21 0.005 Treatment days Mother snout-vent length Egg mass Mother snout-vent length Egg mass Treatment days × egg mass Nout-vent length 7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Snout-vent length 7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Incubation time Incubation time	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.1
Incubation time 7, 3 20,21 0.005 Treatment days Mother snout-vent length Egg mass Fign mass Treatment days × egg mass Treatment days Treatment vent length Snout-vent length 7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Incubation time Mother mass	2, 18.8 0.06 0.94	
Incubation time 7,3 20,21 0.005 Treatment days Mother snout-vent length Egg mass Egg mass Treatment days × egg mass Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Snout-vent length 7,4 Incubation time Incubation time		0000
Snout-vent length Mother snout-vent length Egg mass Treatment days × egg mass Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Treatment Treatment Snout-vent length 7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass Incubation time	1, 18.7 0.004 0.85	-0.003
Egg mass Treatment days × egg mass Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Treatment Snout-vent length × 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass Incubation time	t length 1, 22.1 0.012 0.73	0.08
Treatment days × egg mass Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Treatment Snout-vent length 7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass Incubation time	1, 62.3 0.30 0.59	0.06
Mother snout-vent length × egg mass Treatment Snout-vent length 7, 4 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass Incubation time	× egg mass 1, 22.2 5.90 0.02	0.08
Treatment Snout-vent length 7,4 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass Incubation time	ent length \times egg mass 1, 48.3 9.05 0.004	0.14
Snout-vent length 7,4 15.74 0.0034 Mother mass Incubation time	2, 19.9 2.90 0.08	
Incubation time	1, 21.5 4.71 0.041	0.07
	1,102 6.96 0.009	-0.03
Treatment	2, 23.1 2.30 0.12	
Tail length 5,2 0.74 0.69 Body mass 5,2 0.30 0.86		
Body condition 8, 3 15.91 0.001 Incubation time	1, 131 12.83 0.003	-0.04
Treatment	2, 15.4 5.28 0.018	
Growth rate 5, 2 0.48 0.79		

© 2019 The Linnean Society of London, Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2019, XX, 1–13





Figure 3. Box-plots of latency (in seconds) to first tongueflick (TF) for each of the supplementation treatments in response to dichloromethane (DCM) as the control and male femoral secretion as the stimulus.

of relationship between the lower RCM and the lower body condition of juveniles, this result seems to suggest that too much provitamin D_3 could be disadvantageous. Despite their lower body condition, juveniles from the provitamin D group had similar growth rates to the control and vitamin groups. Therefore, growth does not seem to be affected by body condition or energy reserves, although it is possible that the effects of the supplement on growth could arise later during development.

It is plausible that vitamin D is not a very important resource that requires supplementary access in pregnant females in this species. On the contrary, it appears that it could even cause some damage if it is consumed in excess. Hypervitaminosis D has been reported in reptiles

Figure 4. Box-plots of tongue-flicks (frequency) for each of the supplementation treatments in response to dichloromethane (DCM) as the control and male femoral secretion as the stimulus.

and other taxa, such as mammals (Roberson et al., 2000; Holz, 2018) and it might be the reason for the lower weights found in our experiment. An unnecessary supplementary contribution could explain why the predicted effects did not appear in our experiment. However, according to the benefits of vitamin D supplementation in other taxa (Mattila et al., 2004; Oonincx et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2017), we cannot discount the possibility of an unobserved beneficial effect for the mother or the offspring. There are many potential unobserved benefits, such as maternal effects, dispersal behaviour, increased growth rates, etc. It is also possible that provitamin D₃ might be signalling habitat quality to the offspring after hatching. New long-term studies should be carried out to explore the consequences

of having a diet 'too rich' in provitamin D_3 and the benefit or harmful effects on energy storage in mothers and eggs, maternal investment or development of the embryos and juveniles.

The absence of increased chemosensory responses to the secretions of the males by the females suggests that their chemosensory interest in male secretions is related to the vitamin D₃ content inside the body. Based on previous studies where, at the beginning of the mating season, hungry females responded with higher intensity to scents of males than satiated females (Martín & López, 2008), we expected lower responses of supplemented females. Nevertheless, in the present experiment, similar responses to male secretion were elicited in all the females. However, a significant difference was noted in the total number of TFs elicited in response to both stimuli, being lower in supplemented females than in the control females. The lack of interest in the scent of males in supplemented females in comparison to the control females could be interpreted in the same way, as the absence of need. But it might be also explained because the assay was performed outside the mating season, when femoral secretions of males might not be functional or have no sexual interest for females after they have already finished reproduction for that year.

This experiment cannot provide evidence about the use of male sexual signals by females in the field, but the results suggest that the response to male secretions could be dependent on the need for vitamin D; taking into account that supplemented females, whose requirements for vitamin D were probably in surplus, elicited lower TF rates. In addition, we did not find reproductive benefits of having extra access to vitamin D, in comparison to control females. We assumed that control females would represent a deficit state of vitamin D in comparison to supplemented females. However, the control females could have obtained a sufficient amount of vitamin D₃, because the dietary prey that females could eat ad libitum contained a certain amount of vitamin D₃: T. mollitor 150 IU/kg dry matter (individual mean mass = 0.01 g; expected amount of vitamin D₂=0.011 IU) and A. domestica 934 IU/kg dry matter (individual mean mass = 0.16 g; expected amount of vitamin $D_3 = 1.07$ IU) (Oonincx et al., 2010). New studies should be designed to determine the requirements and body contents of vitamin D₂ in gravid females in nature.

However, our findings do not discount a pre-existing sensory bias as the origin of the sexual signal, nor can we be sure that the signal is, currently, only sexual and discard a food bias, considering the results of previous studies. A relevant suggestion that we could extract from our results is that, if the available food is sufficient and the requirements for vitamin D are covered, the male signal might be ignored by females. For example, in favourable seasons, vitamin D would be easy to obtain, whereas in unfavourable seasons, the environment might in some way constrain vitamin D acquisition. In these unfavourable conditions, selective pressure would act on the signalling system, and it would be then when the bias could be acting more strongly.

In fact, this could be the reason for the lack of response in TF tests, because the assay was carried out in July, whereas the mating season is in May. Maybe, the requirements for vitamin D are higher during the mating season, when a few weeks of activity have passed after winter (Pérez-Mellado, 1982), and synthesis in the sunlight could be difficult (Kimlin, 2008). Furthermore, our results provide new answers about the mechanism underlying the origin of signalling. In the context of mate choice, if there was a differential response to signals dependent on the physiological utility or need for the signalling compound by the female receiver, the effectiveness of the signal might be dependent not only on the quality of the male signaller. In this sense, matechoice preferences would be determined by both direct benefits and sensory bias.

We conclude that our results support the role of provitamin D_3 in the femoral secretions males as a communicative signal and not as a sensory trap used by males to attract females. In light of this, new experiments should be conducted to improve our knowledge about the origin of the signal and the relationship between the biases of the receivers and the production of the chemical signal by senders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank five anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. We thank 'El Ventorrillo' MNCN Field Station for use of their facilities. Financial support was provided by the project MINECO CGL2014-53523-P. The experiments enforced all the present Spanish laws and were performed under licence from the Environmental Organisms of Madrid Community, where they were carried out.

REFERENCES

- Alberts AC. 1993. Chemical and behavioral studies of femoral gland secretions in iguanid lizards. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 41: 255–260.
- Allen ME, Chen TC, Holick MF, Merkel E. 1999. Evaluation of vitamin D status in the Green Iguana (*Iguana iguana*): oral administration vs UVB exposure. In: Holick MF,

Jung EG, eds. *Biologic effects of light 1998*. Boston: Springer US, 99–101.

- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67: 1–48.
- Bradbury JW, Vehrencamp SL. 2011. Principles of animal communication. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.
- **Braña F, Bea A, Arrayago MJ. 1991.** Egg retention in lacertid lizards: relationships with reproductive ecology and the evolution of viviparity. *Herpetologica* **47**: 218–226.
- Calsbeek R, Sinervo B. 2002. Uncoupling direct and indirect components of female choice in the wild. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 99: 14897–14902.
- Chouinard AJ. 2012. Rapid onset of mate quality assessment via chemical signals in a woodland salamander (*Plethodon cinereus*). Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology **66**: 765–775.
- **Clark RW. 2007.** Public information for solitary foragers: timber rattlesnakes use conspecific chemical cues to select ambush sites. *Behavioral Ecology* **18:** 487–490.
- **Cooper WE. 1994.** Chemical discrimination by tongueflicking in lizards: a review with hypotheses on its origin and its ecological and phylogenetic relationships. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **20:** 439–487.
- Cooper WE, Burghardt GM. 1990. A comparative analysis of scoring methods for chemical discrimination of prey by squamate reptiles. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 16: 45-65.
- Dawkins MS, Guilford T. 1996. Sensory bias and the adaptiveness of female choice. *The American Naturalist* 148: 937–942.
- Dawkins R, Krebs JR. 1978. Animal signals: information or manipulation? In: Krebs JR, Davies NB, eds. *Behavioural* ecology: an evolutionary approach. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates, 282–309.
- Du W, Ji X, Shine R. 2005. Does body volume constrain reproductive output in lizards? *Biology Letters* 1: 98-100.
- Endler JA. 1992. Signals, signal conditions, and the direction of evolution. *The American Naturalist* 139: S125–S153.
- Endler JA, Basolo AL. 1998. Sensory ecology, receiver biases and sexual selection. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 13: 415–420.
- Fraser DR. 1995. Vitamin D. Lancet 345: 104-107.
- Fuller RC, Houle D, Travis J. 2005. Sensory bias as an explanation for the evolution of mate preferences. *The American Naturalist* 166: 437–446.
- Giaquinto PC, da Silva Berbert CM, Delicio HC. 2010. Female preferences based on male nutritional chemical traits. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **64**: 1029–1035.
- Holick MF. 1995. Environmental factors that influence the cutaneous production of vitamin D. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **61**: 638S–645S.
- Holick MF. 2003. Vitamin D: a millenium perspective. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 88: 296–307.
- Holick MF. 2007. Vitamin D deficiency. The New England Journal of Medicine 357: 266–281.
- Holz P. 2018. Diseases of the urinary tract. In: Doneley B, Monks D, Johnson R, Carme B, eds. *Reptile medicine and*

surgery in clinical practice. Hoboken: Wiley Blackwell, 323-330.

- Iraeta P, Monasterio C, Salvador A, Díaz JA. 2006. Mediterranean hatchling lizards grow faster at higher altitude: a reciprocal transplant experiment. *Functional Ecology* 20: 865–872.
- Johansson BG, Jones TM. 2007. The role of chemical communication in mate choice. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 82: 265–289.
- Johnstone RA. 1997. The evolution of animal signals. In: Krebs JR, Davies NB, eds. *Behavioural ecology: an evolutionary approach, 4th edn*. Oxford: Blackwell Science, 155-178.
- Kavaliers M, Colwell DD. 1995. Discrimination by female mice between the odours of parasitized and non-parasitized males. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 261: 31–35.
- Khannoon E, Breithaupt T, El-Gendy A, Hardege JD. 2010. Sexual differences in behavioural response to femoral gland pheromones of Acanthodactylus boskianus. Herpetological Journal 20: 225–229.
- Kimlin MG. 2008. Geographic location and vitamin D synthesis. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine* 29: 453–461.
- **Kirkpatrick M. 1987.** Sexual selection by female choice in polygynous animals. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **18:** 43–70.
- Kuijper B, Pen I, Weissing FJ. 2012. A guide to sexual selection theory. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 43: 287–311.
- Laing CJ, Fraser DR. 1999. The vitamin D system in iguanian lizards. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 123: 373–379.
- López P, Amo L, Martín J. 2006. Reliable signaling by chemical cues of male traits and health state in male lizards, *Lacerta monticola. Journal of Chemical Ecology* 32: 473–488.
- López P, Aragón P, Martín J. 1998. Iberian Rock Lizards (*Lacerta monticola cyreni*) assess conspecific information using composite signals from faecal pellets. *Ethology* 104: 809–820.
- López P, Aragón P, Martín J. 2003. Responses of female lizards, *Lacerta monticola*, to males' chemical cues reflect their mating preference for older males. *Behavioral Ecology* and Sociobiology 55: 73–79.
- López P, Muñoz A, Martín J. 2002. Symmetry, male dominance and female mate preferences in the Iberian rock lizard, *Lacerta monticola*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 52: 342–347.
- Marco A, Díaz-Paniagua C. 2008. Aggregation protects flexible-shelled reptile eggs from severe hydric stress. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B, Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* **178**: 421–428.
- Martín J, López P. 2000. Chemoreception, symmetry and mate choice in lizards. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 267: 1265–1269.
- Martín J, López P. 2006a. Vitamin D supplementation increases the attractiveness of males' scent for female Iberian rock lizards. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273: 2619–2624.

- Martín J, López P. 2006b. Links between male quality, male chemical signals, and female mate choice in Iberian rock lizards. *Functional Ecology* **20**: 1087–1096.
- Martín J, López P. 2008. Female sensory bias may allow honest chemical signaling by male Iberian rock lizards. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **62**: 1927–1934.
- Martín J, López P. 2010. Condition-dependent pheromone signaling by male rock lizards: more oily scents are more attractive. *Chemical Senses* 35: 253–262.
- Martín J, López P. 2012. Supplementation of male pheromone on rock substrates attracts female rock lizards to the territories of males: a field experiment. *PLoS ONE* 7: e30108.
- Martín J, López P. 2014. Pheromones and chemical communication in lizards. In: Rheubert JL, Siegel DS, Trauth SE, eds. *Reproductive biology and phylogeny of lizards and tuatara*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 43–77.
- Martín J, López P. 2015. Condition-dependent chemosignals in reproductive behavior of lizards. *Hormones and Behavior* 68: 14–24.
- Martins EP, Ord TJ, Slaven J, Wright JL, Housworth EA. 2006. Individual, sexual, seasonal, and temporal variation in the amount of sagebrush lizard scent marks. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 32: 881–893.
- Mattila P, Valaja J, Rossow L, Venäläinen E, Tupasela T. 2004. Effect of vitamin D_2 - and D_3 -enriched diets on egg vitamin D content, production, and bird condition during an entire production period. *Poultry Science* 83: 433-440.
- Mayhew WW. 1963. Reproduction in the Granite Spiny Lizard, Sceloporus orcutti. Copeia 1963: 144–153.
- Monasterio C, Shoo LP, Salvador A, Siliceo I, Díaz JA. 2011. Thermal constraints on embryonic development as a proximate cause for elevational range limits in two Mediterranean lacertid lizards. *Ecography* 34: 1030–1039.
- Moore AJ. 1988. Female preferences, male social status, and sexual selection in *Nauphoeta cinerea*. *Animal Behaviour* 36: 303–305.
- **Oonincx DG**, **Stevens Y**, **van den Borne JJ**, **van Leeuwen JP**, **Hendriks WH. 2010.** Effects of vitamin D_3 supplementation and UVb exposure on the growth and plasma concentration of vitamin D_3 metabolites in juvenile bearded dragons (*Pogona vitticeps*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part B, Biochemistry & Molecular Biology **156**: 122–128.
- **Penn DJ. 2002.** The scent of genetic compatibility: sexual selection and the major histocompatibility complex. *Ethology* **108:** 1–21.
- Pérez-Mellado V. 1982. Datos sobre Lacerta monticola Boulenger, 1905 (Sauria: Lacertidae) en el oeste del Sistema Central. Doñana, Acta Vertebrata 9: 107–129.

- **R Development Core Team**. **2017.** *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Roberson JR, Swecker Jr., WS, Hullender LL. 2000. Hypercalcemia and hypervitaminosis D in two lambs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 216: 1115–1118.
- **Ryan MJ. 1990.** Signals, species, and sexual selection. *American Scientist* **78:** 46–52.
- Sahin N, Balci TA, Kucuk O, Smith MO, Sahin K. 2009. Effects of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol and soy isoflavones supplementation on bone mineralisation of quail. *British Poultry Science* 50: 709–715.
- Shine R. 1992. Relative clutch mass and body shape in lizards and snakes: is reproductive investment constrained or optimized? *Evolution* 46: 828-833.
- Sinervo B. 1990. The evolution of maternal investment in lizards: an experimental and comparative analysis of egg size and its effects on offspring performance. *Evolution* 44: 279–294.
- Stevens M. 2013. Sensory ecology, behaviour, & evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stewart JR, Ecay TW. 2010. Patterns of maternal provision and embryonic mobilization of calcium in oviparous and viviparous squamate reptiles. *Herpetological Conservation* and Biology 5: 341–359.
- Valone TJ. 1989. Group foraging, public info and patch estimation. Oikos 56: 357-363.
- Vitt LJ, Congdon JD. 1978. Body shape, reproductive effort, and relative clutch mass in lizards: resolution of a paradox. *The American Naturalist* 112: 595–608.
- Vitt LJ, Price HJ. 1982. Ecological and evolutionary determinants of relative clutch mass in lizards. *Herpetologica* 38: 237–255.
- Wang L, Xu H, Wang Y, Wang C, Li J, Zhao Z, Luo L, Du X, Xu Q. 2017. Effects of the supplementation of vitamin D₃ on the growth and vitamin D metabolites in juvenile Siberian sturgeon (Acipenser baerii). Fish Physiology and Biochemistry 43: 901–909.
- Wang Z, Goonewardene LA. 2004. The use of MIXED models in the analysis of animal experiments with repeated measures data. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 84: 1–11.
- Ward AJW, Herbert-Read JE, Simpson SJ. 2011. Diets and decisions: the potential use of food protein cues in dietary, sexual and social decisions by mosquitofish. *Animal Behaviour* 82: 783-790.
- Zahavi A. 1975. Mate selection—a selection for a handicap. Journal of Theoretical Biology 53: 205–214.
- Zeileis A, Hothorn T. 2002. Diagnostic checking in regression relationships. *R News* 2: 7–10.
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. New York: Springer-Verlag.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table S1. Information about the model selection comparing the response variables of reproductive biology and female state among supplementation treatment of mothers. Initial, final and null models are shown. The corrected

Akaike information criterion (AICc) and weight values which allow selection, Phi-value as overdispersion measure in count variables (Poisson models) and variance inflation factor (VIF) as collinearity measure. Significant tests and variables are shown in bold.

Table S2. Information about the model selection comparing the response variables of egg and juvenile traits among supplementation treatments of the mothers. Initial, final and null models are shown. The corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) and weight values that allow selection and variance inflation factor (VIF) as collinearity measure. Significant tests and variables are shown in bold.

Table S3. Results of statistical analyses comparing the tongue flick responses. Variables were analysed with linear mixed models for repeated measures, including the females as a random factor; in the table, only the fixed factors are shown. Four different models were developed statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation to test the relevance of the treatment factor, treatment duration as a covariate (treatment days), and the interaction between treatment and stimuli to explain the response variables of latency and tongue-flick (TF). Significant tests and variables are shown in bold.